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Abstract

Identity is a contested domain within academic study. Within vernacular ways of being, identities are often taken for granted. The combination of academic contestation and taken-for-granted-ness conflates identities as sites of personal, political, material and economic struggle. This is particularly the case in New World countries such as Aotearoa New Zealand. New Zealand’s habitation can be traced back to early Māori landing and settlements that are dated around 900AD. European settlement heralded a new age of identity in a nation that has come to be known as Aotearoa New Zealand: Pākehā, as settler peoples, and Māori, as first peoples or tangata whenua. While these identities have dominated New Zealand’s socio-culture since that time, the country’s inhabitants have come to be known by many other identifiers.

This research explores one of these identities: the ‘Kiwi’ identity. Specifically, my research thesis explores how three migrant groups (Latin American, or Latinx, Pacific Island people or Pasifika, and Chinese) have come to understand Kiwi identity and its materiality, kiwiana. That understanding has been revealed by my use and adaptation of qualitative description and PhotoVoice as methodologies, and theoretical approaches including Deleuze and Guattari’s (1984) rhizome, social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) and identity theory (Stryker, 1980). This amalgam of theory and practice was, importantly, oriented by my decision to make ample room for the voices of my participant groups.

Since the immigration law changes in 1986, Aotearoa New Zealand has opened up its previous policies of discrimination and replaced them with an equitable, user-friendly immigration policy model. Consequently, the number of migrants to the country has rapidly increased. That increase has influenced identity change. Thus, my research is timely in its exploration of Kiwi identity from the perspective of new migrant communities.

From focus group meetings or individual interviews with 18 participants (6 per migrant group), I distilled findings and a discussion that challenges existing literature about what it means to be Kiwi in 2018 and possibly beyond. Most interestingly, my participants realised the place and importance of Māori identity, culture and worldview in their construction of Kiwi identity. Within that realisation, participants introduced new ways of thinking about and coming to know contemporary ways of being Kiwi. These
experiences were metered against their own realisations of identity change as participants moved from being an aspirant migrant, toward a migrant ‘in process’ and finally to becoming a resident.

This research also reveals that permeating what my participants told me, and showed me through PhotoVoice, was the pernicious influence of media. I suggest that many of my participants came to Aotearoa New Zealand mentally ‘pre-loaded’ with imagery of the country and its culture, particularly of Māori culture. In that way, my research opens up Kiwi identity and its formation for further investigation, particularly in light of the subtly invasive influences of media. In that regard my research now represents a starting point for further exploration, not an end-point.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

On a busy weekday in Brooklyn, New York, Kiwi chef Mark Simmons prepares one of his specialties, New Zealand lamb rack. His restaurant, Kiwiana, is a taste of ‘home away from home’. Kiwiana’s ambiance exudes practicality: wooden floors, table tops, bar and banquet seating and walls with Kiwi-inspired art. There is not a Buzzy Bee or gumboot in sight. While Mark’s lamb rack and kiwifruit pavlova are held in high regard, he recently made headlines by standing up to United States President Donald Trump. Discreetly placed at the bottom of every customer’s bill, Mark makes his point: “Immigrants make America great (they also cooked your food and served you today)” (“Kiwi restaurant in New York City”, 2017, para. 4).

Meanwhile, back in Aotearoa New Zealand, commentator and linguaphile Max Cryer asks a pointed question: “Why have we stopped saying ‘she’ll be right’?” (Cryer, 2018). Cryer notes the colloquialism’s association with Kiwi identity, suggesting that “it was the unspoken motto of Barry Crump and later Fred Dagg both [of whom were] pleasantly confident of their own abilities, and the belief that everything would be ... hunky dory” (para. 5).

Although hemispheres apart, Simmons and Cryer have much common. Within kiwiana’s confines, Simmons conveyed Cryer’s antithesis: that ‘she wouldn’t be right’, and, most importantly, that someone needed to speak up. These seemingly unconnected incidents show Cryer and Simmons’s individual embodiment and expressions of being Kiwi. Simmons achieved this in a David-and-Goliath way: punching well above his weight; Cryer by showing that the male-centric Kiwi worldview might be declining in quality. Cryer asked why ‘she’ll be right’ uses ‘she’ of all the available gendered alternatives.

Through their engagements, Simmons and Cryer achieved something else – they reinforced a way of being, an identity: Kiwi; and they perpetuated the myths associated with that identity and its materiality: kiwiana.

This thesis explores migrant perceptions of being and becoming a Kiwi and its materiality, kiwiana, in Aotearoa New Zealand. My research was completed within Chinese, Latin American and Pacific Island migrant communities in Aotearoa New
Zealand. With increasing migration to Aotearoa New Zealand, my research is timely and important. I act locally and, in that context, it is important to be aware of external, often disempowering forces which undermine minority voices. My research, therefore, makes space for the voices of members of new ethnic migrant groups. The rise in migrant numbers within Chinese, Latin American and Pacific Island communities in New Zealand is changing the taken-for-granted nature of what it means to be Kiwi. As migrants, my participants’ perceptions of Kiwi identity and kiwiana are important. As I have come to understand from the perspectives of my participants, Kiwi identity and kiwiana reflect ways of being and becoming that migrants to New Zealand encounter. Additionally, migrants, including my participants, potentialise the re-evaluation of new ways of being Kiwi, its materiality kiwiana and the myths surrounding each domain.

While the focus of my thesis reflects identity, materiality and myth, it is underpinned by my concern that global, politically right-leaning movements propagate ways of being that undermine the voices of minorities. In a small but meaningful way, my participants and I redress that movement within a democratic nation. In that way, my work reflects the spirit of Aotearoa New Zealand as the free-standing “social laboratory of the world” (Phillips, 2012, p. 3) because my participants and this research realised new ways of being and becoming a Kiwi.

**The Research Question**

My research asks: In what ways do Kiwi identity and kiwiana hold relevance for non-Pākehā migrant communities?

That question is underpinned by the following conceptual questions put to the participants:

- In what ways have Kiwi identity and kiwiana influenced you as you have changed from aspirant migrant, to migrant and then to resident of Aotearoa New Zealand?
- What new perceptions of Kiwi identity and kiwiana have you experienced?
- How have you negotiated these themes as existent and/or emergent realities?
Defining Kiwi Identity

Within my research, ‘Kiwi’ is understood as a vernacular term applied to and self-ascribed by people from Aotearoa New Zealand (Sands & Beverland, 2010). Kiwi is also the name of an indigenous flightless bird. In this thesis, I distinguish them via capitalisation. As a people identifier, the Māori word Kiwi takes a capital K; as a bird identifier, kiwi takes a lower-case k.

For me, Kiwi is an inclusive term. It is applicable to any person, of any ethnicity who recognises themselves as, or aspires to become, part of Aotearoa New Zealand. Additionally, I propose that Kiwi includes Māori and Pākehā people identifying with those descriptors but choosing to also use Kiwi. For me, Kiwi recognises inclusivity and, within that, an inestimable “infinity of traces” (Gramsci, 1971, p. 324) through my participants’ lived experiences and backgrounds. Those traces, experiences and backgrounds incorporate contemporary intersectionalities compounded within my own ontologies and epistemologies.

Defining Kiwiana

Within this research, kiwiana includes the items of material culture supporting a Kiwi identity. In my thesis, I realise kiwiana’s actancy in Wolfe and Barnett’s (2001) suggestion that kiwiana aids in identity recognition, and in C. Bell’s (2012d) observation that kiwiana includes “symbols of the nation [that are] imbued with accumulated meanings” (p. 349). Yet, within my research I distinguish a problem in the way kiwiana is often understood. In my literature review, I discuss the fact that kiwiana is commonly associated with Pākehā identity. I question why, given that relationship, kiwiana is not called Pākehāna, and similarly why Māori materiality, Māoriana, is considered a subset of kiwiana. These situations, and my consideration of them, reflect the socio-politically bifurcated nature of Māori and Pākehā in Aotearoa New Zealand (A. Bell, 2006).

