



Birth order and infant health: Evidence from maternal immunisation in New Zealand

Thomas Schober *

New Zealand Policy Research Institute, Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Birth order
Maternal immunisation
Child health
Pertussis
Influenza

ABSTRACT

Immunisation during pregnancy is a vital strategy to protect infants from infectious diseases in their first months of life, yet little is known about how birth order relates to maternal vaccination uptake and infants' risk of severe illness. Drawing on administrative data from New Zealand covering more than 200,000 births between 2015 and 2023, I analyse the relationship between birth order and maternal vaccination against pertussis and influenza. I also examine subsequent infant hospitalisations for these diseases. The findings show that later-born children experience higher hospitalisation rates, likely because of increased exposure to infectious diseases through older siblings. At the same time, maternal vaccination rates decline with each pregnancy, leaving those who would benefit most from maternal immunisation the least likely to receive it. These findings suggest that improving vaccination uptake during later pregnancies could yield important health gains for infants at highest risk.

1. Introduction

Maternal immunisation is a key public health strategy against infectious diseases. It provides crucial protection in the first months of life, when infants' immune systems are still immature and they are too young to receive their own vaccinations. Yet maternal vaccine uptake remains limited in many high-income countries. For example, during the 2022–23 season, influenza vaccination coverage among pregnant women was 47.2% in the United States and 35% in England, while pertussis vaccination coverage was 55.4% and 61.5%, respectively (Razzaghi et al., 2023; UKHSA, 2025, 2023). In New South Wales, Australia, 42.5% of mothers received influenza vaccination and 66.1% received pertussis vaccination during pregnancy in 2016–2020 (Homaira et al., 2023).

In this paper, I examine how birth order shapes maternal vaccination and subsequent infant health outcomes. A growing body of literature suggests that parental behaviour in childhood differs by birth order. Later-born children receive less parental time (Price, 2008; Black et al., 2018, 2026), are breastfed at lower rates (Buckles and Kolka, 2014; Black et al., 2016; Lehmann et al., 2018), are less likely to participate in health checks and to receive childhood immunisations (Pruckner et al., 2021). There is also evidence that such disparities emerge even before birth. Parents are less likely to utilise prenatal care in later pregnancies (Buckles and Kolka, 2014; Brenøe and Molitor, 2018; Lehmann et al., 2018), and maternal use of prenatal vitamins

declines with birth order (Buckles and Kolka, 2014). The magnitude of birth-order differences varies across outcomes and studies, but is often non-trivial and tends to increase with birth order. For example, compared with first-born children, mothers are about 9 percentage points less likely to breastfeed their second-born child and around 12–15 percentage points less likely to breastfeed their third- or fourth-born child (Lehmann et al., 2018).

Taken together, this literature suggests changes in parental behaviour by birth order that tend to be more favourable for earlier-born children. One explanation is resource constraints: parental resources such as income and time must be shared among more children as family size increases, so earlier-born children may receive more resources than later-born children at the same age. A second explanation is that parents may learn and adapt their behaviour as they become more experienced with each additional child. A third explanation is that parents may respond to differences in characteristics that vary by birth order, adjusting their behaviours accordingly. Importantly, these mechanisms may influence decisions both about early-life care and about maternal behaviours during pregnancy, including preventive care.

Using administrative data from New Zealand, I show that maternal vaccination uptake declines with birth order. For example, compared with first pregnancies, pertussis uptake is 7.0, 11.4, and 16.0 percentage points lower in second, third, and fourth pregnancies, respectively. This pattern has significant implications for infant health.

* Correspondence to: Auckland University of Technology, 42 Wakefield Street, Auckland 1142, New Zealand.
E-mail address: thomas.schober@aut.ac.nz.

Previous research highlights the central role of the family unit in virus transmission, suggesting that older children can introduce infections from childcare or school, thereby exposing their younger siblings to these diseases. As a result, later-born children experience higher rates of hospitalisation for respiratory conditions and receive more prescriptions for contagious diseases in early life (Pruckner et al., 2021; Daysal et al., 2021; Björkegren and Svaleryd, 2023). While the existing evidence does not distinguish between specific infectious diseases, I show that the same pattern holds for those targeted by maternal immunisation—pertussis and influenza. Later-born infants are more likely to be hospitalised for these diseases than their earlier-born siblings. Taken together, these findings suggest that later-born children face multiple disadvantages: they are more exposed to infection through older siblings, while at the same time receiving reduced protection because of lower maternal and infant vaccination rates.

This study contributes to the literature on birth-order effects and health by highlighting a novel mechanism through which family dynamics and parental behaviour influence infant health outcomes. It also contributes to the broader economics literature documenting birth order differences in long-term outcomes. First-born children tend to have a health advantage in adolescence and older age (Brenøe and Molitor, 2018; Björkegren and Svaleryd, 2023), and they also outperform their siblings in educational attainment and labour market success (e.g. Black et al., 2005; Kantarevic and Mechoulam, 2006; Booth and Kee, 2009; Lehmann et al., 2018). Differences in parental (health) investment may explain part of this relationship, as a large literature stresses the importance of the early childhood environment on long-term outcomes (Currie, 2009; Almond et al., 2018).

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 provides background on the diseases, maternal immunisations, and data sources, and outlines the empirical strategy. Section 3 presents the main results on the relationship between birth order, maternal and childhood immunisation, and infant hospitalisations, followed by an analysis of potential mechanisms. Section 4 concludes.

