Can Universities Be Considered Queer Institutions?

Scott Pilkington and Tof Eklund*

Abstract

Universities have Queer staff and students and conduct Queer research, so are they Queer institutions themselves? Can universities be 'safe spaces' for Rainbow Communities? Unfortunately, there is insufficient data in Aotearoa/New Zealand to be able to conclusively test that, so the historical and contemporary relationships with and treatment and experiences at university of women, Māori and Pacific Peoples are considered as alternatives for those with Rainbow Communities. For each of these marginalised groups, similar patterns of oppression and discrimination present through consistent lack of career progression, pay equity and representation in senior leadership, short-term and precarious employment, harassment and violence, and sidelining into small and out-of-the-way departments and disciplines held in lower validity to 'traditional' subjects. However, scholars from these communities are forming their own research and support networks which are inclusive, intersectional and interdisciplinary. Within this framework of bottom-up initiatives, universities *could* be Queer institutions in the same way that they *could* be feminist and Indigenous institutions. It is entirely possible—but may involve rebuilding our institutions from the ground up rather than applying a new coat of paint.

Keywords: Queer; Rainbow Communities; LGBTQIA+; universities

In an untitled presentation at the 2015 Queers in Tertiary (QIT) hui, Welby Ings explored the historical role of educational institutions as safe spaces. He highlighted that Queer youth in high school often gravitate towards libraries, art rooms, drama studios and music practice spaces. These areas, he argued, are chosen—perhaps unconsciously—because they are supervised and therefore safer due to the presence of teachers. This sense of relative security contributes to Queer students performing better academically and being more likely to pursue higher education. From this, universities are often theorised as 'safe spaces' where like-minded individuals are protected from the perceived dangers of the 'real world'.

But does this make universities Queer institutions? Are they Queer spaces?

Research into Rainbow Communities within universities is limited, largely because data has historically not been collected. Ings observed, in the same talk, that Rainbow Communities are among the "most studied and least communicated with" in the world. Within universities, Rainbow Communities often remain invisible—ephemeral; present yet unsupported. Although universities have recently begun collecting data on Rainbow Communities, these efforts are nascent and incomplete, and no studies have yet been published. Furthermore, in hostile environments, staff may choose not to disclose their identities, rendering the data inaccurate or incomplete. Within Aotearoa/New Zealand (hereafter, Aotearoa), recent studies have begun to focus on Queer university students (for example, Brown et al., 2020; Collens & du Preez, 2021; Fenaughty et al., 2021a, 2021b; and Garcia et al., 2024) and the 2023 Census was the first to collect gender

* **Scott Pilkington** (he/any) is a higher research degree specialist and an honorary research fellow in Museums & Cultural Heritage at Waipapa Taumata Rau | University of Auckland. An MDes student and co-chair of Out@AUT, he advocates for inclusivity at AUT. Connect with Scott on social media: @spil030.
Corresponding author: scott.pilkington@auckland.ac.nz
Tof Eklund (they/them) is a lecturer in the School of Social Sciences and Humanities at Te Wānanga Aronui o Tāmaki Makau Rau | Auckland University of Technology. They hold a PhD in English from the University of Florida, focusing on time and narrative in printmaking, comics and video games. A queer parent, anarchist and creator, they're "interested in everything"—except team sports.

and sexual identity from everyone in Aotearoa (Ludlam et al., 2024), although wider studies have not yet been published. These studies tend to be survey-based, with a limited number of interviews or focus groups, rather than population studies like those used to examine specific ethnicities or women in universities. In 2023, Te Wānanga Aronui o Tāmaki Makau Rau | Auckland University of Technology (hereafter, AUT) launched *Ia*, the world's first e-portal dedicated to collating Rainbow research (Auckland University of Technology, 2023; Ings, 2023).

Limited data on Queer experiences in universities (see Crowhurst & Emslie, 2014) necessitates using proxy methodologies. This article examines how the marginalisation of women, Māori and Pacific Peoples can illuminate broader institutional inequities affecting Rainbow Communities. Sara Ahmed (2012, p. 20) suggests that the exclusion of one group reveals systemic practices of marginalisation, but warns that research can inadvertently stabilise harmful institutional norms. Similarly, Linda Tuhiwai Smith (2012) shows how Indigenous experiences highlight structural inequities, but cautions that colonial institutions often perpetuate their power structures, presenting ethical challenges for scholars.

Proxy methodologies, though valuable, have limitations. While the concerns of these groups differ from those of Queer students and staff—and Queer identities are far from homogenous—an intersectional understanding of kyriarchy in Aotearoa views these communities as distinct but interconnected. This approach offers a more relevant local measure than small-scale studies from the United States. Other marginalised groups, such as those from non-Western cultures, working-class families and people with disabilities, also face systemic barriers that warrant further exploration. Like Rainbow Communities, these groups are understudied and deserve greater scholarly attention.

This discussion begins by examining the role of universities in colonisation and the concept of safe spaces, exploring how such spaces contribute to queering an institution. It then delves into the experiences of different marginalised groups, contextualising Rainbow Communities within the broader framework of Aotearoa universities. Finally, the discussion returns to the notion of safe spaces and the idea of the Queer university.

A Queer university would provide safety and support for Rainbow Communities and other marginalised groups. It should challenge heteronormativity, cisnormativity and systemic violence, while equitably resourcing Queer disciplines with the same validity and respect accorded to others. By advancing intersectionality, embracing fourth-wave feminism and integrating Indigenous knowledge, such an institution could transform academic spaces.

Definitions and scope

This article uses *Rainbow Communities* and *Queer* as umbrella terms for a wide variety of sexual orientations and gender identities. While these terms are inclusive, they reflect anglophone concepts of identity and may not fully encapsulate Indigenous terms like takatāpui (Māori) or MVPFAFF (Pacific Peoples) (Hamley et al., 2021; Kerekere, 2017; McLennan, 2021; Thomsen & Brown-Acton, 2021). Queer encompasses both identities and a theoretical framework challenging heteronormativity (the assumption of heterosexuality as the norm) and cisnormativity (the assumption that gender identity always aligns with biological sex) (Brickell & Collard, 2019; Cui, 2024; Das, 2020; Hoad & Gunn, 2019; Nguyen, 2018; Ryan, 2020). The words Queer and Rainbow Communities are capitalised throughout to centre our scholars and communities. The focus of this article is on the eight extant universities in Aotearoa.

Fourth-wave feminism, responding to the historically white focus of earlier waves, emphasises intersectionality—the interconnectedness of identities such as gender, race, class, sexuality and culture—and the overlapping systems of oppression they face (Parry et al., 2018; Phillips & Cree, 2014). Coined by Kimberlé Crenshaw (1991), *intersectionality* allows for the highlighting of differences within Queer groups (for example, cis- and transgender) and across identities (for example, white trans women and fa'afafine).

Coming out (of 'the closet') refers to the public declaration of one's sexuality, seen as both personal empowerment and social revolution in Queer liberation movements (Allan, 2017; Brickell, 2008; Gamage, 2019). *Passing* describes being perceived as belonging to a dominant identity group, such as heterosexual or cisgender, often as a survival strategy in hostile environments. Passing intersects with race, gender expression and class, complicating how identities are navigated in public and private (Dawson, 2018). Historically, academia was dominated by white heterosexual men, with gay men having comparatively greater access due to their ability to pass as straight. Some men were known or suspected to be Queer but could maintain their positions if they adhered to heteronormative behaviours in public and did not openly disclose their identities. This was particularly true for white men, who had greater societal privilege and access to power (Atmore, 1995; Das, 2020; Smith, 2020).