Myths of Kiwi Identity and Kiwiana

Kiwi identity and kiwiana are comprised of tangible items and intangible themes. A person identifying as Kiwi is tangible; they can be touched. However, Kiwi identity also incorporates intangible themes because being Kiwi reflects an aesthetic. C. Bell (2004)
alludes to this aesthetic in her “fictive cultural history” (p. 175) construct (see Chapter 4: Literature Review). Kiwi’s ethereal nature is made tangible through its material expression. Exemplifying that tangibility are the Buzzy Bee and the Swanndri. Respectively, these items represent the innocence of childhood and the industriousness associated with being Kiwi within the symbolic meaning of a material item. Complementing the relationship between kiwiana items and their symbolic meaning are a range of myths reflecting both Kiwi identity and kiwiana.

In my literature review, I explore these myths. Then, in my findings and discussion chapters, I present a range of new intangibles: the new myths that my participants have identified through their particular experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana.

Methodological Standpoints

To understand my participants’ experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana, my research used qualitative description. Qualitative description allowed the data, sourced from my participants, and minimally interpreted by me, to speak for itself. In that way, qualitative description affords a close relationship not only between the researcher and participants but also between my written work and my reader. Additionally, other methodological standpoints have influenced and guided my research. They have included the following realisations:

- That ontology and epistemology are symbiotically related.
- That the social construction of reality (Berger & Luckmann, 1966) underpins how we make sense of the world around us and, within the construction and understanding of knowledge, forms our worldview.
- That ontology, epistemology, the social construction of reality and my other theoretical and methodological choices, including mythscape (D. Bell, 2003), social identity theory and identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979; Stryker, 1980), PhotoVoice and qualitative description (Sandelowski, 2000), are socio-temporally located.
- That consequent to the socio-temporal nature of knowledge and the researcher’s conceptions of it, new ways of being and perceptions of identity, materiality and myth can be realised.
That my research recognises the dynamic nature of knowledge, being and becoming by suggesting that Aotearoa New Zealand is now entering its fourth wave of migration and identity construction. I directly link my participants’ data to their connection, as migrants, to that fourth wave and explore that connection in my discussion chapter.

Getting to Know the Author

To give the reader an insight into the relationship between my topic and me as the author of this thesis, I now discuss my own experience of what it means to be Kiwi, particularly a Kiwi male. This existential fact has influenced my worldview and, by consequence, my thesis. A whole body of academic literature promotes the idea that being Kiwi is achieved through masculine attributes and ways of being. However, I challenge that view (see Chapter 4: Literature Review). My own life as a gay man has meant that I have experienced marginalisation and discrimination because of my sexuality. I am not and have not been part of mainstream culture. I have lived at its fringe. In this regard, I readily identify with minority groups that also struggle to fit into an alien culture. For me, a Kiwi man can be whatever that man decides to be. However, having noted that, structural and subtle behavioural reminders ensure that being a Kiwi man today can be, in my opinion, a constricting experience.

‘Being a man’, making do, being innovative and creative, yet somewhat lacking in visible expressions of emotion, characterise that way of being. When I grew up in rural West Otago in the 1960s, most men appeared to me to embody those characteristics. Consequently, in this thesis, as in my later life, I critically challenge the male-centric focus promoted by Kiwi identity and kiwiana.

Additionally, I worked as a chef for 17 years (1973-1990), which has influenced the way I perceive the world. Kitchen work is a tough experience. There are the dangers of the environment, the pressures guests bring, and the need to be innovative, profitable and, above all else, consistent. Customers expect the same meal to be the same (or better) than the last time they enjoyed it. Being a chef has taught me discipline, organisation and how to take orders from demanding bosses and managers. Being a chef has also introduced me to publishing. I am co-author of The New Zealand Chef. Now in its fourth edition, The New Zealand Chef is the standard culinary text for all students in training to become a chef under Unit Standards and London City & Guilds qualifications in New Zealand.
Consequently, through a life lived in busy kitchens in New Zealand, England and America, and in publishing culinary texts and other academic journal articles, I have experienced many things that have ‘taken me out of the kitchen’. Yet, the kitchen remains with me. In writing this thesis, I am attempting to develop a ‘sure to rise’ recipe. Aware of this, and my background as a chef, my readers can expect the occasional culinary metaphor and comparison but, alas, no recipes.

**My Infinity of Traces**

Aspects of Pākehā and Māori identity, Māori myth, as well as my participants’ worldviews provide my research with valuable information reflecting diverse participant ontologies and epistemologies. This information underpins my contemporary understanding of the symbiotic nature of knowledge and getting to know knowledge, and therefore provides insights for my participants, readers and myself. I am aware that those domains and histories influence and underpin my own understandings of Kiwi identity, kiwiana and being Pākehā and/or Māori. Consequently, in considering, researching, and writing this thesis introduction, I felt compelled to explore my own whakapapa: my genealogy. Who were my ancestors and how did they come to Aotearoa New Zealand? Finding this out has extended my self-knowledge, raising responses to the question “Who is Lindsay Neill and where did he come from?” Until I embarked on this thesis, I had barely reflected on the past. My investigations into my family’s history have made me aware of how hard my forebears’ lives were, and how their experiences as colonist-settlers differ from my own comparatively comfortable lifestyle.

A family tree book, compiled by an aunt in the early 1970s, provided insightful material about my paternal family. My ancestors, Samuel and Jean Manson, arrived in Aotearoa New Zealand in the early 1840s. Their migration exemplified the tyranny of distance (Blainey, 2001) in ways New Zealanders today can barely appreciate. Their voyage to Aotearoa New Zealand from England took 90 days and culminated in their ship berthing near Akaroa. The Manson family soon settled in the Port Hills area near Christchurch in New Zealand’s South Island. On arrival, like many other early settlers, Samuel and Jean Manson had to make do with what limited utilitarian resources were available. In reading

---

1 A tag-line phrase for Edmond’s Baking Powder (an item of kiwiana). ‘Sure to rise’ implied failure-free baking.
my aunt’s book, I was not surprised to learn that the Mansons were innovators, nor that as time passed other surnames, including Māori surnames, emerged in our family.

Samuel Manson’s ability to turn his hand to anything was best exemplified by his construction skills. As an indentured servant to the Dean family, Samuel Manson built the Dean family home. In constructing the home, Samuel’s forgetfulness was exposed. On a buying trip to Wellington with the Dean family, he purchased then forgot the nails needed to build the home. Realising this on his return to Christchurch, Samuel improvised by cutting the construction timbers to interlock. Samuel’s innovation in building the house without nails proved successful and the Dean homestead can still be seen standing today in Christchurch’s Hagley Park (see Figure 1). The home’s history and unique construction techniques provide Christchurch city with a popular tourist attraction.

As early settlers, Samuel and Jean Manson embodied the contemporary Kiwi characteristic of notable innovation. Samuel’s response to the nail issue reflected his innovative spirit and ability to adapt in a unique way.

That characteristic exemplifies contemporary Kiwi identity. Through my own “infinity of traces” (Gramsci, 1995, p. 324), I perpetuate my ancestors’ characteristic of innovation but in a contemporary context. In my engagements as a creative chef, book author and academic researcher, I, too, have often had to ‘make do’.

Chapter Structure and Overview

Chapter 2: Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

This chapter traces my search for the theoretical perspectives that best suit my research needs and identifies suitable theoretical positions. Those positions form my theoretical framework. My use or operationalisation of those theories forms my conceptual framework. Consequently, my theoretical framework is distinguished from my
conceptual framework through operationalisation. In particular, I outline my use and adaptation of Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) social identity theory and Stryker’s (1980) identity theory as well as their operationalisation in my consideration of Crenshaw’s (1991) intersectionality. This chapter provides an overview, subdivided into theoretic and applied sections, of the potency of materiality and myth, and of the ways in which constructs of materiality and identity are transferred via Deleuze and Guattari’s (1987) rhizome.