2. Background and data

2.1. Data

I use data from the Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI), a large research database managed by Stats NZ, New Zealand's national statistics office. The IDI contains linked administrative and survey data from government agencies and other organisations at the individual level (Stats NZ, 2022).

Information on births registered with the Department of Internal Affairs (DIA) is used to identify all children born to a woman, to determine their birth order, and to obtain birth weight. Birth order is defined based on live births only. To account for the possibility that migrant mothers may have had children overseas, I use information on the number of siblings when identifying first births. Information on vaccination uptake comes from the Aotearoa Immunisation Register, which replaced the National Immunisation Register in 2023. The register records detailed information on each vaccination event, including vaccine type and date of administration. I use these data to identify maternal receipt of influenza and pertussis vaccines during pregnancy, defined as vaccinations administered in the nine months prior to a child's birth month.

In additional analysis, I use the immunisation register to measure whether infants are fully immunised at age 12 months, as defined by the New Zealand National Immunisation Schedule. This schedule includes, among other vaccines, three doses of a combined vaccine that protects against diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, polio, hepatitis B, and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib). Although the schedule underwent several changes during the observation period, it consistently included infant immunisations against pertussis (Health New Zealand, 2025b). Influenza immunisation is available for everyone over 6 months of age,

but it is not part of the National Immunisation Schedule and is therefore not included in this outcome measure.

To identify children's hospitalisations for infectious diseases, I use publicly funded hospital discharge records provided by the Ministry of Health. These data contain detailed information on hospital events and associated diagnoses. Hospitalisations are classified by disease using International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) codes: pertussis (A37.1, A37.9), influenza (J09–J11), and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV; J12.1, J20.5, J21.0, and B97.4). Note that ICD-10 discharge codes depend on clinical documentation, and therefore are likely to underestimate the true burden of disease.

Additional information on health care during pregnancy comes from the National Maternity Collection, which includes records on the number of prenatal health care visits provided by the Lead Maternity Carer and the number of ultrasound scans performed.

Table 1 summarises characteristics in the analysis sample used for the maternal immunisation uptake models, by the child's birth order. The sample includes only mothers with at least two births during 2015–2023, so that siblings can be compared within the same family. As a result, the distribution of time-invariant maternal characteristics (for example, ethnicity) is, by construction, identical for births of order 1 and 2, since they correspond to the same set of mothers observed at different births. In contrast, births of order 3 and 4 are observed for a smaller subset of mothers who have more than two children within the study period.

Maternal age at birth varies systematically with birth order. In particular, mothers observed at a third or fourth birth are younger at the time of birth than mothers observed at a second birth, consistent with earlier entry into childbearing among mothers who go on to have more children. There are also marked differences in the composition of the sample by ethnicity: Māori and Pacific mothers are more prevalent at higher birth orders, consistent with larger average family sizes in these groups. Table 1 further shows a gradient by neighbourhood deprivation, measured using the New Zealand Index of Deprivation 2023 (Atkinson et al., 2024). Higher birth orders are more common among births to mothers living in more deprived areas.

2.2. Pertussis and influenza

Pertussis, also known as whooping cough, is a highly contagious disease of the respiratory tract. Infants are most strongly affected and have the highest risk of hospitalisation and death. Pertussis is endemic worldwide, with peaks that occur every 3–5 years. A recent review of the burden of pertussis disease in infants shows that incidence rates in those aged <2–3 months were substantial in all countries with available data, exceeding 1000 cases per 100,000 population during outbreaks (Kandeil et al., 2020).

In New Zealand, a major epidemic between 2011 and 2014 led to the hospitalisation of hundreds of children and the deaths of three infants younger than six weeks (Howe et al., 2020). To illustrate the age distribution of severe disease, Fig. 1 (left panel) shows the number of hospitalisations for pertussis by month of age in New Zealand in the years 2000–2023. The distribution of pertussis cases is highly skewed towards early infancy, with two thousand hospitalisations occurring in the first four months of life. This concentration of severe disease before the age at which infants complete their primary vaccination series underscores the importance of maternal immunisation in providing protection during the earliest months of life.

Influenza is another major cause of respiratory illness and hospitalisation. Seasonal influenza viruses circulate globally, with annual epidemics of varying intensity. It has been estimated that nearly 10% of the world's population is affected by influenza annually, with about half a million deaths each year. Older adults, children under four years, and pregnant women are at highest risk of complications resulting from influenza infection (Javanian et al., 2021). Fig. 1 (right panel) shows the number of hospitalisations by month of age in New Zealand. In contrast

Table 1
Maternal characteristics by birth order.

	Birth order				All
	1	2	3	4	
Female child (%)	48.6	48.9	48.4	48.9	48.7
Age at birth (years)	27.5	30.1	29.8	28.7	28.9
Ethnicity (%)					
Asian	18.3	18.3	9.0	6.1	17.4
European	65.8	65.8	64.3	49.7	65.4
Māori	19.7	19.7	29.5	41.8	20.8
Other	2.6	2.6	2.4	2.7	2.6
Pacific peoples	9.2	9.2	15.5	23.7	9.9
Area-level deprivation (%)					
Low	23.8	27.0	22.6	13.1	25.1
Medium	40.8	39.9	35.6	29.9	39.8
High	35.3	33.1	41.8	57.1	35.1
N	94,335	94,335	17,448	2517	208,632

Notes: Characteristics of mothers with at least two births between 2015–2023 by birth order. All characteristics are maternal except child biological sex and measured at the time of birth. Ethnicity is based on total response, so categories are not mutually exclusive and percentages sum to more than 100. Area-level deprivation is based on the New Zealand Index of Deprivation 2023 (Atkinson et al., 2024) and grouped into Low (levels 1–3), Medium (4–7), and High (8–10), and is observed for $N = 208,527$ mothers due to missing values.