Universities as place of colonisation

Racism and misogyny in universities are not merely products of individual bias but are embedded in the institution's structure and history. Systemic racism operates through exclusionary practices that prioritise Anglo-European knowledge and methodologies (Smith, 2012). Similarly, misogyny manifests in undervaluing women's contributions and relegating women to precarious roles. These dynamics provide a lens for understanding how heteronormativity and cisnormativity might also shape universities' treatment of Queer individuals.

Universities in Aotearoa benefit materially, socially and financially from the legacies of colonisation. They were founded using profits from colonial invasion, built on confiscated land, and continue to claim credibility as safe and inclusive spaces while profiting from the diversity they purport to celebrate (Naepi, 2024; Smith et al., 2021). Historically, universities also held significant landholdings which provided income to offset operational costs (Beaglehole, 1937; Naepi, 2024; New Zealand University Reserves Act 1875; The University Endowment Act 1868; University of New Zealand, 1871, 1879). This practice, though starkly visible in Aotearoa, mirrors patterns in other colonial contexts (Gardner, 1979, pp. 38–39; Stein, 2020).

However, universities are not merely passive inheritors of colonial wealth—they can also be considered active participants in colonial oppression. These institutions are structured to protect elite interests and uphold traditional power hierarchies, perpetuating systemic and endemic forms of oppression (Kidman & Chu, 2017; Mayeda et al., 2022; McAllister et al., 2019; Pitcher & Simmons, 2020; Smith et al., 2021). Indigenous scholars are often coerced into adopting colonial methodologies for career survival, with rewards disproportionately granted to those who conform to these norms or exploit others in their research practices (Allen et al., 2022; de Oliveira Andreotti et al., 2015; Leenen-Young et al., 2021).

If universities systematically fail to accommodate individuals who do not fit the mould of conservative, affluent white men—and instead perpetuate discrimination based on class, race and gender—then we must ask whether they can ever be safe spaces for Rainbow Communities.

Universities as a 'safe space'

Universities house Queer staff and students and produce Queer research—but does this make them Queer spaces? While universities might appear as safe havens, clustering marginalised groups together and segregating them from the real world, this perception is fraught with contradictions. The long-standing 'town versus gown' debates highlight the notion of universities as isolated entities, often at odds with the surrounding community (Heaney, 2013; Miller, 1963).

Historically, universities were physically and culturally separated from society. Early institutions focused on theological and legal education, housed their populations apart, adhered to distinctive dress codes, and even spoke in Latin to reinforce their exclusivity (Cox, 2001, 2006; MacCulloch, 2009; Shaw,

1995; Smith, 1970). This history has perpetuated the idea that universities are insular spaces, detached from societal realities, and home to individuals perceived as disconnected from the real world.

In theory, this separation positions universities as potential safe spaces for the ultra-liberal, vulnerably Queer and socially unconventional. However, this same environment also provides refuge for hyper-conservative ideologies, morally ambiguous actors, and even proponents of alt-right agendas. Universities' dual nature—as conservative institutions with deeply entrenched traditions and as centres of innovation—creates a complex and often contradictory relationship with their communities. This tension persists today, evidenced by the ongoing fascination with pseudo-science, including eugenics and 'racial science', gender and biological determinism, 'gender critical' biology, and scientific universalism and imperialism which have historically found a foothold within academic circles.

Despite their reputation for being progressive and liberal, universities are steeped in heteronormativity and cisnormativity, which remain pervasive across institutions (Brown et al., 2020; Garcia et al., 2024; Smith et al., 2021). Unlike other marginalised groups, however, many Queer individuals have the ability to pass as cis and heterosexual, and become effectively invisible as a means of self-preservation (Brown et al., 2020, p. 153). While this can offer a form of protection, it also contributes to their erasure within the university context and exacerbates the lack of comprehensive data on Queer staff and students.

Queer individuals have likely existed within universities for centuries. While institutions have a long history of marginalising Queer students and failing to protect them from discrimination and violence, Queer students also have a history of surviving and thriving in these environments (Pitcher & Simmons, 2020; Pryor, 2021). For some, universities—much like monastic life in earlier eras—offered a way to escape the heteronormative expectations of broader society.

Kristen Renn (2010) describes this duality in her foundational work *LGBT and Queer research in higher education*, highlighting how Queer people and Queer research are embedded within a paradox. Universities are both the birthplace of much Queer theory and spaces largely untouched by the Queer agenda, remaining controlled by conservative forces. Pitcher and Simmons (2020, p. 477) expand on this, noting that universities have "sought to eradicate queer presences on campus both historically and presently".

Gender

Universities in Aotearoa were early admitters of women to the academy. The first woman graduate in the British Empire was Kate Edger (later Evans) in 1877, marking a milestone not only for Aotearoa, but also for women's access to tertiary education worldwide. During this period, most students were part-time, so while universities had existed for eight years, Edgar was only the third student in the country to graduate with a degree (University of New Zealand, 1882, p. 112).

Winifred Boys-Smith became the first female professor in Aotearoa in 1911, soon followed by Helen Rawson, both at University of Otago (McDonald, 1996). Despite this long heritage, women's inclusion in Aotearoa universities has consistently been marked by systemic barriers. Early appointments often relegated women to marginalised spaces. For example, Boys-Smith and Rawson were relegated to "the tin shed", a building previously used by the Dunedin School of Mines, where they taught a degree and diploma in domestic science for women, and often had to work from Boys-Smith's home due to a lack of on-campus facilities (McDonald, 1996).

For women academics, achieving stable and permanent employment has proven arduous. Many women were offered only casual or semester-based teaching contracts, while those who accompanied their husbands to universities were largely excluded from the academic workforce altogether. Instead, they were consigned to the university Staff Wives Club, where they provided unpaid support to the university community. These clubs, while offering some social support, were classist and restricted to the wives of

staff with societal status. Universities distanced themselves from these organisations, dismissing them as "external" and providing little institutional backing (Clarke, 2018; Segedin, 1983).

Beatrice Muriel Hill Tinsley (1941–1981), after whom the Hill Tinsley Medal (awarded by the New Zealand Association of Scientists) and the Beatrice Hill Tinsley Lecture (hosted by the Royal Astronomical Society of New Zealand) are named, exemplifies the systemic barriers faced by women academics. Born in England and raised in Aotearoa, Hill Tinsley was an astronomer and cosmologist whose pioneering work gained international recognition. Despite graduating from Canterbury University College with first-class honours in physics, she was unable to secure a position there because her physicist husband was already employed by the institution—a common practice that effectively excluded many married women from academic careers. After moving to the United States, she completed a PhD at the University of Texas at Austin, but she continued to struggle to find a permanent academic position. Eventually, she became Yale's first female professor of astronomy, a remarkable achievement overshadowed by her untimely death from melanoma at age 40 (King, 2012, pp. 420, 512–513; Ministry for Culture and Heritage, 2020; Priestley, 2014; Royal Society Te Apārangi, 2017).

A lack of childcare facilities has long been an issue for women in universities. For many, having children spells the end of their studies or careers. In Dunedin, women from the Staff Wives Club and some students took matters into their own hands, establishing a creche in the old church hall of All Saints Anglican Church (Clarke, 2018, pp. 121–122). This lack of institutional support persists today, exemplified by AUT's decision to close its early childhood centre as part of a financial recovery programme (Duff, 2022). Ironically, AUT's creche, opened in 1978 with the students' association operating it as an incorporated society, had once been celebrated as a national model by the Social Welfare Department (Shaw, 2002, p. 96).