Chapter 3: Background and Context

Chapter Three explores, under two central topic headings, identity and the embodiment of ontology and epistemology. Specifically, I discern how those themes symbiotically provide worldviews for my participants and myself. In exploring identity, I present sections critically discussing Pākehā, Māori and Kiwi identities. This chapter also provides an overview of the nation’s discriminatory history of immigration. Within that discussion, I propose that Aotearoa New Zealand is beginning its fourth wave of migration and identity formation, and that my participants are key players in this movement. I expand upon my Four Wave model later in the findings and discussion chapters of my thesis.

Chapter 4: Literature Review

Drawing from popular press and academic sources, Chapter Four critically evaluates my selection of existing literature on Kiwi identity and kiwiana. As identifiers, Kiwi identity and its materiality, kiwiana, are congruent with Billig’s (1995) themes of nationalism, especially in how identity and its materiality are often taken-for-granted constructs. Therefore, the inclusion of popular literature is an important aspect of my thesis. The popular press in New Zealand uses notions of Kiwi identity in ways that assume the readers already know who or what Kiwis are. This places the popular press in a powerful position. Newspapers, for instance the New Zealand Herald, influence in subtle yet powerful ways how Kiwis see themselves and are seen by others. My literature review recognises that position and its public influence. Rounding out my literature review, and cognisant of the view that Kiwi identity is dominated by maleness, I explore the place of Kiwi women and their contribution to Kiwi identity.
Chapter 5: Methodology

My methodology chapter details my exploration of the various methods that might have suited the research before I decided that qualitative description best suited my research needs. Additionally, this chapter outlines my refinement of method through my pilot study work, my selection of participants, my choice of focus group and interview formats, and finally my thematic analysis of my participants’ data.

Chapter 6: Findings

Cognisant that qualitative description avoids over-interpretation, in my findings chapter I present my participants’ experiences and knowledge of Kiwi identity and kiwiana by maximising their voice. The intent of my research is not to over-interpret or compare responses between groups. Consequently, I present my findings sequentially. I outline what my three participant groups believed to be important about Kiwi identity and kiwiana. In that way, my findings facilitate the next chapter, my discussion.

Chapter 7: Discussion and Conclusion

My discussion opens up my research within a wider framework model. That framework model presents my conceptualisations of how four waves of migration and identity construction have influenced Kiwi identity and kiwiana; new Kiwi myths; research limitations; and recommendations for further research. I conclude my thesis with some final reflections.
Chapter 2: Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

Precis: Chapter Overview

This chapter is presented in two sections. The first section presents my theoretical framework. The second section presents my conceptual framework. I differentiate those sections by noting that my theoretical framework presents some key theoretical perspectives from which I selected component parts to best suit this research. Those refined components were operationalised in my work and constitute my conceptual framework. In considering what I would select for my theoretical and conceptual frameworks, I was aware of the dynamic nature of my participant groups and how any theory within my work needed to reflect their dynamism. Consequently, I have come to understand and appreciate that theory within either framework must enhance the understanding of participants’ experience, not serve to restrict it.

Through that thinking, I have realised significant adaptations to social identity theory, (Tajfel & Turner, 1979), identity theory (Stryker, 1980) and Deleuze and Guattari’s (1987) rhizome, within a wider awareness that ontology and epistemology are in symbiosis. Consequently, my theoretical and conceptual frameworks not only reflect my adaptation of theory to suit the research purpose, but also my own growth within and through those theories, their adaptation and their application to everyday experience. That process, and the realisations that have come about through it, have proved valuable. I liken my work in this chapter to constructing a recipe: if on account of taste, texture and quantity/quality, the ingredients are not correct, the result is not palatable. My recipe of theoretical ingredients in this chapter, I believe, is ‘just right’.

In my theoretical framework, I discuss perspectives on ontology and epistemology, and their symbiosis. Then, I explore social identity and identity theories (Tajfel & Turner, 1979; Stryker, 1980). I extend and refine my identity theory choices with Crenshaw’s (1991) construct of intersectionality. Additionally, I explore theoretical perspectives of material culture, semiotics and myth. Those themes and theories are important because they illuminate my later writing about Kiwi identity and kiwiana. I focus on D. Bell’s
(2003) mythscape as my choice of myth theory. Finally, I present Deleuze and Guattari’s (1987) rhizome as the concluding section to my theoretical framework. I use the rhizome in the way I use intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1991), as a second refracting lens for my research that draws down key findings from data.

My conceptual framework is presented in a similar order. Its focus is on the refinement of theory to best suit my research. The adaptation and synthesis of theory within my conceptual framework reflects my contribution to the advancement of theory through applied innovation. In these ways, my conceptual framework provides the tool that operationalises theory in my research and writing.

**Theoretical Framework**

In writing this thesis, I have come to what are, for me, entirely new ways of thinking about identity, materiality, ontology and epistemology. Whereas I used to passively accept theory, now I am more consciously engaged in a silent conversation with each theorist. Firstly, I need to understand what the theorist is saying. Secondly, I need to link that understanding to my research. I do this by asking myself ‘What does this position offer my work?’ If the theory advances, illuminates and/or adds a critical perspective positioning my work within a wider framework then I consider that theory needs deeper exploration. Despite this approach, my experience has been that most theories are not perfect research ‘fits’. I have learnt how to consider a theory and to disregard it, or to adapt it to best suit my work. In doing so, my work contributes toward the evolution of theory through adaptation and my theoretical modifications reflect the difference between a theoretical and a conceptual framework. For me, approaching theory can be regarded as dining from a buffet: it is a matter of choosing the best quality, not indiscriminately throwing back all that is available. As a result, I have been rigorous in my choice of theory.

I have also experienced new understandings about the constitution of knowledge. Textbook reading had initially led me to believe that ontology and epistemology were separate domains, and indeed it is convenient and often meaningful to consider them in that fashion. My new understanding, however, reveals ways in which they are in symbiosis. To understand my own worldview, and that of others, I now see that the themes constituting knowledge are interdependent and overlapping domains. My
enlightened understanding supersedes my previous concerns about which of these concepts ‘came first’. For me, such thinking was unproductive and confusing. My realisation is that ontology and epistemology are constructs that have been, to some extent, artificially bifurcated from what is in many ways a joint or symbiotic relationship. My view of this relationship now incorporates my belief that knowledge, and its relevance to being, is a social construction underpinning how we each create our world and perceive the world of others.

**Introduction to Epistemology and Ontology**

Ontology and epistemology are meta-themes in philosophy. Buchanan (2010) suggested that each domain, respectively, incorporates “the existence of things” (p. 352) and “the constitution of knowledge” (p. 153). This positions knowledge as an essential consideration in identity (Schroeder, 2014) and therefore materiality. My consideration of ontology and epistemology has expanded my gaze on identity and materiality as well as the importance of knowledge in the construction of worldview. Undertaking this research and thinking about these meta-themes has made me reconsider my own ways of being, my own beliefs and my own knowledge. My reflection and practice within my research forced me to question the very essence of what it means to be me. These realisations caught me off-guard. I had not given much thought before this to interrogating constructs of knowledge in my own life. I had passively accepted these domains and their implications. Recollecting my past has, however, enriched my understanding of the specific field of knowledge addressed in this thesis, and my research with my participants, by adding a personal perspective.

Despite the social changes occurring in the 1960s, my years of schooling then simply did not encourage questioning. Now, recognising my own personal growth, I can ask questions! Questions are important because how I see others, how I see myself and how others see me are crucial topics for a thesis on identity. Under this sort of scrutiny, my perceptions of self and of others reveal themselves to be contemporaneously founded in the philosophy of knowledge. My realisations incorporate the importance and symbiotic nature of ontology and epistemology. My insights have made me value the similarities and differences in the worldviews that my participants and I bring to the research. This makes the combination of my own and my participants’ experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana unique to this thesis. The changes that I have experienced have expanded my
worldview and this, in turn, is reflected in this research. My new awareness has opened up my thoughts and feelings about identity and material culture, and about being a New Zealander. Additionally, for me, there has been the conscious realisation that, of course, like other people or identities, I too am a work in progress. Alongside personal growth, my intellectual development has afforded deeper insights into what it means to be Kiwi for my research participants as well as for myself.

