

Paediatric COVID-19 vaccination coverage and associated factors among migrant and non-migrant children aged 5-11 years in Aotearoa New Zealand: A population-level retrospective cohort study

Nadia A. Charania,^{1,2,*} Linda Kirkpatrick,³ Janine Paynter⁴

¹Department of Public Health, Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand

²Migrant and Refugee Health Research Centre, Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand

³New Zealand Work Research Institute, Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand

⁴Department of General Practice and Primary Health Care, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

Submitted: 23 February 2023; Revision requested: 1 August 2023; Accepted: 9 August 2023

Abstract

Objective: Children with migrant and refugee backgrounds may experience immunisation inequities due to barriers to accessing and accepting vaccines. In Aotearoa New Zealand (NZ), national reporting can mask inequities in coverage by migration background. This study explored paediatric COVID-19 vaccine uptake among children with migrant and refugee backgrounds.

Methods: This population-level retrospective cohort study compared rates and determinants of paediatric COVID-19 vaccine uptake as of July 2022 amongst migrant and non-migrant children who were aged between 5 and 11 years as of January 2022. Linked de-identified administrative and health data available in Statistics NZ's Integrated Data Infrastructure were used, and univariate and multivariable logistic regression were conducted to determine associations.

Results: Of the total study population (N = 451,323), 3.5% were overseas-born migrant children, 31.3% were NZ-born migrant children, and 65.3% were NZ-born non-migrant children. Only 50.8% (229,164 out of 451,323) of children had received at least one dose. Migrant children were significantly more likely to have received a COVID-19 vaccination than non-migrant children. Logistic modelling revealed that all factors, including ethnicity, gender, age, family type, household income, deprivation, region, parent COVID-19 vaccination status, and child's previous COVID-19 infection, significantly influenced COVID-19 vaccine uptake. The largest contributing factor was parents' COVID-19 vaccination status.

Conclusions: The findings suggest that NZ's paediatric COVID-19 vaccination programme was able to address logistical and motivational barriers commonly identified amongst migrants and refugees.

Implications for public health: As parents' vaccination status is an important factor in vaccinating their own children, continuous efforts are needed to support confident parental COVID-19 vaccine decision-making. To address social inequities, engagement with marginalised communities to co-design tailored and localised approaches is recommended.

Key words: COVID-19, paediatric, immunisation, migrant, Integrated Data Infrastructure

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) declared a pandemic in March 2020 due to a novel coronavirus, which has caused millions of deaths worldwide.¹ Paediatric COVID-19 vaccines were approved for use and rolled out in some high-income countries

at the beginning of late 2021/early 2022.²⁻⁴ Despite national recommendations, paediatric COVID-19 coverage rates have been suboptimal with only 32% (United States), 39% (Canada), and 40% (Australia) of 5–11-year-olds completing the 2-dose primary series.⁵⁻⁷ Moreover, there are notable inequities in uptake by age, ethnicity, and region.⁸⁻¹⁰

*Correspondence to: Nadia A. Charania, Department of Public Health, Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand. Tel.: 09 921 9999 ext 5430. e-mail: nadia.charania@aut.ac.nz.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Public Health Association of Australia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Aust NZ J Public Health. 2023; Online; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anzjph.2023.100086>

In Aotearoa New Zealand (NZ), the paediatric COVID-19 vaccine programme began in January 2022 and involved two publicly funded paediatric doses of the Pfizer vaccine 8 weeks apart.¹¹ COVID-19 vaccination is voluntary (no vaccine mandate) and freely available for all children regardless of their immigration and citizenship status.¹² To date, less than 30% of eligible children have completed the primary course and half of the children are partially vaccinated—well below the 90% national target.¹³ Importantly, inequities in coverage are noted by ethnicity and region.¹³

National coverage data do not report rates by migration background. NZ has experienced positive net migration with various pathways to enter, although this trend has been impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic and border restrictions.¹⁴ Previous NZ research has revealed suboptimal and inequitable uptake for nationally recommended (routine) vaccines among overseas-born children with migrant and refugee backgrounds.^{15,16} Globally, immunisation coverage disparities between migrants and non-migrants have been reported for routine vaccines, citing various contributing factors, including income, geographic origin, and language proficiency, to name a few.^{17–19}

Differences in adult COVID-19 vaccination coverage by migration background have been previously reported.^{20–23} However, similar information for children seems limited. Literature to date has only examined paediatric COVID-19 coverage stratifying by age, sex, ethnicity, and geographic region.^{8,10} Given the immunisation inequities and common access barriers migrant and refugee background populations can experience for routine vaccines, it is important to investigate if inequities exist in COVID-19 paediatric vaccine uptake by migration background. To our knowledge, this is the first study to explore national paediatric COVID-19 vaccine uptake rates and contributing factors among migrant and non-migrant background children.

Materials and methods

Participants

This study looks at the uptake of at least one dose of the paediatric COVID-19 vaccination as of July 2022 across three NZ cohorts of children who were aged between 5 and 11 years (inclusive) as of January 2022. Of the total study population ($N = 451,323$), 3.5% were overseas-born migrant children, 31.3% were NZ-born children of migrant parents, and 65.3% were NZ-born children of non-migrant parents. For all cohorts, children were excluded if they were deceased, had moved permanently overseas, or had spent less than six months in NZ as of January 2022. Additionally, children who had opted out of the National Immunisation Register (NIR) or could not be linked to parents were excluded from the analysis.

Data collection and measures

Individual-level anonymised administrative data from the Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) were used to generate explanatory and outcome variables for this study. Data were accessed from the Stats NZ-derived Personal Details and Address Notification dataset for demographic variables such as prioritised ethnicity, age, gender, and deprivation level;¹ Department of Labour Decisions dataset for visa types; Ministry of Health National Enrolment Service dataset for regional enrolment in the health system; Ministry of Business, Innovation and

Employment Border Movement and NZ Customs Journeys dataset for immigration and travel data (e.g., date of entry, country of citizenship, nationality based on earliest arrival, time spent in NZ); Department of Internal Affairs for birth data; 2018 Census for family type and household income. Of primary interest was the Ministry of Health COVID Immunisation Register (CIR) which records each COVID-19 vaccination event for an individual. Additionally, the COVID Tests dataset records the results of COVID-19 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests and rapid antigen tests (RAT) reported to the Ministry of Health. The June 2022 refresh for the IDI was used.

The 2018 Census variables for family type and household income were available for 96.5% of the study population, with 25.8% of overseas-born migrants having arrived after the 2018 Census. Characteristics of the potentially missed population of overseas-born migrants were children with refugee or Pacific humanitarian backgrounds and/or identified as Middle Eastern, Latin America or African (MELAA) or Pacific ethnic groups. As such, proxy variables for family type and household income were created using the Address Notification and Inland Revenue Annual Income data for 2021. These variables were coded to the 2018 Census variable if it existed, or else to the proxy variable. Imputation accounted for 3.5% of the total study population. Similar coefficients for the Census variable compared to the imputed variable were obtained, giving confidence that the imputed variable was robust.