Fig. 1. Aggregate number of hospitalisations for pertussis (left) and influenza (right) by age in months, 2000–2023. Age in months is defined using calendar months: month 0 corresponds to the month of birth, month 1 to the following month, and so on. Following IDI confidentiality rules, all counts are subject to random rounding to base three; missing bars indicate suppressed values (fewer than six cases).

to pertussis, influenza hospitalisations are spread more evenly across early childhood, with similarly high numbers throughout the first three years of life. Nevertheless, infancy remains a particularly important period, as hospitalisations in children under one year outnumber those in older children.

Beyond direct infection after birth, influenza and pertussis may also affect infants indirectly through maternal infection during pregnancy. Recent evidence from Australia suggests that pertussis and influenza diagnosed during pregnancy, particularly when occurring close to delivery, are associated with adverse birth outcomes, including an increased risk of preterm birth (Frawley et al., 2025).

2.3. Maternal immunisations

Vaccination during pregnancy has two potential benefits. First, it protects women against infectious diseases during pregnancy and, as a consequence, shields the fetus from congenital infections and other harmful effects. Second, it protects the infant in the first months of life, before childhood immunisations can be administered and the immune system is fully developed. This protection is achieved because vaccination during pregnancy stimulates the production of maternal antibodies. These antibodies are transferred primarily via the placenta and, after birth, breastfeeding can provide additional passive protection in early life (Etti et al., 2022).

Maternal immunisation against pertussis and influenza is recommended in many countries, including the United States, Australia, and many European countries (CDC, 2024; Homaira et al., 2023; Properzi

et al., 2024). In New Zealand, maternal immunisations are publicly funded and recommended as part of routine prenatal care. Pertussis protection is provided through the Tdap vaccine, which covers tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis. For pregnant women, pertussis vaccination has been in place since 2013, initially funded when administered between 28 and 38 weeks' gestation and, since 2019, available at any time during the second or third trimester. Influenza vaccination has been funded since 2010, available in any trimester, with advice to vaccinate from 1 April ahead of the usual May–September influenza season. Since June 2021, COVID-19 vaccination has been recommended during pregnancy (Health New Zealand, 2025b).

Evidence from randomised controlled trials and observational studies, summarised in systematic reviews, shows that maternal immunisation provides substantial protection for infants. For pertussis, vaccine effectiveness in infants of immunised mothers is estimated at 69–91% for preventing disease, 91–94% for preventing hospitalisation, and around 95% for preventing death (Vygen-Bonnet et al., 2020). For influenza, maternal vaccination reduces the risk of infection by 48% and associated hospitalisations by 72% (Nunes and Madhi, 2018).

Maternal vaccination appears most protective in the first months of life. Using Australian administrative health records to evaluate infant pertussis infections, Regan et al. (2023) estimate that vaccine effectiveness declines from 70.4% among infants younger than 2 months to 43.3% among infants aged 7–8 months, and the estimates are not statistically significant beyond 8 months. Similarly, Sahni et al. (2024) analyse influenza-associated hospitalisations among infants younger than six months in the U.S. and report an overall vaccine effectiveness of 34%, compared with 53% among infants younger than three months.




Fig. 2. Maternal immunisation uptake by year and birth order.

2.4. Maternal immunisation coverage

Fig. 2 presents trends in the share of pregnant women receiving pertussis and influenza vaccines, highlighting persistent gaps in maternal immunisation coverage. Uptake increased steadily in the years following the introduction of these vaccines, reaching 44.8% for pertussis and 33.5% for influenza in 2019. While pertussis uptake remains relatively stable in subsequent years, influenza uptake peaks in 2020 before declining thereafter. In contrast to maternal immunisation, childhood immunisation uptake in New Zealand has generally been much higher.

Before the pandemic, typically over 90% of children were considered fully immunised at age 12 months (Health New Zealand, 2025a), although coverage declined during the pandemic (Iusitini et al., 2024, 2026). Disruptions to vaccine delivery, as well as misinformation and public discussion around vaccination, particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic, may influence maternal and child vaccine uptake over time. While these factors are not modelled directly, the empirical analysis includes birth cohort fixed effects, which capture common time-varying influences on uptake.

A limitation of the data is that maternal immunisations were not fully recorded in the register in the initial years, leading to underestimation of uptake. In their analysis of immunisation coverage in New Zealand, Howe et al. (2020) address this by supplementing registry data with two additional sources that capture immunisation events: a claims dataset containing fee-for-service payments made to general practices (including vaccination administration) and a pharmaceutical dataset with payment information from community pharmacies (including subsidised vaccine dispensings). For births in 2013, Howe et al. (2020) report coverage of 11.2% for influenza and 10.2% for pertussis, whereas registry data used in this study record 0% and 0.3%, respectively. By 2015, the gap had narrowed substantially: 17.9% vs. 19.5% for influenza and 17.1% vs. 20.5% for pertussis. In 2018, the estimates remain similar across the two data sources, at 28.0% vs. 30.8% for influenza and 41.2% vs. 43.6% for pertussis. This comparison suggests that recording of maternal immunisations was largely incomplete immediately after their introduction but improved quickly in the following years. For this reason, and to focus on a period when maternal immunisations were widely available, I restrict the analysis of birth-order effects on maternal immunisations to pregnancies with deliveries from 2015 onwards.