Until now, my understanding of the constructs of knowledge was that they were dry subjects, linked in my mind to past, antiquated and simplistic binary ways of thinking. Now they are vibrant, understandable, and more clearly applicable to everyday life and to the real lives that I research. While, as philosophical terms, ontology and epistemology sound weighty and academic, they are essential in understanding vernacular topics such as mine.

**Constructing Ontology**

For Laverty (2003), ontology explores “the form and nature of reality and what can be known about it” (p. 26). Tolich and Davidson (2003) clarified this view by suggesting that “ontology deals with questions about what things exist in the ‘real’ world” (p. 24). For Bryman (2008), ontology represents “a theory of the nature of social entities” (p. 696) and that author asks whether “the social world is regarded as something external to social actors, or as something that people are in the process of fashioning” (p. 696). Laverty (2003) and Bryman (2008) linked ontology to the social construction of reality. Creswell (2013) also suggested that reality is concretised as the researcher reports “different perspectives as themes develop in the findings” (p. 696). However, the realisation of knowledge also reflects less concretised ways of being and belief. This is especially so for those who hold spiritual beliefs. Exemplifying that within a Māori context is the belief that

> when a person is near the point of death the spirits of his dead forbears come hither from the spirit-world in order to guide his own wairua to spirit-land. The spirit-world, or the entrance thereto, lies afar off in the west, under the setting sun, hence it is alluded to as the hidden land of Tane. (Best, 1934/2016, p. 82)

---

2 The social construction of reality, popularised in sociology by Berger and Luckmann (1966), suggests that “society cannot exist without acting selves; in turn, the self is a product of society” (Jary & Jary, 2000, p. 566).
With these positions in mind, I propose that my research is an ontologically-based exploration of the similarities and differences of migrant experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Not only do I refer to the similarity and differences in group perceptions of Kiwi identity and kiwiana, but I also engage those perceptions by contrasting them with existing literature on Kiwi identity and kiwiana. In these ways, ontology, for me, reflects the fission between existing thinking about Kiwi identity and kiwiana and the new thinking about it that migrant participants contribute. In this way, my research places ontology as a dynamic variable constituting how our worldviews are constructed.

**Constructing Epistemology**

Buchanan (2010) suggested that epistemology asks, “What does it mean to know something and by what means are we able to have knowledge?” (p. 153). Meanwhile, Creswell (2013) proposed that qualitative researchers ask three questions about knowledge: “What counts as knowledge, how are knowledge claims justified, and what is the relationship between the researcher and that being researched?” (p. 21). Creswell’s (2013) statement logically necessitates an epistemological relationship between the researcher, the research topic and research participants. That synergy creates an epistemological knowledge ‘flow’ in multiple directions.

**A Symbiosis: Ontology and Epistemology**

My view is that the authors cited in the previous two sub-sections reflect the symbiotic relationship between ontology and epistemology. I understand that “the existence of things” (Buchanan, 2010, p. 352) and “the constitution of knowledge” (Buchanan, 2010, p. 153) feed off each other. My contribution, understanding that these constructs of knowledge are in symbiosis, can be demonstrated by asking the following questions: “How can we know about the existence of things without considering knowledge?” and “How can we consider knowledge without existence?” For me these questions, and their answers, demonstrate the interdependent nature of knowing about knowledge. I apply that interdependence in the domain of the everyday: the vernacular nature of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. The blended model of knowledge provides useful ways to engage with and understand both domains. Appreciating that the constructs of knowledge are not rarefied truth systems solely linked to philosophy and religious discourse, I now consider that ontology and epistemology are simply two more social constructions that are dynamic
and constantly becoming something more. Consequently, these realisations have enabled my own understanding and appreciation of the worldview of my research participants. Laverty (2003) pinpointed my connections: “What is the nature of the relationship between the knower and what can be known?” (p. 26).

In the ways outlined above, my understanding of what constitutes knowledge reflects the postmodern positioning of my work. A postmodern perspective provides a theoretical base for knowledge by promoting an understanding of how my participants’ worldviews are constructed and negotiated. In exploring Kiwi identity and kiwiana, I am aware that there are multiple representations and interpretations of these domains which constitute how others come to know and understand these two concepts. My ontological and epistemological positioning essentially proposes a multifaceted Kiwi identity that is represented by and interpreted within an equally diverse materiality. With synergy comes the knowledge that provides a base for appreciating how people come to know, participate in and understand their own worldviews and the worldviews of others.

Yet, how we come to this point is more complex than my idea of a symbiosis suggests. There have been significant challenges to dominant Western models of thinking, being and becoming. In Aotearoa New Zealand, Smith (2008) proposed that alternate understandings of how we know what we know, and which histories ‘mattered’ are necessary because research ‘through imperial eyes’ describes an approach which assumes that Western ideas about the most fundamental things are the only ideas possible to hold, certainly the only rational ideas, and the only ideas which can make sense of the world, of reality, of social life and of human beings. (p. 56)

Smith (2008) advocated a revised Māori worldview and research agenda. “The development of new ways of thinking about indigenous Māori research, and approaches to the way this research should be framed, have emerged in the last decade under the rubric of Kaupapa Māori research” (pp. 178-179). Other challenges have been mounted. Feminists, including Stanley and Wise (1993), Hemmings (2012) and Stanley (2013) have advocated feminist perspectives in research. In queer theory, a similar change has occurred. These changes have been facilitated through the work of Foucault (1978) and Butler (1999). These revisions of the understanding of what constitutes knowledge reflect the diversity of contemporary living and emphasise how diversity has permeated the academy in positive and productive ways. Consequently, reconsiderations of ontology
and epistemology accommodate different worldviews and inform research perspectives and methodologies. These perspectives in turn reflect the intersectional nature of contemporary life (see the section on “Intersectionality” in this chapter, below).

Table 1, below, presents my ontology, epistemology, methodology and method. While this table has a structure, it is to be viewed osmotically: its cells are porous as it reflects the dynamic nature of the researcher/participant relationship.

Table 1: *Purpose, ontology, epistemology, methodology and method used in this research.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose of Research</th>
<th>To explore the ways Kiwi identity and kiwiana hold relevance for non-Pākehā migrant communities?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ontology</strong></td>
<td>Ontology is realised through the life world (the world we live in) and how migrant participants make sense of that world within the domains of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Ontology is compounded and shaped by pre-understandings, history, culture, language and participant ‘being’ rather than through their ‘knowing of being’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“What is the form and nature of reality and what can be known about it?” (Laverty, 2003, p. 26).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epistemology</strong></td>
<td>Epistemology asks, how do we know what we know? How have participants experienced and come to know Kiwi identity, kiwiana through their status as intended migrants, migrants, and New Zealand residents?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“What is the nature of the relationship between the knower and what can be known?” (Laverty, 2003, p. 26).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Methodology</strong></td>
<td>Qualitative description provides and incorporates a limited interpretation of participant experience and data. Qualitative description emphasises the data as ‘star’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method</strong></td>
<td>PhotoVoice, interviews, focus groups, and thematic analysis of data, emphasising the description and reporting of participant experience.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Adapted from Laverty (2003).

I apply my research cognisant of my own personal growth and growing knowledge base. My work is framed by the synergistic worldviews of my participants, as I discern them. The overlapping, complementary and mutually reinforcing nature of the ontologies and epistemologies of my participants influences my own worldview, and how I use these constructs to discover and actively engage my participants’ worldviews. My realisations, via research, facilitate my deeper understandings of materiality, identity and plurality as well as what it means to be Lindsay Neill. Consequently, my research represents a journey of self-realisation and my research thesis contains auto-ethnographic elements.
Symbolic Interactionism

As a methodology, Patton (2002) proposed that symbolic interactionism asked: “What common set of symbols and understandings has emerged to give meaning to people’s interactions?” (p. 112). Carter and Fuller (2015) noted that symbolic interactionism is a prevalent construct within at least 11 contemporary sociological domains. Consequently, symbolic interactionism is both theory and methodology. As an academic paradigm, symbolic interactionism is renowned within three schools of academic thinking: Blumer’s (1969) Chicago School (Carter & Fuller, 2015), which had two waves of influence (Musolf, 2003); and Kuhn’s Iowa School and Stryker’s Indiana School (Carter & Fuller, 2016). These perspectives are outlined in Table 2, below.