Literature suggests that parents' English ability, education, and the number of dependents influence vaccination decisions. These variables were only available for children whose parents participated in the 2018 Census which covered 96.5% of the study population. The inclusion of these variables would exclude 25.8% of overseas-born migrant children who arrived after the 2018 Census and therefore had no corresponding value for parent's English ability, education, and the number of dependents. Logistic regression modelling drops observations with missing values, and therefore, regressions including these variables would exclude a large proportion of overseas-born migrants. As a robustness check, these variables were included in initial models to test their significance with the 2018 Census population; however, these variables did not explain much of the variation in the likelihood of being vaccinated for COVID-19. In order to include as many individuals as possible among overseas-born migrant children in the study, these variables were excluded from the final model.

Analysis

Selection of relevant data, data linkage, and variable creation were done using SQL Server 2018 and R Studio. A logistic regression model was used to estimate the likelihood of receiving at least one dose of the COVID-19 vaccination, adjusting for several explanatory variables based on prior literature,^{17–19} and to help discern the contribution of each variable to variation in uptake between NZ-born migrant and non-migrant children. We measured the likelihood of a child having received at least one COVID vaccination between January 2022 and July 2022. Our individual-level explanatory variables include

- Which cohort a child belongs to (reference group: NZ-born children of non-migrant parents)
- Ethnicity (reference group: European)
- Sex at birth (reference group: female)
- Age (continuous variable)

¹Prioritised ethnicity in order of Māori, Pacific Peoples, Asian, MELAA (Middle Eastern, Latin America or African), European, Other

- Family income (reference group: low income)²
- Family type (reference group: couple with children)³
- Deprivation (reference group: quintile 1)^{24 4}
- Primary health organisation (PHO) region (reference group: Auckland)^{25,26 5}
- Parent's highest COVID dose number (reference group: 2+ doses)
- Whether a child has had COVID-19

Results

Description of cohorts

The demographic characteristics of children in all three cohorts are presented in Table 1. There was uniform distribution by ethnicity (Māori, Pacific Peoples, Asian, and European) among overseas-born migrant children. Over half (51.4%) did not require a visa when entering NZ. Among NZ-born migrant children, the largest share by ethnicity was Asian (37.0%), followed by European (35.4%). Those on work visas (29.6%) made up the largest share by visa group, followed by visitors (22.9%) and then those who did not require visas to enter NZ (20.6%). Almost half of the NZ-born non-migrant children identified as European (49%), with Māori, the indigenous people of NZ, making up 39.8% of the cohort.

COVID-19 vaccination status

The uptake of COVID-19 vaccination was modest across all three cohorts of children, with only 50.8% (229,164 out of 451,323) of the study participants being vaccinated with at least one dose (Table 2). The lowest uptake of the COVID-19 vaccination was among NZ-born non-migrant children, with less than half (46.3%) having received the COVID-19 vaccination. In comparison, the NZ-born migrant children had the highest uptake (60.1%), followed by overseas-born migrant children (51.6%).

Overseas- and NZ-born migrant children had significantly higher [odds ratio (OR): 1.24, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.19 – 1.30; OR: 1.18, CI: 1.16 – 1.20] to have received a COVID vaccination than non-migrant children after adjusting for ethnicity, gender, age, family type,

household income, PHO region, parent COVID-19 vaccination status, and if the child has had COVID (Table 3). Māori, MELAA and Pacific children were significantly less likely to have received a COVID vaccination, while Asian children were significantly more likely to have received a COVID vaccination than European children. The likelihood of receiving a COVID vaccination increased with age. Children in medium- and high-income households were more likely to be vaccinated than those in low-income households, with the likelihood of receiving a COVID vaccination decreasing with increased deprivation. Children's COVID-19 vaccination status was associated with their PHO region. Children whose parents had received only one or no COVID vaccinations were significantly less likely to have received a COVID vaccination than children whose parents had received at least two doses. This was the largest contributing factor to a child's likelihood of receiving the COVID vaccination amongst all available factors. Children who reported having COVID were more likely to receive a COVID vaccination than those who did not report having COVID.

Discussion

Against a backdrop of suboptimal paediatric COVID-19 vaccine uptake in NZ, this population-level retrospective cohort study found significantly higher COVID-19 vaccination rates among children with migrant and refugee backgrounds than non-migrant children. On the contrary, two Canadian studies reported that the percentage of overseas-born parents who intended or reported COVID-19 vaccination for their children was lower than Canadian-born parents.^{27,28} Our findings are supported by previous NZ research on routine childhood vaccine uptake in which NZ-born migrant children had the highest recorded age-appropriate vaccination rates compared to overseas-born migrant and NZ-born non-migrant children across all ethnicities.¹⁶ It is important to note that the composition of children in each cohort may have influenced this finding. In our previous study¹⁶ and the current study, many NZ-born migrant children were from the Asian region and identified as being Asian. NZ research has found many factors facilitate Asian parents to vaccinate their children.²⁹ Similar to our previous study,¹⁶ this study showed that almost half (48.3%) of overseas-born migrant children were from Australia or NZ and over half (51.4%) did not require a visa to enter NZ. Parents of these children with these migrant backgrounds may have some familiarity with navigating services in NZ, and thus, this may have influenced the finding that overseas-born migrant children were more likely to be vaccinated against COVID-19 than non-migrant children.

The largest contributing factor for paediatric COVID-19 vaccination was parental vaccination status, where children with fully vaccinated parents were significantly more likely to receive the COVID-19 vaccine than children whose parents were only partially vaccinated or unvaccinated. International evidence has shown a close link between parents' own COVID-19 vaccination status and their intentions to vaccinate their child for COVID-19.^{30–34} Several demographic and socio-economic factors were reported to be associated with parental vaccine hesitancy, including age, ethnicity, gender, education level, previous COVID-19 infection, and their child's age.^{28,30–35} Protecting their child and family from COVID-19, preventing disease spread, and wanting to return to normal life were among the top reasons for parents who intended to vaccinate their child.²⁷ Common reasons among parents who did not intend to vaccinate their child were

²Family income is divided into three annual income bands in New Zealand dollars: Low (< \$25,000), Medium (\$25,000 - \$69,999), and High (\$70,000 +). This is taken from the household income question in the 2018 Census if families were in New Zealand prior to the Census. For families that arrived after the 2018 Census, the authors used annual 2021 household income from wages and salary (from both mother and father) as an imputed variable.

³Family type as per the 2018 Census is categorised as couple with children, single parent with children, or couple with no children. As the analysis focuses on the child population, family type can be both parents and sole parents. This is taken from the family type question in the 2018 Census if families were in New Zealand prior to the Census. For families that arrived after the 2018 Census, the authors use linked parent and address data to identify if parents lived at the same address as the child as an imputed variable.

⁴Deprivation is based on the New Zealand Index of Deprivation (2018) which provides area-based socioeconomic deprivation. It is based on an ordinal scale from 1 to 10 and grouped into quintiles from 1 to 5, where quintile 1 represents the areas with the least deprivation and quintile 5 represents areas with the most deprivation. This is different to family income as family income pertains to an individual and their family, whereas deprivation relates to an area where the individual resides.