Fig. 2 also displays vaccine uptake by birth order, showing that at each point in time immunisation rates are higher for first pregnancies than for second, third, or fourth pregnancies for both pertussis and influenza vaccines. While these differences could be attributed to

birth-order effects, they may also reflect variation between families. For example, larger families may have different characteristics from those with only one child, potentially affecting immunisation rates. Therefore, the birth order analysis in this paper relies on within-family comparisons only to control for time-invariant differences between families.

2.5. Econometric approach

To examine how birth order relates to maternal and child outcomes, I estimate the following regression model for child or pregnancy i using ordinary least squares (OLS):

$$Y_i = \alpha + \sum_{j=2}^4 \beta_j I(\text{Birth order}_i = j) + \gamma X_i + \delta_{m(i)} + \epsilon_i, \quad (1)$$

where Y_i denotes the outcome and Birth order_i indicates birth order up to four, with children of higher birth order excluded, as births of order five or higher are uncommon in the analysed time period. The omitted group is first-born children, so the coefficients β_j capture differences in outcomes between later-born children (or pregnancies) and the first-born. The estimation sample is restricted to mothers with a first birth and at least one subsequent birth in the analysis period, thereby excluding first births with no subsequent birth observed during the study period. I exclude multiple births so that pregnancy order coincides with birth order.

Control variables X_i include an indicator for sex and year-by-month of birth indicators to allow for cohort effects. Mother fixed effects $\delta_{m(i)}$ ensure that identification relies solely on within-family variation. This approach means that any time-invariant maternal characteristics that jointly affect maternal vaccination uptake and how many children a mother bears (and thus which birth orders are observed) are differenced out. ϵ_i is the remaining error term.

Due to data availability, the observation periods differ across outcomes. For maternal immunisation uptake, I restrict the analysis to births from 2015–2023, when both maternal vaccines were readily available and reliably recorded in the immunisation register. Childhood immunisation status at age 12 months is observed for births between July 2007 and June 2022. The analyses of childhood hospitalisations and birthweight cover births from 2000–2023. As supplementary analyses, I also examine prenatal health care using data from the national maternity collection for births between 2008 and 2021, although missing data mean that this information is not available for all births in this period.

Table 2
Effects of birth order on immunisations.

	(1) Maternal pertussis	(2) Maternal influenza	(3) All infant immunisations
Birth order 2	-0.070*** (0.004)	-0.014*** (0.004)	-0.018*** (0.001)
Birth order 3	-0.114*** (0.007)	-0.044*** (0.007)	-0.036*** (0.002)
Birth order 4	-0.160*** (0.012)	-0.096*** (0.011)	-0.061*** (0.004)
N	208,632	208,632	486,825
Mean	0.427	0.323	0.917

Notes: Regressions include mother fixed effects, year-by-month of birth fixed effects, and a female indicator. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the mother level. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

3. Results

3.1. Maternal and childhood immunisation

Table 2 shows birth-order effects on maternal immunisations. Compared to the first pregnancy, the probability that a mother receives a pertussis and influenza vaccine is 7.0 and 1.4 percentage points lower at the second pregnancy. The probability decreases further for the third (-11.4 and -4.4 percentage points) and fourth pregnancy (-16.0 and -9.6 percentage points). The magnitude of these effects is large, given the mean uptake rates of 42.7% for maternal pertussis and 32.3% for maternal influenza immunisation in the analysis sample. The smaller effects for influenza may reflect differences in availability due to seasonal supply, as well as lower baseline uptake.

The estimated effects are smaller than the raw differences in uptake by birth order depicted in Fig. 2. Across 2015–2023, maternal pertussis vaccination uptake was 46% at first and 21% at fourth pregnancies, a raw gap of 25 percentage points. By contrast, the estimated coefficient for fourth birth order implies a 16 percentage point lower uptake relative to first pregnancies. This suggests that part of the raw birth-order gradient reflects differences between families rather than a birth-order effect.

Table 2 also reports birth-order effects on childhood immunisation. These effects are smaller than for maternal uptake, but, as with maternal immunisation, higher birth order is negatively associated with childhood immunisation. Second-born children are 1.8 percentage points less likely to be fully immunised, with the gap widening for third-born (-3.6) and fourth-born (-6.1) siblings. The findings for childhood immunisation uptake are consistent with Pruckner et al. (2021), who likewise report lower vaccine uptake among later-born children using administrative data from Austria. As both maternal and childhood immunisation rates decline with birth order, the results imply that later-born children receive substantially less protection against infectious diseases in early life.

3.2. Hospitalisations for infectious diseases

Estimation results for the effects of birth order on infant hospitalisations, shown in Table 3, highlight the importance of protection against infectious disease. Later-born children have significantly higher hospitalisation rates for pertussis and influenza during their first year of life. Note that, as with the immunisation outcomes, the results are estimated using linear probability models, but the coefficients and standard errors multiplied by 100,000 for ease of interpretation and readability. On average, there are 124 infant hospitalisations for pertussis per 100,000 children. Relative to first-borns, the rate is higher by 46 per 100,000 among second-born children, 92 among third-borns, and 109 among fourth-borns. Results for infant influenza hospitalisations follow a similar pattern. Higher birth order is associated with substantially

Table 3
Effects of birth order on infant hospitalisations.