Women's careers in Aotearoa universities have thus been characterised by systemic neglect. They face significant discrimination in salaries, flexibility of working hours, and decision-making opportunities, particularly for those not on academic contracts. This divide is further exacerbated by an 'us versus them' mentality between academic and professional staff, perpetuating hostility and undermining solidarity (Ricketts & Pringle, 2014).

While women's participation in tertiary education surpasses men's, they remain underrepresented in senior academic and leadership roles, a trend reflected internationally (Bönisch-Brednich & White, 2021; Brower & James, 2020; Douglas & Ravenswood, 2019; Harris & Leberman, 2012; McAllister et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2016; Stringer et al., 2018; Walker et al., 2020). A 2020 study using data across all Aotearoa universities from 2012–2017, found women comprise only 31–36% of associate professors/HODs and 19– 26% of professors/deans, despite women comprising approximately 50% of the overall academic workforce (Walker et al., 2020). Of the eight Aotearoa universities, three currently have female vice-chancellors (VCs), but four have never appointed a woman to the role permanently. To date, no university in Aotearoa has had a Māori or openly Queer VC, and only in 2022, did AUT appoint the country's first Pacific Peoples VC. These patterns of systemic exclusion indicate that Aotearoa universities fall short of embodying feminist values in their structures and leadership. This ongoing failure raises serious questions about their capacity to embrace the values and changes necessary to be Queer institutions.

Race

Universities in Aotearoa have a long history of failing to support Indigenous students, stemming largely from their colonial origins and structures designed to protect their elite, Anglo-European nature. These institutions are dominated by academics who are protective of their status and privilege, perpetuating exclusionary practices that marginalise Māori and Pacific Peoples communities (Kidman, 2020; Kidman & Chu, 2017).

The political and social movements of the 1970s and 1980s, inspired by global civil rights activism, saw an increase in Māori and Pacific Peoples students—often the first in their families—attending universities and beginning academic careers (Kidman et al., 2015; King, 2012, pp. 486–487; Tocker, 2014). However, the next generation of Māori and Pacific Peoples scholars face greater barriers. They are more likely than their predecessors to carry significant student debt, experience job precarity, and delay milestones such as homeownership or starting families due to economic pressures (Kidman et al., 2015; Tocker, 2014).

Māori and Pacific Peoples staff were often relegated to small, peripheral departments designed to cluster and contain them, limiting their ability to challenge broader institutional structures. Barber and Naepi (2022, p. 2) observe: "It is clear that universities … prefer to sterilise the threat of this subterranean unsettling of disciplinary formations by keeping Māori and Pacific Peoples contained in Māori and Pacific Studies departments", which were established as separate entities late in the twentieth century (Clarke, 2018, pp. 145-147). Similar patterns are evident in Australia, where Indigenous scholars are similarly isolated within Indigenous centres (Sullivan & Day, 2021). For those who remain in mainstream departments, systemic issues such as cultural safety and workplace toxicity persist (for example, see Shaw, 2002, p. 139).

Barber and Naepi (2022, pp. 2–3) further highlight that Māori and Pacific Peoples scholars are disproportionately confined to lower levels of academia. They are simultaneously underpaid and under-promoted (McAllister et al., 2019; McAllister et al., 2020; Naepi, 2019; Naepi et al., 2020), a direct consequence of institutional structures that perpetuate their exclusion (Kidman, 2020; Kidman & Chu, 2017, 2019; Kidman et al., 2015; Naepi, 2021).

Studies in 2019 and 2020 revealed that Māori scholars hold only 3.5–4.1% of senior academic positions, and Pacific Peoples scholars hold a mere 0.9%, despite Māori and Pacific Peoples comprising approximately 5.6% and 1.5% of the overall academic workforce, respectively (McAllister et al., 2019; McAllister et al., 2020; Naepi, 2019; Naepi et al., 2020). Compounding this, Māori academics often begin their careers later than their peers, with the average age of Māori doctoral students being 49 years (Kidman et al., 2015).

Like other academic minorities, including women and Rainbow Communities, Māori and Pacific Peoples scholars face discrimination, microaggressions and disproportionately high expectations. They are required to fulfil the same academic responsibilities as their colleagues while also shouldering additional demands. Within universities, they are often called upon to mentor students and colleagues, serve on diversity panels and participate in tokenistic roles. Outside academia, they are expected to engage in community leadership and support (Kidman, 2020; Kidman et al., 2015; Naepi, 2021; Naepi et al., 2019).

A further challenge lies in the categorical separation of knowledge systems. Indigenous knowledge, such as Mātauranga Māori, is often ignored, discredited or confined to specialist departments, keeping it out of sight and disconnected from the broader academic landscape (Barber & Naepi, 2022; Kidman, 2020; Leenen-Young et al., 2021; Naepi et al., 2021).

These systemic exclusions are reinforced by public racist attacks. Recent examples include hostile criticism from prominent figures such as English biologist Richard Dawkins and the '*New Zealand Listener* Seven', a group of white academics who denounced the inclusion of Mātauranga Māori as science (Newshub, 2022). Although these attacks specifically target Māori, they reflect broader ideologies that also harm Pacific Peoples and other marginalised scholars. Similar violent rhetoric is directed at women and Rainbow Communities, with trans and gender-diverse individuals bearing the brunt of these attacks.

In response, marginalised groups within academia have called for collective action. Smith and Wolfgramm-Foliaki (2022, as cited in Barber and Naepi, 2022, p. 11) advocate "pikipiki hama – lash our canoes together in order to transform our tertiary land/seascapes for our communities." This ethos of solidarity highlights the need for systemic change to create inclusive, equitable universities.

This endemic, systemic oppression underscores that Aotearoa universities fail to embody the principles of Māori, Pacific Peoples or Indigenous institutions. Their reluctance to confront and challenge

colonial histories, structures and practices further entrenches exclusion and inequity. This persistent failure not only hinders progress towards inclusivity but also raises serious doubts about their capacity to evolve into Queer or genuinely intersectional spaces.

Sexuality

Sexual and gender diversity has emerged as a relatively new focus for universities, particularly in Aotearoa. One reason for this is the relative invisibility of Rainbow Communities compared with other marginalised groups such as women and Māori and Pacific Peoples. Unlikely these groups, members of Rainbow Communities can often pass in other departments or social contexts, reducing the perceived urgency for institutional action.

This invisibility was further reinforced by the criminalisation of homosexuality in Aotearoa until 1986 and prevailing conservative societal attitudes, which pushed Rainbow Communities underground. It was not until the late 1970s that these communities began to emerge as a visible force. Consequently, universities lacked both the pressure and the data necessary to address systemic inequities. Even now, comprehensive data on Queer staff and students remains sparse, with universities only recently beginning to collect relevant information.

While there are no published records of overt or institutional exclusion based solely on sexual or gender identity within Aotearoa universities, historical parallels can be drawn from documented purges of Queer individuals in military and government departments following World War II. These purges, fuelled by homophobia and paranoia about national security, targeted individuals perceived as threats due to their sexual orientation (Brickell, 2008, 2018, 2024; Laurie, 2011; Willett & Brickell, 2016). Such systemic exclusion underscores the broader societal context within which universities operated, making it likely that similar attitudes permeated academic institutions, albeit less visibly.