⁵At the time of the study, New Zealand's primary care health system was divided into 20 regional District Health Boards (DHBs), which were made up of 30 primary health organisations (PHOs). PHOs are responsible for delivering primary health services to individuals residing in each PHO.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of children in three cohorts: overseas-born migrant children, NZ-born children of migrant parents, and NZ-born children of non-migrant parents.^a

	Overseas-born migrant children		NZ-born children of migrant parents		NZ-born children of non-migrant parents	
	N = 15,678		N = 141,123		N = 294,522	
Average age	8.7		7.9		8.1	
Median years since arrival	6.3 [LQ 4.5 – UQ 8.3]		16.7 [LQ 12.7 – UQ 20.8]		-	
<i>Sex at birth</i>	n	%	n	%	n	%
Male	8,019	51.1%	72,558	51.4%	151,071	51.3%
Female	7,659	48.9%	68,565	48.6%	143,451	48.7%
<i>Ethnicity</i>	n	%	n	%	n	%
Māori	3,135	20.0%	12,927	9.2%	117,345	39.8%
Pacific	3,321	21.2%	19,725	14.0%	22,161	7.5%
Asian	4,317	27.5%	52,263	37.0%	8,358	2.8%
MELAA ^b	1,296	8.3%	5,310	3.8%	954	0.3%
European	3,501	22.3%	49,962	35.4%	144,255	49.0%
Other	105	0.7%	936	0.7%	1,446	0.5%
<i>Family type (imputed)</i>	n	%	n	%	n	%
Couple with children	7,779	49.6%	116,727	82.7%	199,686	67.8%
One parent with children	6,675	42.6%	20,613	14.6%	81,210	27.6%
Unknown	1,224	7.8%	3,786	2.7%	13,626	4.6%
<i>Household income (imputed)</i>	n	%	n	%	n	%
Low (< \$25,000)	4,830	30.8%	18,582	13.2%	52,746	17.9%
Medium (\$25,000 - \$69,999)	6,252	39.9%	39,273	27.8%	81,426	27.6%
High (\$70,000 +)	3,369	21.5%	79,485	56.3%	146,724	49.8%
Unknown	1,221	7.8%	3,783	2.7%	13,626	4.6%
<i>PHO region^c</i>	n	%	n	%	n	%
Auckland	2,067	13.2%	27,492	19.5%	33,981	11.5%
Bay of Plenty	564	3.6%	4,587	3.3%	13,710	4.7%
Canterbury	1,023	6.5%	13,791	9.8%	29,409	10.0%
Capital and Coast	705	4.5%	9,732	6.9%	15,846	5.4%
Counties Manukau	2,202	14.0%	24,417	17.3%	24,111	8.2%
Hawkes Bay	447	2.9%	3,363	2.4%	12,222	4.1%
Hutt Valley	360	2.3%	3,813	2.7%	8,859	3.0%
Lakes	309	2.0%	2,292	1.6%	9,708	3.3%
MidCentral	429	2.7%	3,411	2.4%	12,906	4.4%
Nelson Marlborough	450	2.9%	3,399	2.4%	9,189	3.1%
Northland	459	2.9%	2,919	2.1%	14,721	5.0%
South Canterbury	96	0.6%	1,158	0.8%	4,713	1.6%
Southern	525	3.3%	5,547	3.9%	17,805	6.0%
Tairāwhiti	165	1.1%	936	0.7%	5,319	1.8%
Taranaki	234	1.5%	2,340	1.7%	9,636	3.3%
Waikato	1,194	7.6%	10,746	7.6%	36,300	12.3%
Wairarapa	93	0.6%	963	0.7%	4,062	1.4%
Waitemata	1,239	7.9%	16,863	11.9%	20,238	6.9%
West Coast	66	0.4%	609	0.4%	2,766	0.9%
Whanganui	189	1.2%	1,284	0.9%	6,918	2.3%
Not enrolled	2,871	18.3%	1,458	1.0%	2,100	0.7%
<i>Deprivation</i>	n	%	n	%	n	%
Quintile 1 (lowest)	1,518	9.7%	27,405	19.4%	46,479	15.8%
Quintile 2	2,067	13.2%	26,052	18.5%	47,985	16.3%
Quintile 3	2,631	16.8%	25,005	17.7%	50,394	17.1%
Quintile 4	3,303	21.1%	23,874	16.9%	56,979	19.3%
Quintile 5 (highest)	5,229	33.4%	27,939	19.8%	78,291	26.6%
Missing	930	5.9%	10,848	7.7%	14,391	4.9%
<i>Visa group^d</i>	n	%	n	%	n	%
Family	618	3.9%	7,491	5.3%	-	-
International Humanitarian ^e	36	0.2%	831	0.6%	-	-

(continued)

TABLE 1. Continued

	Overseas-born migrant children		NZ-born children of migrant parents		NZ-born children of non-migrant parents	
	N = 15,678		N = 141,123		N = 294,522	
Medical treatment	S	S	75	0.1%	-	-
No visa required ^f	8,058	51.4%	29,055	20.6%	-	-
Other	S	S	1,026	0.7%	-	-
Overstay	57	0.4%	729	0.5%	-	-
Pacific Humanitarian ^g	1,257	8.0%	1,821	1.3%	-	-
Refugee	1,371	8.7%	2,121	1.5%	-	-
Resident	576	3.7%	2,172	1.5%	-	-
Student	312	2.0%	21,816	15.5%	-	-
Visitor	2,460	15.7%	32,268	22.9%	-	-
Work	927	5.9%	41,721	29.6%	-	-
<i>United Nations Region</i>	n	%	n	%	n	%
Africa	573	3.7%	8,583	6.1%	-	-
Americas	591	3.8%	7,008	5.0%	-	-
North America	321	2.0%	4,338	3.1%	-	-
South America	249	1.6%	2,424	1.7%	-	-
Central America/ Caribbean/Latin America	15	0.1%	249	0.2%	-	-
Asia	4,020	25.6%	49,155	34.8%	-	-
Eastern Asia	1,050	6.7%	21,504	15.2%	-	-
Southern Asia	1,455	9.3%	15,375	10.9%	-	-
South-East Asia	993	6.3%	10,932	7.7%	-	-
Central and Western Asia	522	3.3%	1,347	1.0%	-	-
Europe	747	4.8%	26,883	19.0%	-	-
Northern Europe	549	3.5%	20,460	14.5%	-	-
Rest of Europe	198	1.3%	6,426	4.6%	-	-
Oceania	9,732	62.1%	49,404	35.0%	-	-
Australia and New Zealand	7,572	48.3%	28,002	19.8%	-	-
Micronesia and Melanesia	300	1.9%	7,857	5.6%	-	-
Polynesia	1,863	11.9%	13,533	9.6%	-	-
Missing	15	0.1%	93	0.1%	-	-

^aNew Zealand.^bMiddle Eastern, Latin American and African.^cPrimary health organisation.^dVisa group refers to the child's visa for overseas-born children and to the parent's visa for NZ-born children of migrant parents.^eVisas include 1991 and 1995 Humanitarian, Ministerial Direction, Zimbabwe Policy, Victims of Domestic Violence, Christchurch Response.^fParents did not require a visa to enter New Zealand—from New Zealand, Pacific Nations (Cook Islands, Niue, Tonga) and Australia.^gVisas include Pacific Access Category Visa for Tonga, Tuvalu, Fiji, Kiribati, and Samoan Quota Visa.