	(1) Pertussis	(2) Influenza	(3) RSV
Birth order 2	46.3*** (13.3)	58.6*** (17.7)	1252.4*** (44.6)
Birth order 3	92.3*** (26.9)	121.4*** (35.9)	1857.9*** (86.6)
Birth order 4	109.4* (43.8)	220.5*** (60.3)	2486.5*** (141.4)
N	875,100	875,100	875,100
Mean	124.1	236.2	1612.3

Notes: Coefficients and standard errors scaled to per 100,000 children. Regressions include mother fixed effects, year-by-month of birth fixed effects, and a female indicator. The mean of the dependent variable is displayed at the bottom of the table. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the mother level. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

higher hospitalisation rates, and the magnitude of the effect increases with birth order. The point estimates for influenza are larger than those for pertussis, likely reflecting influenza's higher incidence.

Table A.1 shows that these birth-order differences are concentrated in the first months of life. When infancy is split into hospitalisations in the first three months and in months 4–12, the estimates are statistically significant in the first three months for both pertussis and influenza. In months 4–12, by contrast, only the birth order 4 coefficient for influenza is statistically significant.

An important question with these results is whether the higher hospitalisation rates for later-born children are driven by the lower maternal immunisation uptake. To address this, I examine hospitalisations for RSV. A maternal RSV vaccine has recently been approved in some countries but is not yet available in New Zealand. In the absence of vaccination, any birth-order gradient in RSV hospitalisations cannot be attributed to differential maternal immunisation uptake. Table 3 shows large birth-order effects for RSV, indicating that differences in maternal immunisation are unlikely to be the sole explanation for the birth-order effects observed across the infectious diseases. The coefficients are substantially larger than those for pertussis or influenza, reflecting that RSV is a more common cause of infant hospitalisation.

Another possible explanation is that later-born children are in poorer health at birth, which could increase their susceptibility to infections and thereby contribute to higher hospitalisation rates in infancy. However, analysis of birthweight in Table 5 suggests the opposite: later-born children have higher birthweights, consistent with previous evidence that they are on average healthier at birth (Brenøe and Molitor, 2018; Pruckner et al., 2021; Björkegren and Svaleryd, 2023). Taken together, these results suggest that underlying differences in average health status at birth are unlikely to account for the observed birth-order gradients in hospitalisation.

A plausible factor is differences in exposure to infectious diseases. Later-born children are more likely to be exposed because of the number and ages of older siblings, who can bring infections home from childcare or school. This increased exposure likely contributes to the higher hospitalisation rates observed in early life. Consistent with the patterns for pertussis, influenza, and RSV hospitalisations, previous research has shown that younger siblings have higher hospitalisation rates for respiratory conditions and receive more medications for contagious diseases in infancy (Pruckner et al., 2021; Daysal et al., 2021). These findings underscore the importance of maternal and childhood immunisation in mitigating the heightened infection risks faced by later-born children.

3.3. Mechanisms

One possible explanation for the decline in maternal immunisation with birth order is that mothers may believe protection from a vaccine

Table 4
Effects of birth order on maternal immunisations.

	Immunised at first pregnancy		Controlling for hospitalisations	
	(1) Pertussis	(2) Influenza	(3) Pertussis	(4) Influenza
Birth order 2	-0.463*** (0.014)	-0.498*** (0.015)	-0.071*** (0.005)	-0.014** (0.005)
Birth order 3	-0.513*** (0.026)	-0.531*** (0.027)	-0.114*** (0.009)	-0.040*** (0.009)
Birth order 4			-0.155*** (0.016)	-0.106*** (0.015)
Previous pregnancy hospitalisation			-0.007 (0.004)	-0.009* (0.004)
N	15,243	11,724	151,998	151,998

Notes: Regressions include mother fixed effects, year-by-month of birth fixed effects, and a female indicator. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the mother level. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table 5
Effects of birth order on prenatal health care utilisation and birth weight.

	Prenatal health care visits				Ultrasounds (5)	Birth weight (6)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
	1st trimester	2nd trimester	3rd trimester	Total		
Birth order 2	-0.060*** (0.007)	-0.114*** (0.010)	-0.470*** (0.017)	-0.609*** (0.023)	-0.323*** (0.009)	152.666*** (1.641)
Birth order 3	-0.189*** (0.013)	-0.197*** (0.020)	-0.647*** (0.032)	-1.009*** (0.045)	-0.661*** (0.017)	203.463*** (2.985)
Birth order 4	-0.324*** (0.021)	-0.338*** (0.031)	-0.788*** (0.051)	-1.412*** (0.072)	-1.009*** (0.026)	243.599*** (4.723)
N	331,758	331,758	343,011	351,681	399,363	876,231
Mean	1.160	2.310	6.302	9.538	3.798	3463.228

Notes: Regressions include mother fixed effects, year-by-month of birth fixed effects, and a female indicator. The mean of the dependent variable is displayed at the bottom of the table. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the mother level. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

received during an earlier pregnancy wanes only slowly, and thus remains sufficient for a subsequent child (even though immunisation is recommended at each pregnancy). For mothers with at least three pregnancies, this belief would imply that some skip vaccination during the second pregnancy because they consider the protection from the first still adequate, but then choose to be immunised again at the third pregnancy once they believe the earlier protection to have diminished.

To assess this hypothesis, I restrict the sample to mothers who receive a maternal vaccine during their first pregnancy and who had at least two subsequent pregnancies. If beliefs about slowly waning protection were driving the pattern, vaccine uptake should be higher in the third pregnancy than in the second. The results, reported in [Table 4](#), show that uptake at the third pregnancy is even lower than at the second. For example, maternal pertussis vaccine uptake decreases by 46 percentage points at the second pregnancy and by 51 percentage points at the third, relative to the first pregnancy (which, by construction, has 100% uptake in this sample). This pattern indicates that beliefs about gradually waning protection from an earlier vaccination cannot fully account for the decline in uptake with birth order.