Table 2: Perspectives of symbolic interactionism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interactional School of Thought</th>
<th>Basic Premises</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Blumer’s (1969) Chicago School   | ● Blumer introduced Mead’s (1934) concepts to sociology.  
                                 | ● Blumer emphasised that interaction with others created the self inasmuch as people “are engaged in mindful action where they manipulate symbols and negotiate the meaning of situations” (Carter & Fuller, 2016, p. 933).  
                                 | ● That creation/recreation is dynamic: Blumer realised symbolic interaction as “the peculiar and distinctive character of interaction as it takes place between human beings” (Carter & Fuller, 2016, p. 933).  
                                 | ● The structure of society reflects the interaction of people. |
| Kuhn’s Iowa School and Stryker’s Indiana School’s | ● Kuhn and Stryker moved symbolic interactionism toward a positivist paradigm that was not “limited to qualitative approaches” (Carter & Fuller, 2016, p. 935).  
                                 | ● Kuhn emphasised studying dyads, triads and intentionality in laboratory conditions in ways that expanded the academic vocabulary.  
                                 | ● Stryker realised that “meanings and interactions led to relatively stable patterns that create and uphold social structures” (Carter & Fuller, 2016, p. 936).  
                                 | ● Stryker expanded Mead’s “concept of role taking” (Carter & Fuller, 2016, p. 936), realising that roles were influenced by a person’s interactions with social structure. |

*Note.* Adapted from Carter and Fuller (2016).

As a social theory, symbolic interactionism, unlike structuralist perspectives of being, focuses on individuals as “agentic, autonomous, and integral in creating their social world[s]” (Carter & Fuller, 2015, p. 1). Within that autonomy, individuals communicate with others (Carter & Fuller, 2016). Consequently, symbolic interactionism incorporates
the idea that people make sense of their world from their own subjective views and experiences. Subjectivity of experience aligns with Mead’s (1934) suggestion that “we are not born with an already-made self. Rather, the self emerges out of and in turn influences, the practical conduct of social interaction” (p. 258). As Blumer (1969) observed, and Carter and Fuller (2015) noted, symbolic interactionism promotes the following ideas:

- individuals act based on the meanings objects have for them;
- interaction occurs within a particular social and cultural context in which physical and social objects (persons), as well as situations, must be defined or categorised based on individual meanings;
- meanings emerge from interactions with other individuals and with society; and
- meanings are continuously created and recreated through interpreting processes during interaction with others.

For my research, symbolic interactionism not only reflects my participants and my own interactions with each other and separate from each other but also is realised by participant use of PhotoVoice (see Chapter 5: Methodology). In using PhotoVoice my participants were empowered as “agentic, autonomous, and integral in creating their social world” (Carter & Fuller, 2015, p. 1) through image collection, discussion and negotiation.

**Social Constructionism**

Burr (2003) proposed that Berger and Luckmann’s (1966) thesis of the social construction of reality drew upon “the sub discipline of symbolic interactionism … [inasmuch] as people we construct our own and each other’s identities through our everyday encounters with each other in social interaction” (p. 13). Accordingly, it can be seen that Berger and Luckmann (1966) understood individual realisations of self, an identity, emerged from interactions with others. As Burr (2003) explained, “our identity is constructed out of the discourses culturally available to us, and which we draw upon in our communications with other people” (p. 107). This places language, identity and materiality as prime considerations in Berger and Luckmann’s (1966) theory. As Berger and Luckmann (1966) stated,
Language is capable not only of constructing symbols that are highly abstracted from everyday experience, but also of ‘bringing back’ these symbols and presenting them as objectively real elements in everyday life. In this manner, symbolism and symbolic language become essential constituents of the reality of everyday life and of the common-sense apprehension of this reality. (p. 55)

Additionally, social constructionism reflects diversity and difference. As Van Krieken et al. (2014) explained, “our world is an intersubjective one with our actions orientated towards other human beings” (p. 313). Consequently, Berger and Luckmann’s (1966) emphasis on interactivity provides a valuable tool to explore materiality and identity.

**Introduction to Identity Theory**

The construct of identity is complex and problematic. For me, identity’s complexity reflects its multiplicity; its problematisation, the nexus of our worldview experiences captured within academic theoretical constructs. How can the dynamics of identity be captured with the static written word? My confusion is a symptom of the vast array of identity literature within both the academic and popular domains. I am challenged by the task of encapsulating identity as both a dynamic experience and as a theoretical and operational construct (see the section on “Conceptual Framework” in this chapter, below).

Illustrating identity’s complexity is its definition. Identity derives from the Latin word “‘idem’ […] the same” (Merriam-Webster Dictionary, 2017, para. 12). Sameness promotes its opposite, difference. Consequently, sameness and difference are seminal concepts within identity and contribute to the contested nature of identity. Sociologically, Vryan (2007) noted that identity defines people in social terms; they depend upon shared meanings and situate their bearers within variously structured and enduring sets of social relations. If a person is believed to belong to particular categories of persons, the meanings and expectations attached to those categories are presumed to be relevant to the person. (para. 4).

Recognising the contested nature of identity, Buckingham (2008) cautioned that it could be a vague and amorphous construct. MacKinnon and Heise (2010) noted that individuals are “inundated with diverse identifications arising from wide-ranging affiliations, excursions to local and remote places, electronic messaging to far-flung others” (p. 49). Identity contestation is particularly highlighted when it is linked to race. As Vargas and Kingsbury (2016) pointed out, “race, as a system of stratification is socially experienced in accord with others’ perceptions. Notably, the racial group/s that one self-identifies with
does not always align with how they are perceived racially by others” (p. 718). In drawing attention to identity’s contestation, Vargas and Kingsbury (2016) also highlighted the point that identity involves the interpretive positioning of others. Identity therefore is not only about how we perceive ourselves, but also how others perceive us.

Adding to this knowledge are my own experiences of identity. I have multiple identities. They have changed over time and throughout this thesis. Some of my identities, such as New Zealander and Kiwi, have been self-ascribed. My coming out as a gay man reflected my own realisation of self and the acceptance of one identity. Others have ascribed identity to me, including Pākehā (from my GP) and Godfather (from friends with family). Ascribed identity began when I was a baby with my birth certificate identifying me as a baby boy.

This section explores identity theory as a social construction. Like other constructions, knowing about identity aids our understanding and negotiation of our lived experiences. In this way, my research not only explores identity theory, but also my participants’ experiences and their dynamics of identity (see Chapter 6: Findings, and Chapter 7: Discussion and Conclusion).