Source: Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) and author analyses. Note: in order to meet privacy protection requirements of Stats NZ, counts have been randomly rounded to base 3. Cells denoted S are suppressed to follow confidentiality rules.

concerns about it being a new vaccine and vaccine side effects, safety, and efficacy.^{27,28,30,31,33–35}

This study also found clear ethnic, age, household income, deprivation, and regional differences in uptake of the paediatric COVID-19 vaccination. Similar to previous research, older children were more likely to receive a COVID-19 dose than younger children.^{27,28,30} Language barriers can contribute to immunisation inequities among migrant and refugee populations for routine¹⁷ and COVID-19 vaccines.^{36,37} However, in our study, earlier models explored the influence of language and found that this was largely insignificant. Previous literature found that having language-specific vaccination information would increase parents' likelihood of vaccinating their children for COVID-19.²⁷ NZ's approach to communications during the pandemic was inclusive in nature with vaccine resources available in several languages, which may have supported migrant parents to vaccinate their children.^{38,39}

Asian children were significantly more likely to be vaccinated for COVID-19, while Māori, Pacific, and MELAA children were less likely to be vaccinated than European children. This is consistent with the literature related to the socio-economic and ethnic disparities in the access and uptake of non-COVID-19 childhood immunisations. As noted earlier, Asian parents displayed positive immunisation attitudes, were aware of the value of immunisations, accepted government encouragement to use immunisations services, and perceived minimal barriers to accessing immunisations.²⁹ In contrast, while Māori Māmā are supportive of routine childhood vaccines, they were opposed to the coercive actions used to vaccinate their children and noted how institutional racism and bias in the current health system excluded Māori worldviews and practices.⁴⁰ Among the Pacific population, various barriers to routine childhood immunisation exist related to deprivation, low health literacy, and limited access to culturally appropriate services.⁴¹ Children from more rural regions, such as Northland and Bay of Plenty, had lower uptake of the COVID-

Table 2: COVID-19 vaccination status^a by cohort demographic characteristics.

	Overseas-born migrant children				NZ-born children of migrant parents ^b				NZ-born children of non-migrant parents			
	N = 15,678				N = 141,123				N = 294,522			
	Yes	(%)	No	(%)	Yes	(%)	No	(%)	Yes	(%)	No	(%)
<i>COVID-19</i>												
COVID-19 vaccinated	8,097	51.6%	7,578	48.3%	84,747	60.1%	56,376	39.9%	136,320	46.3%	158,202	53.7%
Have had COVID-19	2,097	13.4%	13,584	86.6%	20,622	14.6%	120,498	85.4%	53,196	18.1%	241,329	81.9%
Vaccinated same day as parent	1,995	12.7%	13,683	87.3%	22,668	16.1%	118,455	83.9%	36,135	12.3%	258,387	87.7%
<i>COVID-19 vaccination status</i>												
<i>Sex at birth</i>												
Male	4,035	50.3%	3,987	49.7%	43,413	59.8%	29,142	40.2%	69,498	46.0%	81,573	54.0%
Female	4,065	53.1%	3,594	46.9%	41,334	60.3%	27,234	39.7%	66,822	46.6%	76,629	53.4%
<i>Ethnicity</i>												
Māori	945	30.1%	2,190	69.9%	5,577	43.1%	7,350	56.9%	39,066	33.3%	78,282	66.7%
Pacific	1,839	55.3%	1,485	44.7%	9,843	49.9%	9,885	50.1%	9,237	41.7%	12,927	58.3%
Asian	3,003	69.5%	1,317	30.5%	36,153	69.2%	16,110	30.8%	5,733	68.6%	2,625	31.4%
MELAA ^c	549	42.5%	744	57.5%	2,406	45.3%	2,904	54.7%	489	51.3%	465	48.7%
European	1,710	48.8%	1,791	51.2%	30,216	60.5%	19,746	39.5%	81,006	56.2%	63,252	43.8%
Other	54	50.0%	54	50.0%	552	59.2%	381	40.8%	792	54.8%	654	45.2%
<i>Family type (imputed)</i>												
Couple with children	4,527	58.2%	3,252	41.8%	72,774	62.3%	43,956	37.7%	105,909	53.0%	93,777	47.0%
One parent with children	3,096	46.4%	3,579	53.6%	10,287	49.9%	10,323	50.1%	26,814	33.0%	54,396	67.0%
Unknown	474	38.7%	750	61.3%	1,686	44.6%	2,097	55.4%	3,597	26.4%	10,029	73.6%
<i>Household income (imputed)</i>												
Low (< \$25,000)	2,199	45.5%	2,634	54.5%	8,691	46.8%	9,891	53.2%	14,610	27.7%	38,136	72.3%
Medium (\$25,000 - \$69,999)	3,309	52.9%	2,943	47.1%	21,111	53.8%	18,159	46.2%	30,399	37.3%	51,024	62.7%
High (\$70,000 +)	2,118	62.9%	1,251	37.1%	53,262	67.0%	26,226	33.0%	87,711	59.8%	59,013	40.2%
Unknown	474	38.7%	750	61.3%	1,686	44.6%	2,097	55.4%	3,597	26.4%	10,029	73.6%
<i>PHO region^d</i>												
Auckland	1,230	59.4%	840	40.6%	17,217	62.6%	10,272	37.4%	18,369	54.1%	15,609	45.9%
Bay of Plenty	219	38.8%	345	61.2%	2,091	45.6%	2,496	54.4%	4,719	34.4%	8,991	65.6%
Canterbury	612	59.6%	414	40.4%	8,310	60.3%	5,481	39.7%	16,269	55.3%	13,140	44.7%
Capital and Coast	429	60.9%	276	39.1%	6,936	71.2%	2,799	28.8%	10,191	64.3%	5,658	35.7%
Counties Manukau	1,284	58.3%	918	41.7%	15,342	62.8%	9,078	37.2%	10,656	44.2%	13,455	55.8%
Hawkes Bay	207	46.6%	237	53.4%	1,704	50.6%	1,662	49.4%	4,833	39.5%	7,389	60.5%
Hutt Valley	234	65.0%	126	35.0%	2,553	67.0%	1,260	33.0%	4,833	54.6%	4,023	45.4%
Lakes	111	35.9%	198	64.1%	1,164	50.8%	1,128	49.2%	3,261	33.6%	6,447	66.4%
MidCentral	225	52.4%	204	47.6%	2,037	59.7%	1,374	40.3%	5,889	45.6%	7,017	54.4%
Nelson Marlborough	252	56.0%	198	44.0%	1,941	57.2%	1,455	42.8%	4,371	47.6%	4,818	52.4%
Northland	144	31.4%	315	68.6%	1,332	45.6%	1,590	54.4%	4,377	29.7%	10,341	70.3%
South Canterbury	54	58.1%	39	41.9%	684	59.2%	471	40.8%	2,220	47.1%	2,493	52.9%
Tairāwhiti	81	49.1%	84	50.9%	474	50.5%	465	49.5%	2,298	43.2%	3,021	56.8%
Taranaki	93	39.7%	141	60.3%	1,236	52.8%	1,107	47.2%	3,915	40.6%	5,721	59.4%
Southern	255	48.3%	273	51.7%	3,147	56.7%	2,400	43.3%	8,928	50.1%	8,880	49.9%
Waikato	504	42.3%	687	57.7%	5,853	54.5%	4,896	45.5%	14,211	39.1%	22,089	60.9%
Wairarapa	54	58.1%	39	41.9%	540	56.3%	420	43.8%	1,734	42.7%	2,331	57.3%
Waitemata	738	59.7%	498	40.3%	10,698	63.4%	6,165	36.6%	10,905	53.9%	9,333	46.1%
West Coast	33	52.4%	30	47.6%	336	54.9%	276	45.1%	1,251	45.3%	1,512	54.7%
Whanganui	66	34.9%	123	65.1%	681	52.9%	606	47.1%	2,655	38.4%	4,266	61.6%
Not enrolled	1,275	44.5%	1,593	55.5%	474	32.5%	984	67.5%	444	21.1%	1,656	78.9%
<i>Deprivation</i>												
Quintile 1 (lowest)	897	59.1%	621	40.9%	18,759	68.5%	8,646	31.5%	29,754	64.0%	16,722	36.0%
Quintile 2	1,134	54.9%	933	45.1%	16,701	64.1%	9,351	35.9%	26,658	55.6%	21,327	44.4%
Quintile 3	1,413	53.8%	1,215	46.2%	14,955	59.8%	10,050	40.2%	24,618	48.8%	25,779	51.2%
Quintile 4	1,674	50.7%	1,629	49.3%	13,470	56.4%	10,404	43.6%	23,469	41.2%	33,513	58.8%
Quintile 5 (highest)	2,466	47.2%	2,763	52.8%	14,139	50.6%	13,800	49.4%	24,810	31.7%	53,484	68.3%
Unknown	513	55.2%	417	44.8%	6,726	62.0%	4,125	38.0%	7,014	48.7%	7,377	51.3%