A second potential explanation for the decline in maternal immunisation with birth order is adverse experiences from earlier vaccinations. Although the medical literature indicates that severe side effects are very rare, some mothers may still associate immunisation with negative experiences during a previous pregnancy. To explore this, I include an indicator from the National Maternity Collection for whether the mother was hospitalised during the preceding pregnancy (excluding the delivery event). This measure is an imperfect proxy for adverse vaccine experiences and likely reflects underlying maternal health conditions that may also influence vaccine decisions. Results in [Table 4](#) suggest that maternal immunisation decreases if there was a previous hospitalisation, but the effect is small and statistically significant only for influenza. More importantly, the estimated effects of birth order are virtually unchanged.

Finally, I examine other aspects of health care utilisation during pregnancy. [Table 5](#) shows clear birth-order effects on both prenatal health care visits and ultrasound scans. Compared to first-borns, mothers have 0.61 fewer prenatal visits and 0.32 fewer ultrasounds at the second pregnancy, with the differences widening to 1.41 and 1.01 fewer, respectively, by the fourth pregnancy. These findings are consistent with previous research on prenatal health care ([Buckles and Kolka, 2014](#); [Brenøe and Molitor, 2018](#); [Lehmann et al., 2018](#)) and point to two mechanisms. First, reduced engagement with the health care system implies fewer opportunities for health professionals to recommend or administer maternal vaccinations. Second, the results suggest a broader change in parental behaviour, with lower (time) investment in later pregnancies, consistent with previously documented differences in parental investment during childhood.

4. Conclusion

This study provides new evidence on the role of maternal immunisation in protecting infants against infectious diseases, particularly pertussis and influenza, in early life. Using administrative data from New Zealand, I show that vaccine uptake during pregnancy declines with each subsequent pregnancy. Childhood immunisation also decreases with birth order, further reducing the protection of later-born children compared with their older siblings. At the same time, later-born children face a higher risk of infection, leading to significantly higher hospitalisation rates in early life.

Taken together, this means that the children who would benefit most from maternal immunisation are also those least likely to receive it. This highlights that policy efforts to raise maternal immunisation uptake could be particularly impactful if they focus on later pregnancies, as strengthening uptake in this group could substantially reduce preventable infections and hospitalisations in early life. Qualitative evidence suggests that gaps in awareness, time constraints, and difficulty accessing services can contribute to missed maternal

Table A.1
Effects of birth order on infant hospitalisations.

	Pertussis		Influenza		RSV	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	0–3 months	4–12 months	0–3 months	4–12 months	0–3 months	4–12 months
Birth order 2	35.1** (11.5)	12.6 (7.0)	51.6*** (9.1)	8.3 (15.2)	857.5*** (30.3)	413.5*** (33.5)
Birth order 3	84.2*** (23.5)	8.7 (13.6)	79.7*** (18.5)	44.8 (31.0)	1226.1*** (59.2)	666.5*** (64.9)
Birth order 4	83.4* (37.9)	34.7 (23.6)	100.3** (31.5)	125.6* (51.6)	1553.1*** (93.8)	991.5*** (109.2)
N	875,100	875,100	875,100	875,100	875,100	875,100
Mean	91.5	34.6	70.6	166.3	770.7	856.7

Notes: Infant hospitalisations for pertussis (columns 1–2), influenza (3–4) and RSV (5–6) in the first 3 months (columns 1, 3, 5) and months 4–12 (columns 2, 4, 6) of life. Coefficients and standard errors scaled to per 100,000 children. Regressions include mother fixed effects, year-by-month of birth fixed effects, and a female indicator. The mean of the dependent variable is displayed at the bottom of the table. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the mother level. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

immunisation (Young et al., 2022; Winslade et al., 2017). Initiatives that address these issues through information provision, immunisation reminders, or targeted outreach services should reach women in all pregnancies, but with a particular focus on later pregnancies, where uptake is lower and the risk of infection and hospitalisation in early life is higher. One example is structured antenatal education, a channel through which pregnant women receive preventive health information, including immunisation. However, these programmes often focus on first-time mothers (Dwyer, 2009; Larkai et al., 2025), leaving mothers in subsequent pregnancies less likely to receive up-to-date information and reminders of preventive health recommendations.

In an effort to increase vaccine uptake, New Zealand is currently expanding the settings where immunisation is available, including pharmacies, proactive outreach immunisation services, and through midwives (Health New Zealand, 2025c). While it remains unclear how these changes affect the birth-order gradient, Howe et al. (2022) find that funding maternal pertussis vaccination in pharmacies increases uptake, particularly for Māori women. This is especially relevant in light of the descriptive evidence in this study: higher birth orders are more common among Māori and Pacific mothers and among families living in more deprived neighbourhoods. If the expansion of delivery settings disproportionately improves access for these groups, it may also have the potential to attenuate birth-order differences in uptake and reduce inequities in infant protection.

A limitation of this study is that it cannot fully explain why maternal immunisation decreases with each pregnancy. However, a parallel decline in antenatal care, including health care visits and ultrasounds, points to broader changes in parental behaviour, consistent with prior evidence that parental investment in childhood tends to decline with birth order. Future research could link richer information on family circumstances, such as formal and informal childcare use, parenting arrangements (for example, parenting alone versus in a partnership), and indicators of parental time constraints and resources, to explore which mechanisms underpin the birth-order gradient in maternal immunisation behaviour. In addition, direct measures of maternal knowledge, beliefs, and perceived need for vaccination across pregnancies would help to understand why vaccination behaviour changes with birth order. Finally, future work could assess whether policy interventions, such as tailored information provision, reminders, and outreach, have differential effects by birth order, and whether they can reduce the birth-order gradient in uptake.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Thomas Schober: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Software, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization.