Despite these systemic gaps, universities continue to position themselves as liberal and progressive institutions. In his history of the University of New Zealand, J. C. Beaglehole (1937, p. 75) wrote: "The University of New Zealand might have its faults: it was never to be accused of a narrow spirit of exclusiveness." This assertion is particularly striking given Beaglehole's own exclusion from Auckland University College the decade earlier due to his socialist and pacifist views (Munro, 2007; Sinclair, 1983, pp. 151–157). His statement reflects the paternalistic mindset of early institutions, which equated inclusivity with the absence of overt exclusion for white men. Such an outlook disregards the systemic barriers faced by women, Māori, Pacific Peoples and Queer scholars, which persist today in the form of precarious employment, microaggressions and tokenistic inclusion.

'Modern' universities

Universities often portray themselves as modern, progressive and cutting-edge social institutions. However, ongoing research exposes deeply entrenched patterns of discrimination, particularly against women and non-white staff. Studies highlight systemic inequities in pay, precarious employment, and limited opportunities for promotion among women (Brower & James, 2020; Douglas & Ravenswood, 2019; McAllister et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2016; Stringer et al., 2018; Walker et al., 2020) as well as Māori and Pacific Peoples academics (McAllister, Kokaua, et al., 2020; McAllister, Naepi, et al., 2020; Naepi et al., 2019; Naepi et al., 2021; Theodore et al., 2021). These inequities are compounded by disparities in the allocation of student scholarships, which disproportionally affect vulnerable populations (Soar et al., 2022). Discrimination on campus extends beyond pay and career progression. Harassment and bullying remain pervasive, especially for those who are not affluent, cisgendered white men (Clark et al., 2022; McAllister et al., 2022). Sexual harassment, predominantly targeting women, has frequently been brought to public attention, yet university systems often protect the perpetrators rather than addressing systemic

issues. Cases highlighted in both academic research and media reports reveal institutional failings in safeguarding victims and ensuring accountability (Besley et al., 2021; McCulloch, 2022; Shaw, 2002; Showden, 2018; Smolovic Jones et al., 2013; Sumner, 2022).

Are universities Queer spaces?

Building on the historical patterns of marginalisation based on class, gender and race within universities, it is critical to examine how these institutions engage with Rainbow Communities. This section explores whether universities can be considered Queer spaces and evaluates their potential to evolve into Queer institutions.

Over the last half-century, civil rights movements and student activism have made universities key incubators for social change (McDonagh, 2019). Since the 1970s, universities have been pivotal in advocating for marginalised groups, with campus-based organisations often spearheading decriminalisation efforts and other progressive reforms (Aldrich, 2004; McDonagh, 2019; Pryor, 2021; Renn, 2010). Even in unwelcoming environments, Queer students have played critical roles in activism and protest movements (McDonagh, 2019; Pryor, 2018).

The first documented gay student organisation, the Student Homophile League at Columbia University, was established immediately after the 1969 Stonewall Riots in New York ("Birth of the gay movement"; 2022; Renn, 2010). In Aotearoa, Queer student activism surged in 1972 when Ngahuia Te Awekōtuku(Te Aramawa, Tūhoe)challenged her peers to form a gay liberation movement. After being denied entry to the USA, she and 40 students founded the Auckland Gay Liberation Front (GLF) in her flat just six days later ("Birth of the gay movement"; 2022; Burke, 2007; Hansen, n.d.; Hansen & Pollock, 2022). While Rainbow Communities groups began to emerge alongside these global movements, organised and sustained Queer advocacy within universities gained traction only in the early 1990s. However, campuses were not immune to violence. A 1994 New Zealand University Students Association revealed that 55% of respondents from Rainbow Communities reported verbal harassment and 12% experienced physical assault while they were students (Bennachie, 2009).

It is essential to highlight the lack of research, resources and institutional support for trans and gender-diverse individuals, as well as for those who navigate multiple layers of marginalisation (Allen et al., 2020; Greathouse et al., 2018; Hansen, n.d.; Hansen & Pollock, 2022; Pitcher & Simmons, 2020; Pryor, 2021; Renn, 2010). For instance, Te Awekōtukucontributed to the Auckland GLF for a year before directing her energy towards Māori activism with Ngā Tamatoa (Hansen & Pollock, 2022), underscoring the intersectionality of cultural and Queer identities.

One promising area for universities lies in fostering intersectional spaces, policies and structures that address the multifaceted identities of Queer individuals. While many within Rainbow Communities are able to pass as cisgender or straight, others cannot simply 'turn off' aspects of their identities, and it is incumbent upon universities to create environments that reflect and support this complexity (Pryor, 2018; Sullivan & Day, 2021).

Patrick Thomsen (2022) critiques the lack of research and support for Pacific Peoples Rainbow Communities in Aotearoa and globally. He contributes this to colonial dominance in knowledge production and safety concerns for Queer researchers. Despite these barriers, Thomsen (2022, p. 122) highlights the "foundational whiteness" of Ango-settler universities as creating "side-spaces" where Pacific Peoples Queer scholars carve visibility and redefine academic engagement.

Rainbow Tick and 'inclusion'

While some universities in Aotearoa have signed up to the Rainbow Tick—a diversity and inclusion certification organisation—this does not necessarily mean that universities are inherently Queer/Rainbow

institutions. Critics frequently question whether such accreditations serve as genuine commitments to inclusivity or merely as window dressing to attract the 'pink dollar'. Despite these certifications, problematic behaviour often persists within universities, ranging from requests for individuals to 'tone it down' to instances of outright transphobia (personal communication; Hansen, n.d.). Certifications like the Rainbow Tick exemplify pinkwashing—superficial diversity initiatives that project inclusivity while neglecting meaningful structural reform. Sullivan and Day (2021, p. 3) describe such efforts as "descriptive" and "performative", reliant on symbolic gestures and buzzwords rather than actionable policies. Sara Ahmed (2012, pp. 84–85) describes this institutional behaviour as "performance culture", where universities prioritise appearances over substantive change. According to Ahmed, such efforts aim to convey that institutions are "doing the right thing", even when those actions fail to address systemic inequities. This focus on optics mirrors the experiences of women, Māori and Pacific Peoples where tokenism often obscures the absence of meaningful structural support (personal communication with Māori and Pacific Peoples cultural advisers and Women on Campus staff).

While significant research addresses the underrepresentation of women and Māori and Pacific Peoples in senior academic roles, data on Rainbow Communities remains sparse. Anecdotal evidence points to similar challenges for Queer researchers, including marginalisation into specialised spaces, physical isolation and invisibility within broader academic structures. The presence of a Queer Studies department might suggest that a university is inherently Queer. However, as seen with Māori/Pacific/Indigenous Studies or Women/Gender Studies departments, such initiatives do not necessarily translate into safe or equitable environments for their associated communities. Matt Brim (2020) critiques Queer Studies departments as serving institutional managers and publicity goals rather than the needs of Queer staff and students. These departments are often underfunded, marginalised and treated as holding areas for 'troublesome' Queer academics—experiences echoed by Māori, Pacific Peoples and Indigenous Australian researchers (Barber & Naepi, 2022; Sullivan & Day, 2021). Institutional support for Rainbow Communities and Queer staff does not guarantee their safety or sense of belonging on campus. Research highlights a continuum of challenges, from microaggressions to overt harassment, that persist despite diversity initiatives (Brim, 2020; Greathouse et al., 2018; Pryor, 2018, 2021; Showden, 2018). Ultimately, superficial reforms and the pinkwashing of universities fail to dismantle systemic oppression or foster genuinely inclusive, intersectional institutions. The tokenistic inclusion yet systemic exclusion of Māori, Pacific Peoples and women demonstrates the broader Indigenous and feminist failings of these universities, raising significant doubts about their capacity to embrace meaningful change for Rainbow Communities.