(continued)

TABLE 2. Continued

	Overseas-born migrant children				NZ-born children of migrant parents ^b				NZ-born children of non-migrant parents			
	N = 15,678		N = 141,123		N = 141,123		N = 141,123		N = 294,522		N = 294,522	
	Yes	(%)	No	(%)	Yes	(%)	No	(%)	Yes	(%)	No	(%)
<i>Visa group^c</i>												
Family	411	66.2%	210	33.8%	4,779	63.8%	2,715	36.2%	-	-	-	-
International Humanitarian ^f	21	58.3%	15	41.7%	528	63.8%	300	36.2%	-	-	-	-
Medical Treatment	S	S	S	S	36	50.0%	36	50.0%	-	-	-	-
No visa ^g	3,399	42.2%	4,659	57.8%	16,491	56.8%	12,561	43.2%	-	-	-	-
Other	S	S	S	S	588	57.6%	432	42.4%	-	-	-	-
Overstay	27	47.4%	30	52.6%	315	43.2%	414	56.8%	-	-	-	-
Pacific Humanitarian ^h	789	62.6%	471	37.4%	903	49.6%	918	50.4%	-	-	-	-
Refugee	720	52.4%	654	47.6%	1,050	49.5%	1,071	50.5%	-	-	-	-
Resident	363	63.4%	210	36.6%	1,410	64.9%	762	35.1%	-	-	-	-
Student	S	S	S	S	13,761	63.1%	8,055	36.9%	-	-	-	-
Visitor	1,524	62.0%	936	38.0%	19,131	59.3%	13,137	40.7%	-	-	-	-
Work	618	66.7%	309	33.3%	25,749	61.7%	15,975	38.3%	-	-	-	-
<i>United Nations Region</i>												
Africa	252	44.2%	318	55.8%	4,005	46.7%	4,575	53.3%	-	-	-	-
Americas	291	49.5%	297	50.5%	3,888	55.5%	3,120	44.5%	-	-	-	-
North America	156	48.6%	165	51.4%	2,538	58.5%	1,800	41.5%	-	-	-	-
South America	120	48.2%	129	51.8%	1,227	50.7%	1,194	49.3%	-	-	-	-
Central America/ Caribbean/ Latin America	S	S	S	S	123	48.8%	129	51.2%	-	-	-	-
Asia	2,667	66.3%	1,353	33.7%	32,541	66.2%	16,617	33.8%	-	-	-	-
Eastern Asia	735	70.0%	315	30.0%	14,796	68.8%	6,708	31.2%	-	-	-	-
Southern Asia	942	64.9%	510	35.1%	9,591	62.4%	5,781	37.6%	-	-	-	-
South-East Asia	783	78.9%	210	21.1%	7,683	70.3%	3,249	29.7%	-	-	-	-
Central and Western Asia	204	39.3%	315	60.7%	468	34.7%	879	65.3%	-	-	-	-
Europe	393	52.8%	351	47.2%	16,056	59.7%	10,824	40.3%	-	-	-	-
Northern Europe	327	59.6%	222	40.4%	13,050	63.8%	7,410	36.2%	-	-	-	-
Rest of Europe	69	34.3%	132	65.7%	3,006	46.8%	3,420	53.2%	-	-	-	-
Oceania	4,476	46.0%	5,256	54.0%	28,224	57.1%	21,177	42.9%	-	-	-	-
Australia and New Zealand	3,108	41.1%	4,461	58.9%	16,116	57.6%	11,886	42.4%	-	-	-	-
Micronesia and Melanesia	201	67.0%	99	33.0%	5,403	68.8%	2,451	31.2%	-	-	-	-
Polynesia	1,167	62.7%	693	37.3%	6,699	49.5%	6,834	50.5%	-	-	-	-
Missing	S	S	S	S	33	35.5%	60	64.5%	-	-	-	-

^aCOVID-19 vaccination status refers to the child receiving at least one dose of the COVID-19 vaccine.

^bNew Zealand.

^cMiddle Eastern, Latin American and African.

^dPrimary health organisation.

^eVisa group refers to the child's visa for overseas-born children and to the parent's visa for NZ-born children of migrant parents.

^fVisas include 1991 and 1995 Humanitarian, Ministerial Direction, Zimbabwe Policy, Victims of Domestic Violence, Christchurch Response.

^gParents did not require a visa to enter New Zealand—from New Zealand, Pacific Nations (Cook Islands, Niue, Tonga), and Australia.

^hVisas include Pacific Access Category Visa for Tonga, Tuvalu, Fiji, Kiribati, and Samoan Quota Visa.

Source: Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) and author analyses. Note: in order to meet privacy protection requirements of Stats NZ, counts have been randomly rounded to base 3. Cells denoted S are suppressed to follow confidentiality rules.

19 vaccination than children in Auckland, an urban setting. This finding is supported by a spatial analysis of COVID-19 vaccination services in NZ that found that rural areas had worse access to vaccination services than urban areas.⁴² Moreover, the study found that those living in high socio-economic deprivation and areas with a high proportion of Māori and Pacific populations had statistically lower spatial access to vaccination services.⁴²

Implications for paediatric COVID-19 vaccination policies and practice

Despite the paediatric COVID-19 vaccine being publicly funded, coverage rates have been suboptimal. Efforts are needed to address parental vaccine hesitancy. Literature suggests the provision of clear, timely, and accurate information on the importance of vaccinating

young children to help address parents' concerns.^{27,28,30,31,33,34} Public health communications need to account for vaccine-specific hesitancy associated with the novel COVID-19 vaccines and address changes in vaccine recommendations as the pandemic evolves.⁴³ Social media platforms are an important source of COVID-19 information among some migrant and ethnic minority populations.⁴⁴ Thus, appropriately tailored audio and visual messages that highlight the benefits of vaccination are recommended.⁹ Literature has also highlighted how their child's doctor was a trusted source of information and could influence non-intenders to vaccinate their children.^{30,34}

Social inequities in vaccine access and acceptance exist; thus, efforts are needed to address barriers to vaccine access and acceptance among marginalised populations, including Māori and Pacific, and

Table 3: Logistic regression for likelihood of receiving COVID-19 vaccination^a across three cohorts, adjusting for demographic characteristics.

