

When is a Volterra space Baire?

by

Jiling Cao

Auckland University of Technology

New Zealand

Email: jiling.cao@aut.ac.nz

This is joint work with

Heikki J. K. Junnila (University of Helsinki)

Email: heikki.junnila@helsinki.fi

Recall that a space X is said to be *a Baire space* if the intersection of any sequence of dense open sets of X is still dense.

A space X is called *a Volterra space* if for any pair of functions $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $C(f)$ and $C(g)$ are dense in X , $C(f) \cap C(g)$ are also dense in X (Gauld and Piotrowski, 1993).

Weakly Volterra spaces and spaces of the second Baire category can be defined similarly.

Easy fact: *All Baire spaces are Volterra spaces.*

Example: *There is a first countable, Tychonoff, paracompact and Volterra space that is not Baire.*

Question: *When is a Volterra space a Baire space?*

Here, I shall present two main theorems. Our first theorem is:

Theorem 1: *Let X be a **stratifiable** space. Then X is Volterra $\Rightarrow X$ is Baire.*

A space X is **stratifiable** if X is regular and one can assign a **sequence of open sets** $\{G(n, H) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ to each closed set $H \subseteq X$ such that

- (i) $H = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G(n, H) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{G(n, H)}$,
- (ii) $H \subseteq K \Rightarrow G(n, H) \subseteq G(n, K)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In 2000, **Gruenhage and Lutzer** proved that **metric and Volterra spaces are Baire**. Since metric spaces are stratifiable, they asked: **Must X be Baire if it is stratifiable and Volterra?**

Our Theorem 1 answers this question **affirmatively**.

Recall that a space X is said to be *resolvable* if it contains two disjoint dense subsets.

Sharm-Sharm Theorem (1988): *Let X be dense-in-itself Hausdorff. If $\lambda(X) = X$, then X is resolvable.*

$A \subseteq X$ is called *simultaneously separated* if each $x \in A$ has an open nbhd U_x so that $\{U_x : x \in A\}$ is pairwise disjoint. Then, $\lambda(X) = \bigcup\{A^d : A \text{ is s.s.}\}$.

Consequence of the Sharma-Sharma theorem:

Let X be a dense-in-itself and Hausdorff space.

(a) X is *sequential* $\Rightarrow X$ is resolvable (Pytkeev, 83)

(b) X is a *k-space* $\Rightarrow X$ is resolvable

(c) X is *countably compact* $\Rightarrow X$ is resolvable

(d)[⊗] X is *monotonically normal* $\Rightarrow X$ is resolvable

(Dow, Tkachenko, Tkachuk and Wilson, 02)

Our second theorem concerns locally convex **topological vector spaces** (for short, tvs) over \mathbb{R} .

Recall that a tvs is *locally convex* if $\mathbf{0}$ has a neighbourhood base consisting of convex sets.

Theorem 2: *Let X be a **locally convex** tvs. Then X is Volterra $\Rightarrow X$ is Baire.*

For a normed linear space E , let E^* denote the **topological dual** of E (i.e., the set of all continuous linear functionals on E), and let $\sigma(E, E^*)$ denote the **weak topology** on E generated by E^* .

Corollary 1: *Let E be a **normed linear space**. Then $(E, \sigma(E, E^*))$ is Volterra $\Leftrightarrow \dim E < +\infty$.*

Corollary 1 extends a result in **Functional Analysis**.

The proof of the second theorem depends on a consequence of the **Hahn-Banach theorem**.

If X is a locally convex tvs, then there exists a **non-trivial** $f \in X^*$. It follows that

$$X \approx \ker(f) \times X/\ker(f) \approx \ker(f) \times \mathbb{R}$$

Now, we need the following simple, but

Important fact: *If Z is of the first Baire category, then $Z \times \mathbb{R}$ is not weakly Volterra.*

Suppose that X is Volterra. Then $\ker(f)$ is of the **second Baire category**. Thus, X must be of the second Baire category. Finally, apply the following

Simple fact: *A homogenous space X is of the second Baire category $\Leftrightarrow X$ is a Baire space.*

To finish my talk, I shall state one more theorem.

For a vector space X , let X' denote the **algebraic dual** of X . A subset $A \subseteq X'$ is called **pointwise bounded** if $\sup\{|f(x)| : f \in A\} < +\infty$ for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3: *Let X be a vector space. If $Y \subseteq X'$ contains an **infinite linearly independent and pointwise bounded** subset, then $(X, \sigma(X, Y))$ is not Baire.*

Corollary 2: *If a Tychonoff space Z contains an infinite **relatively pseudocompact** subset, then $C_p(Z)$ is not a Baire space.*

Corollary 3: *Let E be a normed linear space. If E is **infinite-dimensional**, then $(E, \sigma(E, E^*))$ is not Baire.*

※ The End ※