To address these problems and complexities within identity, I present an overview of two theoretical perspectives that I term base concepts: Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) social identity theory and Stryker’s (1980) identity theory. These theories are important to my research because they recognise identity’s dynamism and provide a base from which I can explore intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1991). As I have come to understand them, these theories encompass the transitions of identity that my participants have experienced. Moreover, they help explain that identity is a key element in the social construction of reality (Harrington, 2005) and my own changing identity. Recognising my belief that identity integrates a multiplicity of being, I update my base concepts by considering intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1991; Collins & Bilge, 2016). The combination of base concepts and intersectionality addresses my own dilemma about dynamic identities and static literature because intersectionality opens up identity’s vibrant diversity to wider exploration.
Base Concept 1: Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) Social Identity Theory

Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) social identity theory recognises that individuals have multiple selves that align to different group ‘memberships’. In this way, social identity theory explains constructs within identifiers that include, but are not limited to, gender, ethnicity, class and religion. Consequently, social identity theory stresses group commonality. Through constructed and communicated commonality, individuals form social groups. Groups prompt behaviours relative to their shared identity (Tajfel & Turner, 1979). This explains why the same person may identify and act differently in different situations. Social identity theory’s emphasis on shared ways of being in a group dynamic creates group dualities (Tajfel & Turner, 1979). These dualities incorporate group belonging: those sharing the same identity (in-groups), and those who do not (out-groups). Consequently, in-group and out-groups (see Table 3, below) facilitate ways of knowing about ‘us’ (in-groups) and ‘them’ (out-groups). Social identity theory therefore mediates the collective identity of individuals as well as recognising an individual’s idiosyncrasies. This was realised in Tajfel’s (1972) remark that an individual knows “that he [sic] belongs to certain social groups together with some emotional value significance to him [sic] of this group membership” (p. 292), which simultaneously reinforces individuality and group belonging.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Meaning and Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social categorisation (a cognitive domain)</td>
<td>We classify people in order to identify and understand them. Individuals inhabit multiple classifications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social identification (an evaluative domain)</td>
<td>We adopt the identity of the group we belong to and act accordingly. This promotes an emotional link with identity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social comparison (an emotional domain)</td>
<td>In recognising our own group (in-group), we recognise others (out-groups). Such recognitions reinforce prejudice and feelings of in-group superiority.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Adapted from Tajfel and Turner (1986).

Yet, in recognising identity via group belonging, Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) social identity theory also asserts differences between groups (see Table 4, “Key themes in social identity theory”, below).
Table 4: *Key themes in social identity theory.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Theme</th>
<th>Meaning/Relevance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjective belief structures</td>
<td>Group beliefs about other groups ... out-groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>How is the group I belong to perceived relative to out-groups?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability</td>
<td>How stable is the relationship between ‘my’ group and out-groups?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legitimacy</td>
<td>How legitimate is that relationship?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability</td>
<td>How easy is it for group members to change and join the out-group?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive alternatives</td>
<td>Is a different relationship possible between in-groups and out-groups?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social mobility</td>
<td>Is it easy for members of lower status groups to move upward?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social change</td>
<td>Suggests that it is hard for lower status group member to move up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social creativity</td>
<td>Therefore they engage creatively in redefining group relationships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive alternatives</td>
<td>A critical stance challenging the legitimacy of dominant groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social competition</td>
<td>Targeted status competition with out-groups. This can “range from debate, through protest to revolution and war” (Hogg, 2016, p. 8).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Adapted from Tajfel and Turner (1979) and Hogg (2016).

These differences include the social status ascribed to groups. Social identity theory recognises that individuals may aspire to change group membership particularly if that change holds higher identity status potential. In this way, Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) social identity theory recognises the fluidity of identity as well as the choice and chance opportunities influencing identity in everyday life. As a result, social identity theory incorporates the potential for identity change. Such change and enhanced identity status are important because, as Webber, McKinley and Hattie (2013) pointed out, “the social groups to which we belong help define who we are and thus constitute an essential part of our self” (p. 18). Facilitating this, Moran and Sussman (2014) suggested, are the prototypes that individuals possess for these groups:

> These prototypes are essentially cognitive representations of the group norms – that is, what it means to be a group member. As individuals identify more strongly with a group, social identity theory posits that he or she is more likely to act in accordance with a relevant in-group prototype. (p. 1058)

Over time, Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) theory has matured and been adapted by others. Its longevity reflects Tajfel’s (1969) interest in and concern for conflict, discrimination and prejudice and how these issues remain contemporarily important. Of special note in the adaptation of this theory is the point that, during the 1980s, social identity theory emphasised how prototypes generated the base knowledge for stereotypes and the social identity of groups (Turner, Hogg, Oakes, Reicher, & Weatherall, 1987; Abrams & Hogg, 2010).
Additionally, the work conducted in the late 1990s and early 2000s realised the uncertainty of identity by recognising the negative attributes of identity (Hogg, 2007, 2012). This contrasted Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) earlier emphasis on identity positivity. As Hogg (2016) noted, this theoretical change reflected what he called ‘uncertainty-identity theory’. For Hogg (2016), and other followers of social identity theory, identity uncertainty was “based on the premise that feeling uncertain about our world and in particular how to behave and how others will behave can be unsettling” (p. 10). In these ways, Hogg’s (2007, 2012, 2016) observations and additions to social identity theory reflected its dynamic and enduring nature. Consequently, since its initial formulation, Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) theory’s relevance to contemporary identities and research has remained potent.

**Base Concept 2: Stryker’s (1980) Identity Theory**

Stryker’s (1980) identity theory sought to “bridge the social structure and person and must be able to move from the level of the person to that of large scale social structure and back again” (p. 53). Stryker (1980) achieved this within the construct of identity role. He emphasised how roles were integrated into wider socio-cultures and so reflected the dynamic nature of individuals within structural bounds. It was within the tension between individuals and structure, however, that Stryker (1980) realised ‘identity’: that tension created “an image of the person as a structure of positions and roles, which, internalised, is the self” (p. 79). In order to understand that tension, Stryker (1980) conceived the notion of identity salience, a reflection of the multiple identities of each individual. These identities are arranged hierarchically creating a salient range of identity possibilities. As Stryker (1980) noted, “the higher the identity in [the] hierarchy the more likely that the identity will be invoked in a given situation or many situations” (p. 61). Complementing this was Stryker’s (1980) construct of identity commitment. Reflecting his observation that “one is committed to being that kind of person” (p. 61), Stryker (1980) realised how identity influenced our relationships with other people. That suggestion reflected an earlier observation that “actors within the social structure name one another, in the sense that they recognise each other as occupants of positions, and in naming one another invoke expectations with respect to one another’s behaviour” (Stryker, 1968, p. 559). It is at this point that Stryker’s (1980) theory overlaps with Tajfel and Turner’s (1979). Stryker’s (1980) commitment to the idea of “being that kind of person” (p. 61) aligned
individuals to group belonging through commonality and/or difference. In doing so, Stryker (1980) extended Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) theory by recognising group membership as well as its dynamic social construction. As Stryker and Burke (2000) acknowledged “social identity theory has focused attention on category-based identities (e.g., as black or white, Christian or Jew), [whereas] identity theory has focused primarily on role-based identities (e.g., parent or child, teacher or student)” (p. 293).

More recently, Stets and Burke (2014) observed that there have been “major advances in identity theory” (p. 57) since Stryker (1980) first put forward his position. Specifically, Stets and Burke (2014) identified that “perpetual control systems” (p. 61) and “sign meanings” (p. 63), and individual responses to them, and a “new conceptualisation of multiple identities” (p. 72) have come to represent the theory’s contemporary advances. These domains are explored in Table 5, below.

As base concepts, the theories of Tajfel and Turner (1979) and Stryker (1980) provide my research with a solid and complementary base from which I can explore Crenshaw’s (1991) intersectionality. I understand that Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) and Stryker’s (1980) emphasis on the social construction of identity align not only with social interactionism (Mead, 1934), but are empathetically placed within Crenshaw’s (1991) intersectionality.