	Odds ratio	95% CI		p value	Sig.
<i>Cohort</i>					
Overseas-born migrant children	1.24	1.19	1.30	< 0.001	***
NZ-born migrant children ^b	1.18	1.16	1.20	< 0.001	***
NZ-born non-migrant children	reference				
<i>Ethnicity</i>					
Asian	1.70	1.66	1.75	< 0.001	***
Māori	0.58	0.57	0.59	< 0.001	***
MELAA ^c	0.64	0.61	0.68	< 0.001	***
Other	1.14	1.04	1.25	0.01	**
Pacific	0.77	0.75	0.79	< 0.001	***
European	reference				
<i>Sex at birth</i>					
Male	0.97	0.96	0.99	< 0.001	***
Female	reference				
<i>Age at January 2022</i>					
	1.34	1.33	1.34	< 0.001	***
<i>Family type</i>					
Couple with children	reference				
One parent with children	0.86	0.84	0.88	< 0.001	***
<i>Household income</i>					
High	1.94	1.89	1.99	< 0.001	***
Medium	1.18	1.16	1.21	< 0.001	***
Low	reference				
<i>Deprivation</i>					
Quintile 1 (lowest)	reference				
Quintile 2	0.85	0.83	0.87	< 0.001	***
Quintile 3	0.76	0.74	0.78	< 0.001	***
Quintile 4	0.69	0.67	0.71	< 0.001	***
Quintile 5 (highest)	0.61	0.60	0.63	< 0.001	***
<i>PHO region^d</i>					
Auckland	reference				
Bay of Plenty	0.51	0.49	0.53	< 0.001	***
Canterbury	0.83	0.81	0.86	< 0.001	***
Capital and Coast	1.29	1.24	1.33	< 0.001	***
Counties Manukau	0.90	0.87	0.92	0.0095	***
Hawkes Bay	0.66	0.63	0.69	< 0.001	***
Hutt Valley	1.04	1.00	1.09	0.07	.
Lakes	0.58	0.55	0.60	< 0.001	***
MidCentral	0.78	0.74	0.81	< 0.001	***
Nelson Marlborough	0.71	0.68	0.74	< 0.001	***
Northland	0.51	0.49	0.53	< 0.001	***
South Canterbury	0.65	0.61	0.69	< 0.001	***
Southern	0.71	0.69	0.74	< 0.001	***
Tairāwhiti	1.02	0.96	1.09	0.54	.
Taranaki	0.58	0.55	0.61	< 0.001	***
Waikato	0.61	0.59	0.62	< 0.001	***
Wairarapa	0.65	0.60	0.69	< 0.001	***
Waitemata	0.77	0.75	0.80	< 0.001	***
West Coast	0.69	0.63	0.75	< 0.001	***
Whanganui	0.65	0.62	0.69	< 0.001	***
Not enrolled	0.65	0.60	0.69	< 0.001	***
<i>Parent COVID-19 vaccination status</i>					
2+ doses	reference				
1 dose	0.10	0.09	0.11	< 0.001	***
No doses	0.05	0.04	0.05	< 0.001	***
<i>Has had COVID-19</i>					
	1.12	1.10	1.14	< 0.001	***

^aCOVID-19 vaccination status refers to the child receiving at least one dose of the COVID-19 vaccine.^bNew Zealand.^cMiddle Eastern, Latin American and African.^dPrimary health organisation.

Source: Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) and author analyses. Note: Profile likelihood confidence intervals are used which is based on the log-likelihood function. Logistic regression models drop observations with missing values; thus, these do not appear in the table.

rural and high-deprivation areas with lower access. Tailoring localised interventions should involve active engagement with the target communities. For Māori children, the paediatric COVID-19 vaccine rollout needs to uphold our obligations to Te Tiriti o Waitangi and based on a collective, rather than individual, risk–benefit analysis.⁴⁵ Training community vaccine advocates, employing localised strategies to make vaccination convenient, and supporting vaccinators with vaccinating younger children are some additional recommendations to increase paediatric COVID-19 vaccination coverage rates.⁹

This study also revealed that coverage rates were highest for migrant children when compared to non-migrant children, which may be attributable to offering the vaccine free of charge no matter a child's immigration and citizenship status, and the ethnic-inclusive communications with clear and consistent messaging at the national level.^{12,38,39} Literature has noted the importance of addressing commonly identified barriers to vaccination among migrant and refugee populations; for example, by co-producing interventions with community members and organisations and using cultural mediators and interpreters, to name a few.^{36,46–49} Ensuring that national COVID-19 vaccination policies explicitly include and address the needs of migrants and refugees is imperative for equitable uptake.³⁷ This finding may also be attributable to where COVID-19 vaccination services were available to help with vaccine access among migrant populations. Studies in NZ and the US demonstrate the importance of the locations and options of vaccination services to improve coverage rates.^{42,50} The COVID-19 vaccine rollout in NZ used many vaccine delivery services, including GP clinics, pharmacies, District Health Board–run dedicated vaccination centres, and iwi led or run by Māori or Pacific providers.⁴²

To better understand which determinants influence migrant parents' uptake of COVID-19 vaccinations, future work should be undertaken in other settings. In NZ, the COVID-19 vaccine rollout campaign should be investigated to understand what aspects helped to improve uptake among migrant communities and areas for improvement as these learnings could be applicable to future immunisation programmes. Moreover, since parents' COVID-19 vaccination status influenced that of their children, future research is required to understand the factors influencing parents' decisions to vaccinate themselves.

Strengths and limitations

We have used the best-quality data available by leveraging NZ's unique data collection and linking capabilities within the IDI for this study. Using data for a national cohort of children enabled granular examination of differences in paediatric COVID-19 vaccination uptake by various socio-demographic characteristics with a focus on migration background. Some limitations should be considered when interpreting our study's findings. First, in NZ, the paediatric COVID-19 vaccine was rolled out in January 2022, and we ran the analyses using the latest version of data in the IDI (June 2022). Thus, with six months of data available, we focused our analyses on the uptake of at least one dose of the paediatric COVID-19 vaccine (rather than two doses as currently recommended). Many reasons may have influenced why children might not have received their second dose within this timeframe, such as needing to wait 8 weeks to receive the second dose, parents taking time to decide whether to vaccinate their child, and the child getting COVID-19 and needing time to recover and wait

before the second dose. Second, we have used existing administrative data for a different purpose than what it was originally designed to collect. Thus, we were not able to control the variables or the value categories within each variable, thereby potentially introducing some inaccuracies. Third, the earliest visa held by the migrant child or their migrant parent was used and transitions through different visa categories were not examined.