Discussion and conclusion

In lieu of sufficient data on Queer people in universities, the treatment of women, Māori and Pacific Peoples scholars serves as a revealing proxy for understanding the systemic oppression faced by Rainbow Communities. These groups expose an endemic culture of exclusion designed to suppress the 'dangerous', 'difficult' and 'problematic' ideas of marginalised academics. Simultaneously, universities preserve their conservative core—protecting the interests of political and financial backers—while projecting a progressive image of inclusivity. This duality allows universities to benefit socially, materially and financially from their perceived liberal ideals, all while perpetuating deeply ingrained inequities.

Intersectionality underscores the need for solidarity among marginalised groups. The maxim "Until we're all free, none of us are" highlights how liberation for one group must encompass the struggles of others. Rainbow Communities that may not be able to pass—including trans, gender-diverse and Queer individuals of colour—often experience intensified marginalisation. By being out and proud, Queer scholars can serve as allies to other intersectional identities, embodying a collective resistance to systemic exclusion.

True allyship requires shared responsibility for advancing the rights of all marginalised identities in academia.

Marginalised scholars are frequently employed in precarious roles, underpaid, under-resourced and under-promoted. Subject to both tangible and intangible violence, they are often relegated to specialist departments or peripheral spaces that limit their ability to challenge broader institutional hierarchies. Tokenistic inclusion exacerbates their invisibility, with early career researchers and students from marginalised backgrounds disproportionately bearing the brunt of short-term contracts and limited opportunities and being the first targeted in financial recovery plans. These constraints delay career progression and prevent these scholars from establishing long-term roots within academia.

Despite these systemic barriers, universities have the potential to evolve into Queer institutions, just as they could aspire to become feminist or Indigenous institutions. However, such transformation requires a fundamental reimagining of institutional structures and philosophies. A growing movement of scholars—particularly within Aotearoa—is forging collaborative, interdisciplinary communities that disrupt the status quo. These networks focus on creating vibrant, fit-for-purpose research ecosystems that address the intersections of gender, race and sexuality. Scholars within these ecosystems have gained significant visibility through their roles as keynote speakers, journal editors, governmental advisers and thought leaders. By working within and against the university system, they are advancing equity-focused goals.

Universities, while not inherently safe spaces, have the capacity to foster smaller safe spaces and incubate transformative research and ideas. These environments enable Queer scholarship to flourish while supporting intersectional identities and communities. By actively addressing the interconnected nature of marginalisation, universities can create opportunities for Queer individuals and allies to lead the way in driving meaningful change. This leadership not only advances scholarship but also provides role models and guidance for future generations of Queer individuals, proving that systemic transformation is achievable. In this context, universities can become Queer institutions, just as they can become feminist and Indigenous institutions.

To realise this potential, universities must dismantle structural inequalities that perpetuate heteronormativity and cisnormativity. Intersectionality must be embedded into every aspect of academia, recognising and addressing the overlapping identities of Queer individuals, women and Indigenous communities. Collaborative, equity-driven networks across disciplines can lead this charge by challenging entrenched academic hierarchies and fostering meaningful change.

Queer academics must align with feminist and Indigenous scholars to reimagine a truly intersectional academy—one that values diverse knowledge systems, prioritises equity, and actively resists oppressive practices. This reimagined academy would empower staff and students to work freely across disciplines, challenge harmful norms and create environments free from violence and discrimination. Such an academy would not only serve as a model for inclusivity but also inspire broader social change, setting a foundation for a more equitable and inclusive future.

References

Ahmed, S. (2012). *On being included: Racism and diversity in institutional life*. Duke University Press.

Aldrich, R. (2004). Homosexuality and the city: An historical overview. *Urban Studies*, *41*(9), 1719–1737. https://doi.org/10.1080/0042098042000243129

Allan, Q. (2017). *Gay men coming out later in life: a phenomenological inquiry into disclosing sexual orientation in Aotearoa New Zealand* [Doctoral dissertation, Auckland University of Technology]. Tuwhera Open Access. https://openrepository.aut.ac.nz/items/8669ded8-25ce-42bb-acc8-fded8e7520d1

Allen, J. M. U., Bennett, J. L., Clark, Z. L., Escott, K.-R., Fa'avae, D. T. M., Kaulamatoa, J. L., Kaulamatoa, R., Lolohea, T., Porter, M., Pulu, V., Tapuke, S., Ualesi, Y., Withers, S. E., & Woolner, V. H. (2022). Relational and collective excellence: unfolding the potential of Pacific early career researchers. *Journal of The Royal Society of New Zealand*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/03036758.2022.2093228

Allen, L., Cowie, L., & Fenaughty, J. (2020). Safe but not safe: LGBTTIQA+ students' experiences of a university campus. *Higher Education Research & Development*, *39*(6), 1075–1090. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2019.1706453

Atmore, C. (1995). Drawing the line. *Journal of Homosexuality*, *30*(1), 23–52. https://doi.org/10.1300/J082v30n01_02

Auckland University of Technology. (2023, September 7). *A world first for AUT.* https://www.aut.ac.nz/news/stories/a-world-first-for-aut

Barber, S., & Naepi, S. (2022). Introduction [Editorial]. *New Zealand Sociology*, *37*(1), 1–19. https://www.saanz.net/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/0_Barber-and-Naepi_Introduction.pdf

Beaglehole, J. C. (1937). *The University of New Zealand: An historical study*. Council for Educational Research, Whitcombe & Tombs Ltd, Oxford University Press.

Bennachie, C. (2009). *Controlling anti-gay hate speech in New Zealand: The living word case from beginning to end* [Doctoral dissertation, Victoria University of Wellington]. Open AccessTe Herenga Waka–Victoria University of Wellington. http://researcharchive.vuw.ac.nz/bitstream/handle/10063/1204/thesis.pdf?sequence=1

Besley, T., Jackson, L., & Peters, M. A. (2021). Named or nameless: University ethics, confidentiality and sexual harassment. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, *54*(14),1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2021.1952865

Birth of the gay movement. (2022, June 3). Ministry for Culture and Heritage. https://nzhistory.govt.nz/culture/homosexual-law-reform/birth-of-the-gay-movement#:~:text=Social%20and%20political%20groups%20for,from%20events%20in%20the%20USA

Bönisch-Brednich, B., & White, K. (2021). Whatever happened to gender equality in Australian and New Zealand universities? In P. O'Connor & K. White (Eds.), *Gender, power and higher education in a globalised world,* (pp. 93–115). Springer.

Brickell, C. (2008). *Mates & lovers: A history of gay New Zealand.* Random House.

Brickell, C. (2018). Unpublished post-war writing and emergent gay cultures. *Journal of New Zealand Studies*, *26*, 18–33. https://doi.org/10.26686/jnzs.v0iNS26.4839

Brickell, C. (2024). New Zealand's military and the disciplining of sex between men, 1940–1960. *Journal of the History of Sexuality*, *33*(2), 188–210. https://doi.org/10.7560/jhs33202

Brickell, C., & Collard, J. (Eds.). (2019). *Queer objects.* Otago University Press.