Table 5: Key themes advancing identity theory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“Perpetual control systems” (Stets &amp; Burke, 2014, p. 61)</th>
<th>Meaning conveyed within identity was more important than the identity itself. People adapt behaviours to match their identity standards. The gap between behaviour and perception opened identity up to deeper scrutiny.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Sign meanings” (Stets &amp; Burke, 2014, p. 63)</td>
<td>Humans are “more than symbols or carriers of symbols; people also use and are sustained by signs” (Stets &amp; Burke, 2014, p. 64). Some signs are responses to automatic actions rather than signs in themselves. Stets and Burke (2014) give the example of the experience of close seating in economy airlines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“New conceptualisation of multiple identities” (Stets &amp; Burke, 2014, p. 72)</td>
<td>This development opened up Stryker’s (1980) salience hierarchy of identities by introducing a more fluid construct of identity. While salience is theoretically important, its implication within identity has become more dynamic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Adapted from Stets and Burke (2014).
Intersectionality and Identity

Intersectionality began its journey to academic acceptance through the writings and research of Kimberlé Crenshaw (1991). Crenshaw (1991) explored “the race and gender dimensions of violence against women of colour, … [particularly their] battering and rape” (pp. 1242-1243). In doing so, Crenshaw found that to adequately explore those domains she needed to use a wider lens of understanding than the simple binaries that race and gender permitted, albeit those categories in themselves constituted a distinct social position for black women. Consequently, Crenshaw (1991) widened academic thinking through her construct of intersectionality. She recognised the multiple considerations of intersectionality by exploring and explaining the myriad of factors that influenced her participants’ experiences of violence. In these ways, she demonstrated that power dynamics not only affected violence for women of colour, but they were also inherent to many other minority identities. Therefore, intersectionality not only recognised the composite ‘parts of being’ but also the umbrella of power relations under which identity and ‘being’ function (Crenshaw, 1991). McCall (2005) claimed that intersectionality was the most important contribution made to the academy by women’s related studies. Considering that claim, Shields (2008) and Brah and Phoenix (2013) proposed that intersectionality not only combined multiple constructs of being but actively recognised their inseparable bond within socio-culture. Therefore, intersectionality explores and exposes the strengths of the diversity within minority groups that contribute toward a person’s being in the world. This makes intersectionality an important tool in understanding identity.

Intersectionality embraces identity’s social construction, providing a logical stepping-stone from my base concept theories of identity. As Diamond and Butterworth (2008) noted, “theories of intersectionality help make sense of this experience [of being transgendered] by emphasising how all subjective experiences of selfhood are continually transformed, re-enacted and renegotiated as a function of shifting landscapes of social context” (p. 375).

Intersectionality opens up research by refocusing away from class-based constructs toward “the complex interweaving of diverse social inequalities which shape individual lives” (Giddens & Sutton, 2013, p. 489). In these ways, as Corlett and Mavin (2014) suggested, intersectionality simultaneously recognised, embraced and empowered
multiple and mutually constitutive social identities … [that] intersect in complex ways and that individuals construct” (p. 262). Collins and Bilge (2016) suggested that most scholars would agree that intersectionality is a way of understanding and analysing the complexities in the world, in people, and in human experiences. The events and conditions of social and political life and the self can seldom be understood as [being] shaped by one factor. They are generally shaped by many factors in diverse and mutually influencing ways. (p. 2)

Consequently, Crenshaw’s (1991) intersectionality is important to the academy, and to this research, because as Collins and Bilge (2016) posit, intersectionality “draws links between individual identity and collective identity” (p. 83) within an awareness of the social structures impacting each domain. That awareness includes intersectionality’s considerations of “social inequality, power, relationality, social context, complexity and social justice” (p. 64).

As I have come to understand it, it is these very factors that impact my own participants’ lives, identities and contributions to this research. Consequently, I include intersectionality’s praxis within this research (see the “Conceptual framework” section in this chapter, below). It is my position that intersectionality reflects the diverse multiplicity of identity experiences that in turn reflects individual identities in larger social identity structures.

Despite these strengths, intersectionality has a number of limitations. These are outlined in Table 6.

Table 6: Limitations of intersectionality.

- Intersectionality is category orientated.
- There are complexities for researchers which “result from the infinite lists of differences” and their subsequent analysis and recognition (Corlett & Mavin, 2014, p. 270).
- Intersectionality treats “all differences equally” (Corlett & Mavin, 2014, p. 270).
- The systematic approach to intersectionality by researchers may limit its ability to respond to complexity.

Note. Adapted from Corlett and Mavin (2014).

Theories of Material Culture

Seymour-Smith (1986) defined material culture as “the sum or inventory of the technology and material artefacts of a human group, including those elements related to subsistence activities as well as those which are produced for ornamental, artistic or ritual purposes” (p. 183). For Woodward (2007) material culture explored “how apparently
inanimate things [objects, artefacts] within the environment act on people, and are acted upon by people, for the purposes of carrying out social functions, regulating social relations and giving symbolic meaning to human activity” (p. 3). As Crane and Bovone (2006) recommended in their research on fashion, material culture can be explored in the following five ways:

- By exploring material culture as text expressing ways of being within cultures.
- In considering how materiality and its meaning are conveyed through media.
- By asking how collective meaning is conveyed within cultures through material items.
- In considering how people negotiate their own meanings of materiality cognisant of “the symbolic values attributed to material culture by producers of material culture” (p. 319).
- By exploring, in different locations, “cross-national studies of symbolic values expressed in material goods and of the systems that produce them in order to reveal differences in the types of symbolic values” (p. 319).

Bringing together the positions of Crane and Bovone (2006) and Woodward (2007) means recognising that within vernacular experiences, people in general and researchers in particular have “increasingly acknowledge[d] the embeddedness of ‘things’” (Clarke, 2014, p. 17). Consequently, the symbolic meaning of an item reflects the relationship between it and people. That has been recognised within other material culture research including the work of Kidron (2012) and Woodward (2015).

Inherent within these realisations is the suggestion that the study of material culture may be best suited to qualitative inquiry. However, quantitative research methods can equally inform our understandings of material culture, such as through the measurement of feelings and emotions associated with a material item. Data gathered in that way may reflect a Likert-style research tool. Similarly, as noted in Lubar and Kingery’s (2013) research exploring antique coffee pots, the pots’ measured dimensions provided readers with a deeper insight into the pots’ physicality. Similarly, Tucker’s (2011) exploration of coffee culture during the French Revolution revealed that coffee (as a material item) and coffee houses (as venues of consumption) “provided the city’s sole social context in which different socioeconomic classes, and both men and women, interacted on an equal basis” (p. 56).
Material culture links materiality and its understanding to an appreciation of how people make sense of their world. That understanding links materiality to Berger and Luckmann’s (1966) social construction of reality. Reflecting that link, Hicks (2010) proposed that material culture bridges the gap between ‘things’ and being human. Within material culture theory that gap is filled by the concept of actancy. Actancy reflects the dynamism between ‘things’ and people (Giddens & Sutton, 2013). On that basis, material items have biographies reflecting their actancy and their imbued symbolic meanings (Appadurai, 1986; Kopytoff, 1986). However, as a theoretical construct, actancy can be traced back to Latour’s (1993) actor–network theory. In recognising how scallop farmers’ lives were impacted by the needs of the scallops they farmed, Latour (1993) recognised both biography and actancy.

It is within these considerations that this research recognises the importance of material culture theory. Kiwiana, as C. Bell (2012a) noted, is imbued with symbolic meaning, actualised in many aspects of Kiwi identity. Describing her home decoration with Crown Lynn ceramics, this participant in C. Bell’s (2012b) research illustrates this point:

I am re-creating an idealised New Zealand childhood. I wanted a 1950s place, and to fill it with 1950s things. When I go there it’s like acting out a fantasy about family holidays there, decades ago. It’s like I’ve bought myself a national back-story or autobiography in material form. Whatever happens in the future, it is my own little world, even though it is modelled on myths about another world, 1950s New Zealand. (p. 422)

Meaning and Myth

My foray into semiotics began with my reading of Yakin and Totu’s (2014) comparative study of Peirce and de Saussure. De Saussure’s emphasis on language promoted my deeper appreciation that semiotics mediated both language and signs. In turn, this reinforced my realisation of the connections between social constructionism, identity and materiality.

As Berger and Luckmann (1966) recognised, language as a sign/symbol is the tool most commonly shared and used by people to make sense of their world. Language is essential in forming the worldviews that, under academic analysis, knowledge explicates. Because English is a second language for all my research participants, I understood that de Saussure and Peirce’s positions were unsuitable. Nevertheless, de Saussure and Peirce’s research prompted my exploration of myth theory. The following section therefore

**Denotation and Connotation: Base Understandings**

While discussing denotation and connotation, Chandler (2017) observed that “it was not only meanings that were questionable, but that all interpretation was questionable” (p. 147). This opens up meaning to a wider interrogation, reflecting the essence of semiotic analysis: that the process of analysis is an ongoing yet socio-temporally located phenomenon. This point is key to my choice of mythscape (D. Bell, 2003) as a medium to explicate myth.