Conclusion

Paediatric COVID-19 vaccination coverage rates are far from optimal and varied by individual and household characteristics, including ethnicity, sex, age, family type, income, deprivation, and region. Importantly, children with migrant and refugee backgrounds were more likely to receive a COVID-19 vaccine than non-migrant children. Parental COVID-19 vaccination status was the strongest predictor of paediatric COVID-19 vaccination status. To improve equitable uptake, efforts must continue to support parents to make confident vaccine decisions and improve access using localised approaches that address logistical barriers, particularly among marginalised population sub-groups.

Author contributions

N.A.C. and J.P. designed the study and applied for funding. L.K. linked and analysed the data. All authors contributed to the analysis plan and interpretation of the findings. N.A.C. and L.K. drafted the initial manuscript, and J.P. critically revised it for intellectual content. All authors gave final approval of the manuscript.

Ethics approval

Ethical approval to conduct this study was granted by the Auckland University of Technology Ethics Committee (AUTEC ref: 18/322).

Funding

This work was supported by a grant from the Health Research Council of New Zealand (18/586). The authors are solely responsible for final content and interpretation. The authors received no financial support or other form of compensation related to the development of the manuscript.

Data sharing

Summary statistics New Zealand security statement

This study is based on the integration of anonymised population census data from Statistics New Zealand. The results on this manuscript are not official statistics. They have been created for research purposes from the Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI), managed by Statistics New Zealand. The opinions, findings, recommendations, and conclusions expressed on this website are those of the authors, not Statistics NZ. This project was approved by Statistics New Zealand as a Data Laboratory project under the Microdata Access Protocols in 1997. The datasets created by the integration process are covered by the Statistics Act 1975 and can be used for statistical purposes only. Only approved researchers who have signed Statistics New Zealand's declaration of secrecy can access the integrated data in the Data Laboratory. For further information

about confidentiality matters in regard to this study, please contact Statistics New Zealand.

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted in collaboration with Statistics New Zealand and within the confines of the Statistics Act 1975. The authors thank the project advisors, Professors Nikki Turner (Medical Director, Immunisation Advisory Centre at the University of Auckland) and Denise Taylor (Director, Health and Rehabilitation Research Institute at Auckland University of Technology), for their advice. The authors would like to thank members of The Monarch Collaboration (<http://monarchcollaboration.org/>) for their support of this study.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Author ORCIDs

Nadia A. Charania  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8265-5742>