Brim, M. (2020). Poor queer studies: Class, race, and the field. *Journal of Homosexuality*, *67*(3), 398–416. https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2018.1534410

Brower, A., & James, A. (2020). Research performance and age explain less than half of the gender pay gap in New Zealand universities. *PLOS One*, *15*(1), Article e0226392. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226392

Brown, J., Schmidt, J., & Veale, J. (2020). Reasons for (in)visibility on the university campus: Experiences of gender, sex and sexuality diverse staff and students. *New Zealand Sociology*, *35*(1), 153–175. https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.219533685990564

Burke, C. J. F. (2007). *Diversity or perversity? Investigating queer narratives, resistance, and representation in Aotearoa / New Zealand, 1948–2000* [Master's thesis, University of Waikato]. Research Commons. https://researchcommons.waikato.ac.nz/handle/10289/2245

Clark, M., Kan, U., Tse, E.-J., & Green, V. A. (2022). Identifying effective support for sexual minority university students who have experienced bullying. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/10538720.2022.2057381

Clarke, A. (2018). *Otago – 150 years of New Zealand's first university*. Otago University Press.

Collens, P., & du Preez, E. (2021). *AUT rainbow student survey report.* https://openrepository.aut.ac.nz/server/api/core/bitstreams/e8cb60c4-d52e-49d8-ba4e-f67276b4b80a/content

Cox, N. (2001). Academical dress in New Zealand. *Transactions of the Burgon Society*, *1*, 15–24. https://doi.org/10.4148/2475-7799.1003

Cox, N. (2006). Tudor sumptuary laws and academical dress: An act against wearing of costly apparel 1509 and an act for reformation of excess in apparel 1533. *Transactions of the Burgon Society*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.4148/2475-7799.1047

Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, *43*(6), 1241–1299. https://doi.org/10.2307/1229039

Crowhurst, M., & Emslie, M. (2014). Counting queers on campus: Collecting data on queerly identifying students. *Journal of LGBT Youth*, *11*(3), 276–288. https://doi.org/10.1080/19361653.2013.879466

Cui, L. (2024). "We are celebrated but not included": Heteronormativity and cisnormativity in Aotearoa New Zealand workplaces. *Kōtuitui: New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online*, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/1177083X.2024.2435452

Das, S. (2020). Queer methodologies and social science. In N. A. Naples (Ed.), *Companion to sexuality studies* (pp. 95–121). John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119315049.ch6

Dawson, L. (2018). Playing femme and not playing it straight: Passing, performance, and queering time and place. *Feral Feminisms*, *7*, 86–101. http://www.feralfeminisms.com/playing-femme/

de Oliveira Andreotti, V., Stein, S., Ahenakew, C., & Hunt, D. (2015). Mapping interpretations of decolonization in the context of higher education. *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society*, *4*(1), 21–40. https://jps.library.utoronto.ca/index.php/des/article/view/22168

Douglas, J., & Ravenswood, K. (2019). "We can't afford pay equity": Examining pay equity and equal pay policy in a neoliberal environment. *New Zealand Sociology*, *34*(2), 175–199. https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.901226625196284

Duff, M. (2022, 13 October). *"Gut-wrenching blow": AUT university plans to close childcare centre shortsighted, parents say*. Stuff. https://www.stuff.co.nz/national/300709930/gutwrenching-blow-aut-university-plans-to-close-childcare-centre-shortsighted-parents-say

Fenaughty, J., Sutcliffe, K., Ker, A., Lucassen, M., Greaves, L., Fleming, T., & Clark, T. C. (2021a). *A Youth19 brief: Same- and multiple-sex attracted students.* https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5bdbb75ccef37259122e59aa/t/607cb9d833521c74d11fd160/1618786781847/Youth19%C2%B1Brief_Same%C2%B1and%C2%B1multiple%C2%B1sex%C2%B1attracted%C2%B1students%C2%B1April2021.pdf

Fenaughty, J., Sutcliffe, K., Ker, A., Lucassen, M., Greaves, L., Fleming, T., & Clark, T. C. (2021b). *A Youth19 brief: Transgender and diverse gender students.* https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5bdbb75ccef37259122e59aa/t/607cb8431453ca0b05c53bb8/1618786373138/Youth19%C2%B1Brief_Transgender%C2%B1and%C2%B1diverse%C2%B1gender%C2%B1students%C2%B1April2021.pdf

Gamage, D. (2019). *LGBT visibility and affirmation in senior housing: Residents' perspectives on sexual and gender diversity* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Auckland]. ResearchSpace. https://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/handle/2292/50520

Garcia, C., Grant, E., Treharne, G. J., Arahanga-Doyle, H., Lucassen, M. F. G., Scarf, D., Taumoepeau, M., Veale, J., & Rapsey, C. (2024). "We'll be okay together": Navigating challenges as queer university students in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Kōtuitui: New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online*, *19*(2), 190–206. https://doi.org/10.1080/1177083X.2023.2235388

Gardner, W. J. (1979). *Colonial cap and gown: Studies in the mid-Victorian universities of Australasia*. University of Canterbury Press.

Greathouse, M., BrckaLorenz, A., Hoban, M., Huesman, R., Rankin, S., & Stolzenberg, E. B. (2018). A meta-analysis of queer-spectrum and trans-spectrum student experiences at US research universities. In K. M. Soria (Ed.), *Evaluating campus climate at US research universities: Opportunities for diversity and inclusion* (pp. 49–75). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94836-2_3

Hamley, L., Groot, S., Le Grice, J., Gillon, A., Greaves, L., Manchi, M., & Clark, T. (2021). "You're the one that was on Uncle's wall!": Identity, whanaungatanga and connection for takatāpui (LGBTQ+ Māori). *Genealogy*, *5*(2), Article 54. https://www.mdpi.com/2313-5778/5/2/54

Hansen, W. (n.d.). *A history of pride in Aotearoa New Zealand.* Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa. Retrieved 30 October 2020 from https://www.tepapa.govt.nz/discover-collections/read-watch-play/history/lgbtqi-histories-aotearoa-new-zealand/history-pride

Hansen, W., & Pollock, K. (2022, 28 February). *50 years of gay liberation in Aotearoa.* The Spinoff. https://thespinoff.co.nz/society/28-02-2022/50-years-of-gay-liberation-in-aotearoa

Harris, C. A., & Leberman, S. I. (2012). Leadership development for women in New Zealand universities: Learning from the New Zealand women in leadership program. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, *14*(1), 28–44. https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422311428747

Heaney, T. (2013). The illusive ground between town and gown. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, *2013*(139), 35–43. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/ace.20062

Hoad, C., & Gunn, R. (2019). Queer contexts in Australia and Aotearoa/New Zealand. *Queer Studies in Media & Popular Culture*, *4*(1), 3–12. https://doi.org/10.1386/qsmpc_00001_2

Ings, W. (2023, 23 November). *Building the family home: Growing queer research inside institutions* [Conference keynote presentation]. Rainbow Studies NOW: Legacies of Community. Te Herenga Waka–Victoria University of Wellington. Wellington, New Zealand. https://wgtn.recollect.co.nz/nodes/view/8148

Kerekere, E. (2017). *Part of the whānau: The emergence of takatāpui identity – He whāriki takatāpui* [Doctoral dissertation, Victoria University of Wellington]. Open Access Te Herenga Waka–Victoria University of Wellington. https://doi.org/10.26686/wgtn.17060225.v1

Kidman, J. (2020). Whither decolonisation? Indigenous scholars and the problem of inclusion in the neoliberal university. *Journal of Sociology*, *56*(2), 247–262. https://doi.org/10.1177/1440783319835958

Kidman, J., & Chu, C. (2017). Scholar outsiders in the neoliberal university: Transgressive academic labour in the whitestream. *New Zealand Journal of Educational Studies*, *52*(1), 7–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40841-017-0079-y