Disclaimer

These results are not official statistics. They have been created for research purposes from the Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) which is carefully managed by Stats NZ. For more information about the IDI please visit <https://www.stats.govt.nz/integrated-data/>. All observation counts are randomly rounded to base 3 in accordance with Stats NZ confidentiality rules.

Funding

This research was supported by the Health Research Council of New Zealand, New Zealand through the project Beyond the pandemic: Applying complexity science in child immunisation services.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful for helpful comments from participants at the Annual Conference of the Austrian Economic Association (NOeG) 2025, the Annual Conference of the New Zealand Association of Economists (NZAE) 2025, and the 2025 Research Seminar of the Department of Economics, University of Auckland.

Appendix

See Table A.1.

Data availability

The data that has been used is confidential.

References

- Almond, Douglas, Currie, Janet, Duque, Valentina, 2018. Childhood circumstances and adult outcomes: Act II. *J. Econ. Lit.* 56 (4), 1360–1446.
- Atkinson, J, Salmund, C, Crampton, P, Viggers, H, Lacey, K, 2024. NZDep2023 index of socioeconomic deprivation: Research report. Univ. Otago: Wellington. N. Z..
- Björkegren, Evelina, Svaleryd, Helena, 2023. Birth order and health disparities throughout the life course. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 318, 115605.
- Black, Sandra E., Devereux, Paul J., Salvanes, Kjell G., 2005. The more the merrier? The effect of family size and birth order on children's education. *Q. J. Econ.* 120 (2), 669–700.
- Black, Sandra E., Devereux, Paul J., Salvanes, Kjell G., 2016. Healthy (?), wealthy, and wise: Birth order and adult health. *Econ. Hum. Biol.* 23, 27–45.