References

- World Health Organisation. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard n.d. [Accessed 15 May 2023]. Available from: <https://covid19.who.int/>.
- Woodworth KR, Moulia D, Collins JP, Hadler SC, Jones JM, Reddy SC, et al. The advisory committee on immunization practices' interim recommendation for use of pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine in children aged 5-11 Years - United States, november 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021;70(45):1579-83.
- Government of Canada. Health Canada authorizes use of Comirnaty (the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine) in children 5 to 11 years of age 2021. [Accessed 10 April 2023]. Available from: <https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/news/2021/11/health-canada-authorizes-use-of-comirnaty-the-pfizer-biontech-covid-19-vaccine-in-children-5-to-11-years-of-age.html>.
- Australian Government. TGA provisionally approves Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine for 5 to 11-year-olds 2021. [Accessed 18 May 2023]. Available from: <https://www.health.gov.au/ministers/the-hon-greg-hunt-mp/media/tga-provisionally-approves-pfizer-covid-19-vaccine-for-5-to-11-year-olds>.
- Australian Government. COVID-19 vaccination - Geographic vaccination rates - LGA - 5-11 year olds 2022. [Accessed 18 July 2023]. Available from: <https://www.health.gov.au/resources/collections/covid-19-vaccination-geographic-vaccination-rates-lga-5-11-year-olds>.
- Government of Canada. COVID-19 vaccination in Canada 2022. [Accessed 18 July 2023]. Available from: <https://health-infobase.canada.ca/covid-19/vaccination-coverage/>.
- American Academy of Pediatrics. Children and COVID-19 Vaccination Trends 2022. [Accessed 18 July 2023]. Available from: <https://downloads.aap.org/AAP/PDF/Child%20Vaccinations%20Report%20US%20Cumulative%20and%20Weekly%202.1.2023.pdf>.
- Murthy NC, Zell E, Fast HE, Murthy BP, Meng L, Saelee R, et al. Disparities in first dose COVID-19 vaccination coverage among children 5-11 Years of age, United States. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2022;28(5):986-9.
- Steffens M, Bolsewicz K, Leask J. *Increasing COVID-19 vaccine uptake in children aged 5-11 years: behavioural insights from the field*. Australia. 2022.
- Valier MR, Elam-Evans LD, Mu Y, Santibanez TA, Yankey D, Zhou T, et al. Racial and ethnic differences in COVID-19 vaccination coverage among children and adolescents aged 5-17 years and parental intent to vaccinate their children - national immunization survey-child COVID module, United States, December 2020-September 2022. *MMWR (Morb Mortal Wkly Rep)* 2023;72(1).
- Ministry of Health. COVID-19 vaccine: Children aged 5 to 11 2022. [Accessed 18 July 2023]. Available from: <https://www.health.govt.nz/covid-19-novel-coronavirus/covid-19-vaccines/covid-19-vaccine-children-aged-5-11>.
- Ministry of Health. Children and the COVID-19 vaccine 2023. [Accessed 20 May 2023]. Available from: <https://www.health.govt.nz/covid-19-novel-coronavirus/covid-19-vaccines/children-and-covid-19-vaccine>.
- Ministry of Health. COVID-19: Vaccine data 2022. [Accessed 18 July 2023]. Available from: <https://www.health.govt.nz/covid-19-novel-coronavirus/covid-19-data-and-statistics/covid-19-vaccine-data>.
- Stats NZ. Migration n.d. [Accessed 15 May 2023]. Available from: <https://www.stats.govt.nz/topics/migration>.
- Charania NA, Paynter J, Turner N. MMR vaccine coverage and associated factors among overseas-born refugee children resettled in Aotearoa New Zealand: a national retrospective cohort study. *The Lancet Regional Health - Western Pacific* 2022;33:100709.
- Charania NA, Paynter P, Lee AC, Watson DG, Turner NM. Exploring immunisation inequities among migrant and refugee children in New Zealand. *Hum Vaccines Immunother* 2018;14(12):3026-33.
- Charania NA, Gaze N, Kung JY, Brooks S. Vaccine-preventable diseases and immunisation coverage among migrants and non-migrants worldwide: a scoping review of published literature, 2006 to 2016. *Vaccine* 2019;37(20):2661-9.
- Deal A, Hayward SE, Crawshaw AF, Goldsmith LP, Hui C, Dalal W, et al. Immunisation status of UK-bound refugees between January, 2018, and October, 2019: a retrospective, population-based cross-sectional study. *Lancet Public Health* 2022;7(7):e606-15.
- Crawshaw A, Farah Y, Deal A, Rustage K, Hayward SE, Carter J, et al. *Defining the determinants of vaccine uptake and undervaccination in migrant populations in Europe to improve routine and COVID-19 vaccine uptake: a systematic review*. The Lancet Infectious Diseases; 2022.
- Biddle N, Welsh J, Butterworth P, Edwards B, Korda R. *Socioeconomic determinants of vaccine uptake: July 2021 to January 2022*. Canberra, Australia: Australian National University; 2022.
- Holz M, Mayerl J, Andersen H, Maskow B. How does migration background affect COVID-19 vaccination intentions? A complex relationship between general attitudes, religiosity, acculturation and fears of infection. *Front Public Health* 2022;10:854146.
- MacDonald SE, Paudel YR, Du C. COVID-19 vaccine coverage among immigrants and refugees in Alberta: a population-based cross-sectional study. *Journal of Global Health* 2022;12:05053.
- Fuhrer A, Pacolli L, Yilmaz-Aslan Y, Brzoska P. COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and its determinants among migrants in Germany-results of a cross-sectional study. *Vaccines (Basel)* 2022;10(8).
- University of Otago. Socioeconomic deprivation indexes: NZDep and NZiDep: Department of Public Health n.d. [Accessed 10 April 2023]. Available from: <https://www.otago.ac.nz/wellington/departments/publichealth/research/hip/otago020194.html>.
- Ministry of Health. About primary health organisations 2022. [Accessed 10 April 2023]. Available from: <https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/primary-health-care/about-primary-health-organisations>.
- Medical Council of New Zealand. District health boards n.d. [Accessed 10 April 2023]. Available from: <https://www.mcnz.org.nz/support/related-agencies/district-health-boards/>.
- Humble RM, Sell H, Wilson S, Sadarangani M, Bettinger JA, Meyer SB, et al. Parents' perceptions on COVID-19 vaccination as the new routine for their children < 11 years old. *Prev Med* 2022;161:107125.
- McKinnon B, Quach C, Dube E, Tuong Nguyen C, Zinszer K. Social inequalities in COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and uptake for children and adolescents in Montreal, Canada. *Vaccine* 2021;39(49):7140-5.
- Pal M, Goodyear-Smith F, Exeter D. Factors contributing to high immunisation coverage among New Zealand Asians. *Journal of Primary Health Care* 2014;4(4).
- Szilagyi PG, Shah MD, Delgado JR, Thomas K, Vizueta N, Cui Y, et al. Parents' intentions and perceptions about COVID-19 vaccination for their children: results from a national survey. *Pediatrics* 2021;148(4).
- Teasdale CA, Ratzan S, Rauh L, Lathan HS, Kimball S, El-Mohandes A. COVID-19 vaccine coverage and hesitancy among New York city parents of children aged 5-11 years. *Am J Publ Health* 2022;112(6):931-6.
- Suvada KA, Quan SF, Weaver MD, Sreedhara M, Czeisler ME, Como-Sabetti K, et al. Intent among parents to vaccinate children before pediatric COVID-19 vaccine recommendations, Minnesota and Los Angeles county, California-May-September 2021. *Vaccines (Basel)* 2022;10(9).
- Rane MS, Robertson MM, Westmoreland DA, Teasdale CA, Grov C, Nash D. Intention to vaccinate children against COVID-19 among vaccinated and unvaccinated US parents. *JAMA* 2022;176(2):201-3.
- Stelietou E, Giannouchos T, Karatza A, Sinopidis X, Vervenioti A, Souliotis K, et al. Parental and pediatricians' attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccination for children: results from nationwide samples in Greece. *Children* 2022;9(8).
- Bianchi FP, Stefanizzi P, Cusianna E, Riformato G, Di Lorenzo A, Giordano P, et al. COVID-19 vaccination hesitancy among Italian parents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Vaccines Immunother* 2023;2171185.
- Abba-Aji M, Stuckler D, Galea S, McKee M. Ethnic/racial minorities' and migrants' access to COVID-19 vaccines: a systematic review of barriers and facilitators. *J Migr Health* 2022;5:100086.
- Nichol AA, Parcharidi Z, Al-Delaimy WK, Kondilis E. Rapid review of COVID-19 vaccination access and acceptance for global refugee, asylum seeker and undocumented migrant populations. *Int J Publ Health* 2022;67:1605508.
- Beattie A, Priestley R. Fighting COVID-19 with the team of 5 million: Aotearoa New Zealand government communication during the 2020 lockdown. *Soc Sci Humanit Open* 2021;4(1):100209.
- Ministry of Health. COVID-19: Vaccine resources 2022. [Accessed 10 May 2023]. Available from: <https://www.health.govt.nz/covid-19-novel-coronavirus/covid-19-vaccines/covid-19-vaccine-resources>.
- Brown S, Toki L, Clark TC. *Māori Māmā views and experiences of vaccinating their pēpi and tamariki: a qualitative Kaupapa Māori study*. Auckland: New Zealand Work Research Institute; 2021.
- Tafea V, Mowat R, Cook C. Understanding barriers to immunisation against vaccine-preventable diseases in Pacific people in New Zealand, Aotearoa: an integrative review. *Journal of Primary Health Care* 2022;14(2):156-63.

42. Whitehead J, Carr PA, Scott N, Lawrenson R. Structural disadvantage for priority populations: the spatial inequity of COVID-19 vaccination services in Aotearoa. *N Z Med J* 2022;135(1551):54–67.
43. Driedger SM, Capurro G, Tustin J, Jardine CG. “I won’t be a Guinea pig”: rethinking public health communication and vaccine hesitancy in the context of COVID-19. *Vaccine* 2022;41(1):1–4.
44. Goldsmith LP, Rowland-Pomp M, Hanson K, Deal A, Crawshaw AF, Hayward SE, et al. Use of social media platforms by migrant and ethnic minority populations during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. *BMJ Open* 2022;12(11).
45. Sinclair O, Russell J, de Lore D, Andersen E, Percival T, Wiles S. The urgent need for an equitable COVID-19 paediatric vaccine roll-out to protect tamariki Māori [editorial]. *N Z Med J* 2021;134(1547):8–15.
46. Charania NA, Gaze N, Kung JY, Brooks S. Interventions to reduce the burden of vaccine-preventable diseases among migrants and refugees worldwide: a scoping review of published literature, 2006-2018. *Vaccine* 2020;38(46):7217–25.
47. Crawshaw AF, Deal A, Rustage K, Forster AS, Campos-Matos I, Vandrevalla T, et al. What must be done to tackle vaccine hesitancy and barriers to COVID-19 vaccination in migrants? *J Trav Med* 2021;28(4).
48. Deal A, Hayward SE, Huda M, Knights F, Crawshaw AF, Carter J, et al. Strategies and action points to ensure equitable uptake of COVID-19 vaccinations: a national qualitative interview study to explore the views of undocumented migrants, asylum seekers, and refugees. *J Migr Health* 2021;4:100050.
49. Immordino P, Graci D, Casuccio A, Restivo V, Mazzucco W. COVID-19 vaccination in migrants and refugees: lessons learnt and good practices. *Vaccines (Basel)* 2022;10(11).
50. DeCuir J, Meng L, Pan Y, Vogt T, Chatham-Stevens K, Meador S, et al. COVID-19 vaccine provider availability and vaccination coverage among children aged 5–11 Years — United States, november 1, 2021–april 25, 2022. *MMWR (Morb Mortal Wkly Rep)* 2022;71(26):847–51.