Kidman, J., & Chu, C. (2019). “We’re not the hottest ethnicity”: Pacific scholars and the cultural politics of New Zealand universities. *Globalisation, Societies and Education*, *17*(4), 489–499. https://doi.org/10.1080/14767724.2018.1561247

Kidman, J., Chu, C., Fernandez, S., & Abella, I. (2015). *Māori scholars and the university*. Ngā Pae o te Māramatanga. https://maramatanga.devr.net/sites/default/files/project-reports/Kidman%20Chu%20Fernandez%20Abella-%20Maori%20Scholars%20Final%20report%202015.pdf

King, M. (2012). *The Penguin history of New Zealand*. Penguin Books. (original work published 2003)

Laurie, A. J. (2011). *Lesbian lives*. https://teara.govt.nz/en/lesbian-lives

Leenen-Young, M., Naepi, S., Thomsen, P. S., Fa’avae, D. T. M., Keil, M., & Matapo, J. (2021). “Pillars of the colonial institution are like a knowledge prison”: The significance of decolonizing knowledge and pedagogical practice for Pacific early career academics in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, *26*(7–8), 986–1001. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2021.1928062

Ludlam, A. H., Petousis-Harris, H., Arroll, B., & Saxton, P. J. W. (2024). Comfort with having sexual orientation recorded on official databases among a community and online sample of gay and bisexual men in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Journal of Primary Health Care*, *16*(3), 243–249. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1071/HC23107

MacCulloch, D. (2009). *A history of Christianity: The first three thousand years*. Penguin Books.

Mayeda, D., France, A., Pukepuke, T., Cowie, L., & Chetty, M. (2022). Colonial disparities in higher education: Explaining racial inequality for Māori youth in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Social Policy and Society*, *21*(1), 80–92. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1474746421000464

McAllister, T. G., Kidman, J., Rowley, O., & Theodore, R. (2019). Why isn’t my professor Māori? A snapshot of the academic workforce in New Zealand universities. *MAI Journal*, *8*, 235–249. https://doi.org/10.20507/MAIJournal.2019.8.2.10

McAllister, T. G., Kokaua, J., Naepi, S., Kidman, J., & Theodore, R. (2020). Glass ceilings in New Zealand universities: Inequities in Māori and Pacific promotions and earnings. *MAI Journal*, *9*(3), 272–285. https://doi.org/10.20507/MAIJournal.2020.9.3.8

McAllister, T. G., Naepi, S., Dombroski, K., Halcrow, S. E., & Painting, C. J. (2021). Parity during parenthood: Comparing paid parental leave policies in Aotearoa/New Zealand’s universities. *Women's Studies Journal*, *35*(1), 4–20. http://www.wsanz.org.nz/journal/docs/WSJNZ35_McAllister_et_al_4-20.pdf

McAllister, T. G., Naepi, S., Wilson, E., Hikuroa, D., & Walker, L. A. (2020). Under-represented and overlooked: Māori and Pasifika scientists in Aotearoa New Zealand’s universities and crown-research institutes. *Journal of The Royal Society of New Zealand*, *52*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/03036758.2020.1796103

McAllister, T. G., Pause, C., Eldridge, J., & Tiatia-Seath, J. (2022). Walk like an academic? The limits of academic freedom for those who are not white cis men. *Te Ira Tangata,* 2, 12–17. http://teu-production.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/TeIraTangata_no2.pdf#page=12
McCulloch, G. (2022, 21 June). *Reports of sexual assault in schools, universities up 70% since 2015*. Stuff. https://www.stuff.co.nz/national/education/300617131/reports-of-sexual-assault-in-schools-universities-up-70-since-2015
McDonagh, P. (2019). "Homosexuality is not a problem – it doesn't do you any harm and can be lots of fun": Students and gay rights activism in Irish universities, 1970s–1980s. *Irish Economic and Social History*, *46*(1), 111–141. https://doi.org/10.1177/0332489319872336
McDonald, H. (1996). Boys-Smith, Winifred Lily. In *Dictionary of New Zealand biography, Te Ara – The encyclopedia of New Zealand.* https://teara.govt.nz/en/biographies/3b41/boys-smith-winifred-lily
McLennan, M. (2021). From victimization to post-structuralism: Understanding changes in historical analysis of gender in Aotearoa 1977–2008. *Histeria!*, *18*, 37–42. https://www.auckland.ac.nz/assets/arts/schools/Humanities/Histeria_2021.pdf
Miller, D. C. (1963). Town and gown: The power structure of a university town. *American Journal of Sociology*, *68*(4), 432–443. https://doi.org/10.1086/223400
Ministry for Culture and Heritage. (2020, 18 March 2020). *Beatrice Tinsley made professor of astronomy at Yale*. Manatū Taonga – Ministry for Culture & Heritage. https://nzhistory.govt.nz/page/beatrice-tinsley-made-professor-astronomy-yale
Munro, D. (2007). J.C. Beaglehole: Reputation, *fortuna*, and biography. *Journal of Historical Biography*, *2*(Autumn), 1–32. Available at: https://www.ufv.ca/jhb/Volume_2/Volume_2_Munro.pdf
Naepi, S. (2019). Why isn't my professor Pasifika? A snapshot of the academic workforce in New Zealand universities. *MAI Journal*, *8*, 219–234. https://doi.org/10.20507/MAIJournal.2019.8.2.9
Naepi, S. (2021). Pacific women's experiences working in universities in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Higher Education Research & Development*, *40*(1), 63–74. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2020.1856792
Naepi, S. (2024). Research handbook on academic labour markets. In G. Strachan (Ed.), *Chapter 20: Crises and change: New Zealand academic labour markets* (pp. 267–279). Edward Elgar Publishing.
Naepi, S., McAllister, T. G., Thomsen, P., Leenen-Young, M., Walker, L. A., McAllister, A. L., Theodore, R., Kidman, J., & Suaalii, T. (2019). The pakaru 'pipeline': Māori and Pasifika pathways within the academy. *New Zealand Annual Review of Education*, *24*, 142–159. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.26686/nzaroe.v24i0.6338
Naepi, S., Theodore, R., Kidman, J., McAllister, T., & Kokaua, J. (2020). *Why isn't my professor Māori or Pacific? data update.* https://auckland.figshare.com/articles/preprint/Why_isn_t_my_Professor_M_ori_or_Pacific_data_update/13211261
Naepi, S., Wilson, E., Lagos, S., Manuela, S., McAllister, T. G., Kidman, J., Theodore, R., & Kokaua, J. (2021). Where are we now? Patterns of Māori and Pasifika enrolment in the natural and physical science and society and culture fields in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Higher Education Research & Development*, *40*(1), 90–103. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2020.1857345
New Zealand University Reserves Act 1875. http://nzlii.org/nz/legis/hist_act/nzura187539v1875n60491/
Newshub. (2022, 27 October). *New Zealand academics disagree with Richard Dawkins' dismissal of mātauranga Māori as science.* https://www.newshub.co.nz/home/new-zealand/2022/10/new-zealand-academics-disagree-with-richard-dawkins-dismissal-of-m-tauranga-m-ori-as-science.html
Nguyen, T. (2018). *Queering Australian museums: Management, collections, exhibitions, and connections* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Sydney]. Sydney eScholarship Repository. https://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/handle/2123/18169
Parry, D. C., Johnson, C. W., & Wagler, F.-A. (2018). Fourth wave feminism: Theoretical underpinnings and future directions for leisure research. In D. C. Parry (Ed.), *Feminisms in leisure studies: Advancing a fourth wave*, (pp. 1–12). Routledge.
Phillips, R., & Cree, V. E. (2014). What does the 'fourth wave' mean for teaching feminism in twenty-first century social work? *Social Work Education*, *33*(7), 930–943. https://doi.org/10.1080/02615479.2014.885007
Pitcher, E. N., & Simmons, S. L. (2020). Connectivity, community, and kinship as strategies to foster queer and trans college student retention. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice*, *21*(4), 476–496. https://doi.org/10.1177/1521025119895514