- Black, Sandra E., Grönqvist, Erik, Öckert, Björn, 2018. Born to lead? The effect of birth order on noncognitive abilities. *Rev. Econ. Stat.* 100 (2), 274–286.
- Black, Nicole, Jayawardana, Danusha, Heckley, Gawain, 2026. The effect of birth order on children's time use. *J. Econ. Behav. Organ.* 242, 107418.
- Booth, Alison L., Kee, Hiau Joo, 2009. Birth order matters: the effect of family size and birth order on educational attainment. *J. Popul. Econ.* 22 (2), 367–397.
- Brenøe, Anne Ardila, Molitor, Ramona, 2018. Birth order and health of newborns: what can we learn from Danish registry data? *J. Popul. Econ.* 31, 363–395.
- Buckles, Kasey, Kolka, Shawna, 2014. Prenatal investments, breastfeeding, and birth order. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 118, 66–70.
- CDC, 2024. Vaccine recommendations before, during, and after pregnancy. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines-pregnancy/recommended-vaccines/index.html>.
- Currie, Janet, 2009. Healthy, wealthy, and wise: Socioeconomic status, poor health in childhood, and human capital development. *J. Econ. Lit.* 47 (1), 87–122.
- Daysal, N Meltem, Ding, Hui, Rossin-Slater, Maya, Schwandt, Hannes, 2021. Germs in the Family: The Short-And Long-Term Consequences of Intra-Household Disease Spread. Tech. Rep., National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Dwyer, Sarah, 2009. Childbirth Education: Antenatal Education and Transitions of Maternity Care in New Zealand. Families Commission.
- Etti, Melanie, Calvert, Anna, Galiza, Eva, Lim, Suzy, Khalil, Asma, Le Doare, Kirsty, Heath, Paul T, 2022. Maternal vaccination: a review of current evidence and recommendations. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 226 (4), 459–474.
- Frawley, Jane E, He, Wen-Qiang, McCallum, Lisa, McIntyre, Peter, Hayen, Andrew, Gidding, Heather, Sullivan, Elizabeth, Liu, Bette, 2025. Birth outcomes after pertussis and influenza diagnosed in pregnancy: a retrospective, population-based study. *BJOG: Int. J. Obs. Gynaecol.* 132 (3), 355–364.
- Health New Zealand, 2025a. Immunisation coverage. URL: <https://www.tewhātuora.govt.nz/health-services-and-programmes/vaccine-information>. Health New Zealand Te Whatu Ora.
- Health New Zealand, 2025b. Immunisation Handbook 2025. Health New Zealand - Te Whatu Ora, Version 1.
- Health New Zealand, 2025c. Overview of the childhood immunisation health target. Health New Zealand. <https://www.tewhātuora.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/HNZ00078356-Aide-memoire-Overview-of-the-childhood-immunisation-Health-Target.pdf>.
- Homaira, Nusrat, He, Wen-Qiang, McRae, Jocelyne, Macartney, Kristine, Liu, Bette, 2023. Coverage and predictors of influenza and pertussis vaccination during pregnancy: a whole of population-based study. *Vaccine* 41 (43), 6522–6529.
- Howe, Anna S, Gauld, Natalie J, Cavadino, Alana Y, Petousis-Harris, Helen, Dumble, Felicity, Sinclair, Owen, Grant, Cameron C, 2022. Increasing uptake of maternal pertussis vaccinations through funded administration in community pharmacies. *Vaccines* 10 (2), 150.
- Howe, Anna S, Pointon, Leah, Gauld, Natalie, Paynter, Janine, Willing, Esther, Turner, Nikki, 2020. Pertussis and influenza immunisation coverage of pregnant women in New Zealand. *Vaccine* 38 (43), 6766–6776.
- Iusitini, Leon, Pacheco, Gail, Schober, Thomas, 2024. Assessing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on childhood vaccine uptake with administrative data. *SSM-Population Health* 26, 101657.
- Iusitini, Leon, Pacheco, Gail, Schober, Thomas, 2026. Explaining the Decline in Childhood Immunisation: Socioeconomic, Parental, and Health System Drivers. Auckland University of Technology, Economics Working Paper Series, Working Paper 2026-02.
- Javanian, Mostafa, Barary, Mohammad, Ghebrehewet, Sam, Koppolu, Veerendra, Vasingala, VeneelaKrishnaRekha, Ebrahimpour, Soheil, 2021. A brief review of influenza virus infection. *J. Med. Virol.* 93 (8), 4638–4646.
- Kandeil, Walid, van Den Ende, Caroline, Bunge, Eveline M, Jenkins, Victoria A, Ceregido, Maria Angeles, Guignard, Adrienne, 2020. A systematic review of the burden of pertussis disease in infants and the effectiveness of maternal immunization against pertussis. *Expert. Rev. Vaccines* 19 (7), 621–638.
- Kantarevic, Jasmin, Mechoulan, Stéphane, 2006. Birth order, educational attainment, and earnings: An investigation using the PSID. *J. Hum. Resour.* 41 (4), 755–777.
- Larkai, Eva, Davies, Anna, Toolan, Miriam, Lynch, Mary, Plachcinski, Rachel, Larkin, Michael, Fraser, Abigail, Burden, Christy, Merriel, Abi, 2025. What do antenatal women want from their antenatal education? A national survey. *Matern. Child Health J.* 29 (3), 324–337.
- Lehmann, Jee-Yeon K, Nuevo-Chiquero, Ana, Vidal-Fernandez, Marian, 2018. The early origins of birth order differences in children's outcomes and parental behavior. *J. Hum. Resour.* 53 (1), 123–156.
- Nunes, Marta C., Madhi, Shabir A., 2018. Influenza vaccination during pregnancy for prevention of influenza confirmed illness in the infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum. Vaccines Immunother.* 14 (3), 758–766.
- Price, Joseph, 2008. Parent-child quality time: Does birth order matter? *J. Hum. Resour.* 43 (1), 240–265.
- Properzi, Sara, Carestia, Roberta, Biretoni, Virginia, Calesso, Vanessa, Marinelli, Benedetta, Scapicchi, Elisa, Brillo, Eleonora, de Waure, Chiara, 2024. Vaccination of pregnant women: an overview of European policies and strategies to promote it. *Front. Public Health* 12, 1455318.
- Pruckner, Gerald J, Schneeweis, Nicole, Schober, Thomas, Zweimüller, Martina, 2021. Birth order, parental health investment, and health in childhood. *J. Health Econ.* 76, 102426.
- Razzaghi, Hilda, Kahn, K.E., Calhoun, K., 2023. Influenza, Tdap, and COVID-19 vaccination coverage and hesitancy among pregnant women - United States, April 2023. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* 72.
- Regan, Annette K, Moore, Hannah C, Binks, Michael J, McHugh, Lisa, Blyth, Christopher C, Pereira, Gavin, Lust, Karin, Sarna, Mohinder, Andrews, Ross, Foo, Damien, et al., 2023. Maternal pertussis vaccination, infant immunization, and risk of pertussis. *Pediatrics* 152 (5), e2023062664.
- Sahni, Leila C, Olson, Samantha M, Halasa, Natasha B, Stewart, Laura S, Michaels, Marian G, Williams, John V, Englund, Janet A, Klein, Eileen J, Staat, Mary A, Schlaudecker, Elizabeth P, et al., 2024. Maternal vaccine effectiveness against influenza-associated hospitalizations and emergency department visits in infants. *JAMA Pediatr.* 178 (2), 176–184.
- Stats NZ, 2022. Integrated data infrastructure. URL: <https://www.stats.govt.nz/integrated-data/integrated-data-infrastructure/>.
- UKHSA, 2023. Appendix 1: influenza vaccine uptake. UK Health Security Agency. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-flu-immunisation-programme-plan/appendix-1-influenza-vaccine-uptake>.
- UKHSA, 2025. Prenatal pertussis vaccination coverage in England from January to March 2024, and annual coverage for 2023 to 2024. UK Health Security Agency. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pertussis-immunisation-in-pregnancy-vaccine-coverage-estimates-in-england-october-2013-to-march-2014>.
- Vygen-Bonnet, Sabine, Hellenbrand, Wiebke, Garbe, Edeltraut, von Kries, Rüdiger, Bogdan, Christian, Heining, Ulrich, Röbl-Mathieu, Marianne, Harder, Thomas, 2020. Safety and effectiveness of acellular pertussis vaccination during pregnancy: a systematic review. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 20, 1–22.
- Winslade, C.G., Heffernan, C.M., Atchison, C.J., 2017. Experiences and perspectives of mothers of the pertussis vaccination programme in London. *Public Health* 146, 10–14.
- Young, Amber, Charania, Nadia A, Gauld, Natalie, Norris, Pauline, Turner, Nikki, Willing, Esther, 2022. Knowledge and decisions about maternal immunisation by pregnant women in Aotearoa New Zealand. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* 22 (1), 779.