Priestley, R. (2014, 22 Oct). *Physics, chemistry and mathematics – New Zealand physicists overseas*. Manatū Taonga – Ministry for Culture & Heritage. https://teara.govt.nz/en/photograph/43365/beatrice-tinsley-yale-1978

Pryor, J. T. (2018). Visualizing queer spaces: LGBTQ students and the traditionally heterogendered institution. *Journal of LGBT Youth*, *15*(1), 32–51. https://doi.org/10.1080/19361653.2017.1395307

Pryor, J. T. (2021). Queer activist leadership: An exploration of queer leadership in higher education. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, *14*, 303–315. https://doi.org/10.1037/dhe0000160

Renn, K. A. (2010). LGBT and queer research in higher education: The state and status of the field. *Educational Researcher*, *39*(2), 132–141. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189x10362579

Ricketts, K., & Pringle, J. K. (2014). Going up? Perceived career progress of female general staff across New Zealand universities. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, *36*(5), 496–508. https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2014.936092

Royal Society Te Apārangi. (2017). *Beatrice Tinsley*. https://www.royalsociety.org.nz/150th-anniversary/150-women-in-150-words/1918-1967/beatrice-tinsley/

Ryan, J. M. (2020). Queer theory. In N. A. Naples (Ed.), *Companion to sexuality studies* (pp. 79–94). John Wiley & Sons.

Segedin, M. (1983). *The University of Auckland staff wives club: A short history, 1942–1983*. Postgraduate School of Obstetrics & Gynaecology.

Shaw, G. W. (1995). *Academical dress of British and Irish universities* (2nd ed.). Phillimore.

Shaw, L. (2002). *Learning for life – the origins of Auckland University of Technology 1895–2000*. Ministry of Culture and Heritage & Auckland University of Technology.

Showden, C. R. (2018). Sexual harassment and assault on campus: What can Aotearoa New Zealand learn from Australia's "Respect. Now. Always." initiative. *Women's Studies Journal*, *32*(1/2), 73–80. http://wsanz.org.nz/journal/docs/WSJNZ32Showden73-80.pdf

Sinclair, K. (1983). *A history of the University of Auckland, 1883–1983*. Auckland University Press.

Smith, A., Funaki, H., & MacDonald, L. (2021). Living, breathing settler-colonialism: The reification of settler norms in a common university space. *Higher Education Research & Development*, *40*(1), 132–145. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2020.1852190

Smith, D., Spronken-Smith, R., Stringer, R., & Wilson, C. A. (2016). Gender, academic careers and the sabbatical: A New Zealand case study. *Higher Education Research & Development*, *35*(3), 589–603. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2015.1107880

Smith, H. (1970). *Academic dress and insignia of the world gowns, hats, chains of office, hoods, rings, medals and other degree insignia of universities & other institutions of learning*. A. A. Balkema.

Smith, H., & Wolfgramm-Foliaki, E. (2022). Va-ka: Igniting the space between Mana Whenua and Mana Moana research relations. *New Zealand Sociology*, *37*(1), 20–41. https://www.saanz.net/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/1_Smith-and-Wolfgramm-Foliaki_Va-ka.pdf

Smith, L. T. (2012). *Decolonizing Methodologies* (2nd ed.). Otago University Press.

Smith, M. (2020). Remolding the museum: In residence at the V&A. In J. Adair & A. K. Levin (Eds.), *Museums, Sexuality, and Gender Activism,* (pp. 69–78). Routledge.

Smolovic Jones, S., Boocock, K., & Underhill-Sem, Y. (2013). "[PDF] being haRasseD?" Accessing information about sexual harassment in New Zealand's universities. *Women's Studies Journal*, *27*(1), 36–48. http://www.wsanz.org.nz/journal/docs/WSJNZ271JonesBoocockUnderhill-Sem36-48.pdf

Soar, M., Stewart, L., Nissen, S., Naepi, S., & McAllister, T. (2022). Sweat equity: Student scholarships in Aotearoa New Zealand's universities. *New Zealand Journal of Educational Studies*, *57,* 505–523. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40841-022-00244-5

Stein, S. (2020). A colonial history of the higher education present: Rethinking land-grant institutions through processes of accumulation and relations of conquest. *Critical Studies in Education*, *61*(2), 212–228. https://doi.org/10.1080/17508487.2017.1409646

Stringer, R., Smith, D., Spronken-Smith, R., & Wilson, C. (2018). "My entire career has been fixed term": Gender and precarious academic employment at a New Zealand university. *New Zealand Sociology*, *33*(2), 169–201. https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.952640679087793

Sullivan, C., & Day, M. (2021). Queer(y)ing Indigenous Australian higher education student spaces. *The Australian Journal of Indigenous Education*, *50*(1), 2–9. https://doi.org/10.1017/jie.2019.19

Sumner, B. (2022, July 25). *Top academics call out sexual harassment.* Newsroom. https://www.stuff.co.nz/national/education/300617131/reports-of-sexual-assault-in-schools-universities-up-70-since-2015

The University Endowment Act 1868. http://www.nzlii.org/nz/legis/hist_act/uea186832v1868n65397/

Theodore, R., Kidman, J., Naepi, S., Kokaua, J., & McAllister, T. (2021). Tackling systemic racism in academic promotion processes. *MAI Journal*, *10*(2), 202–206. https://doi.org/10.20507/MAIJournal.2021.10.2.13

Thomsen, P. (2022). Research "side-spaces" and the criticality of Auckland, New Zealand, as a site for developing a queer Pacific scholarly agenda. *New Zealand Sociology*, *37*(1), 120–142. https://www.saanz.net/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/5_Thomsen_Side-spaces.pdf

Thomsen, P., & Brown-Acton, P. (2021). Manalagi talanoa: A community-centred approach to research on the health and wellbeing of Pacific rainbow LGBTIQA+ MVPFAFF communities in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Pacific Health Dialog*, *21*(7), 474–480. http://dx.doi.org/10.26635/phd.2021.117

Tocker, K. (2014). *Hei oranga Māori i te ao hurihuri nei. Living as Māori in the world today: An account of kura kaupapa Māori* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Auckland]. Research Space. https://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz/bitstream/handle/2292/22755/whole.pdf?sequence=7

University of New Zealand. (1871). University Endowment. In *Minutes of Proceedings of Session of the Senate of the University of New Zealand 1871–1918* (Vol. R12485392, pp. 7–9). Archives New Zealand.

University of New Zealand. (1879). *The New Zealand University Calendar 1879*. Government Printers.

University of New Zealand. (1882). *University of New Zealand Academic Calendar 1882*. Government Printers.

Walker, L., Sin, I., Macinnis-Ng, C., Hannah, K., & McAllister, T. (2020). Where to from here? Women remain absent from senior academic positions at Aotearoa New Zealand's universities. *Education Sciences*, *10*(6), Article 152. https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/10/6/152

Willett, G., & Brickell, C. (2016). LGBT activism in Australia and New Zealand. In N. A. Naples, r. c. hoogland, W. C. A. Wong, & M. Wickramasinghe (Eds.), *The Wiley Blackwell encyclopedia of gender and sexuality studies,* (pp. 1–5). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118663219.wbegss700