These terms, denotation and connotation, are often described “in terms of levels of representation, meaning or semiosis” (Chandler, 2017, p. 165). Denotation is the literal meaning of a word as found in a dictionary (Martin & Ringham, 2006). Connotation reflects the additional contextual meanings that sit alongside a word’s literal meaning. Connotation holds specific relevance to culture and meanings within that culture (Martin & Ringham, 2006). This reflects Voloshinov’s (1973) suggestion “that no division can be made between denotation and connotation because referential meaning is moulded by evaluation” (p. 105). Table 7, below, presents denotative and connotative perspectives from Barthes (1967, 1973), Chandler (2017), Silverman (1983) and Voloshinov (1973).

Table 7: Denotation and connotation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Denotation</th>
<th>Connotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Both comprise “levels of representation meaning or semiosis” (Chandler, 2017, p. 165).

“Connotation produces the illusion of denotation” (Barthes, 1967, p. 9).

“That no division can be made between denotation and connotation because referential meaning is moulded by evaluation” (Voloshinov, 1973, p. 105).

“For Barthes (1967), connotation involves the translation of the sign into other signs and denotation leads to a chain of connotations” (Chandler, 2017, p. 166).
**Socio-temporal variable** (Chandler, 2017, pp. 162, 165):
- “Informational function”.
- “Depiction”.
- “Broadly agreed by members of the same culture”.
- “Conventional”.

**Cultural/sub-cultural meanings** (Chandler, 2017, pp. 163-165):
- “Requires knowledge of social context”.
- “Tend to support cultural stereotypes”.
- “Looser, subtle ... ambiguous”.

Barthes (1981, 2000) situated myth within connotation more so than denotation, or “higher orders of signification” (Chandler, 2017, p. 172). For Barthes (1981, 2000), “myths are the dominant ideologies of our time” (Chandler, 2017, p. 173), emerging from the connotative aspects of meaning of the sign or object. Nevertheless, it is connotation and denotation that are important to my writing because my participant PhotoVoice images are read in multiple ways.

**Myth: An Introduction**

The Collins Concise Dictionary (1999) defined myths as stories “about superhuman beings of an earlier age, usually of how natural phenomena, social customs … came into existence, another word for mythology … a person or thing whose existence is fictional or unproven” (p. 976). However, what this definition does not convey is that writing on myth is, like Kiwi identity, has been dominated by maleness. The authors I explore in the following sections are men. Consequently, in my literature review and now in discussing myth, I acknowledge that bias in my research.

Cassirer (1972) encapsulated myths as forms of knowledge and philosophy reflecting an ontological and epistemological symbiosis:

Myth is non-theoretical in its very meaning and essence. It defies and challenges our fundamental categories of thought. Its logic – if there is any logic – is incommensurate with all our conceptions of empirical or scientific truth. But philosophy could never admit such a bifurcation. It was convinced that the creations of the myth-making function must have a philosophical, an understandable ‘meaning’. If myth hides this meaning under all sorts of images and symbols, it became the task of philosophy to unmask it. (p. 73)

Ruthven (1976) noted myth’s “obscurity … [and] protean form” (p. 1) and how ambiguity clouded a clear and concise definition. While that description alerts us to consider that the social customs and meanings of myths are points of cultural reference (Engels-
Schwarzpaul, 2001), they are nonetheless grounded in fiction. Righter (as cited in Sterenberg, 2013) proposed that myth “has become a kind of intellectual shorthand which has gained acceptance as standing for an elusive, almost unanalysable, amalgam of beliefs, attitudes and feelings” (p. 147). While myth’s meaning, relevance, socio-temporality and primordial associations reflect its contested nature, within Western worldview schemas, myths are important socio-cultural markers. This is especially valid in Aotearoa New Zealand. To illuminate this, consider the meaning and relevance of the Tane Mahuta3 myth for pre-European Māori and then for contemporary Pākehā. For early Māori, this myth was a potent reminder of te ao Māori and the interconnection of all things. For contemporary Pākehā, with worldviews tempered by scientific knowledge, this myth may reflect themes of eco-awareness and sustainability. For both groups, this myth is a metaphor reflecting how the dynamism of language and the reconfiguration of meaning combine, socio-temporally, to make it contemporarily relevant to each group.

Therefore, cognisant of its contemporary relevance, this section of my theoretical framework explores myth theory. I present a chronology of myth theory covering the work of Cassirer (1923/1955, 1925/1955, 1929/1957, 1944, 1946), Malinowski (1926/1954), Levi-Strauss (1981) Campbell (1988) and Barthes (1973), and my theorist of choice, D. Bell (2003). Myths may also be confused with other narrative forms including folktale, legend, fable and fairy-tale. Figure 2, below, distinguishes these forms.

---

3 Tane Mahuta: the soul of the forest; “the forest is Te Wao-tapu-nui a Tane (the vast sacred woods of Tane) (Best, 2016, para. 1).
Figure 2: Differentiating myth, legend, folktales, fables and fairytales.

*Note.* Adapted from Arlington Classics Academy (2017), Harper (2017) and Huber (2013).
A Chronology of Myth Theory

Cassirer’s (1923/1955, 1925/1955, 1929/1957) work integrated his interests in symbolic systems, logic, philosophy and language. From his perspective, myth was important because, as Engels-Schwarzpaul (2001) observed, these domains informed the world rather than reflected it. Cassirer realised myth within a transcendental philosophical framework (Renz, 2011) since myth could be best explored by considering its spiritual and intuitive elements rather than its material and empirical components. Prior to World War Two, Cassirer viewed myth positively, suggesting that it was a blend of cultural formations (Renz, 2011).

Post-war, Cassirer changed direction and viewed myth negatively. Cassirer realised that myth had been “abused by the actual techniques of politics” (Renz, 2011, p. 136) in the rise of fascism. Exemplifying this, in *The Myth of the State*, Cassirer (1946) likened myth to contemporary imaginary beasts that potentialised society’s fall into disorder. That fall, as Engels-Schwarzpaul (2001) noted, was realised by the Nazi movement’s emphasis on the reinterpretation of myths that were designed to enhance their own political agendas. Despite Cassirer’s changing views, his thinking bridged an important epistemological and ontological gap because he made real the importance of myth within culture by recognising that myth is integral to thinking and knowing.

Similarly, Malinowski (1926/1954) explored and extended myth theory. Myth, he asserted,

> Expresses, enhances, and codifies belief; it vouches for the efficiency of ritual and contains practical rules for the guidance of man. Myth is thus a vital ingredient of human civilisation … a pragmatic charter of primitive faith and moral wisdom. (p. 101)

Malinowski (1926/1954) encouraged researchers to look beyond the text of their observations for the subtleties of importance experienced by the participants themselves. In this way, he prompted researchers to take “cue[s] from the natives” (p. 104). Bascom (1983) proposed that Malinowski (1926/1954) explored the ways in which “myths, legends, and folktales, as well as magic, function[ed] as a psychological escape from the repressions imposed by society” (p. 171). For Malinowski (1926/1954), myth was important because he perceived that it bridged the “intimate connection [that] exists between the word, the mythos, the sacred tales of a tribe, on the one hand, and their ritual
acts, their moral deeds, their social organization, and even their practical activities on the other” (p. 78).

More recently, Levi-Strauss (1981) proposed that

myths teach us a great deal about the societies from which they originate, they help us lay bare their inner workings and clarify the raison d’être of belief, customs and institutions, the organisation of which was at first slightly incomprehensible; lastly and most importantly they [myths] make it possible to discover operational modes of the human mind, which have remained so consistent over the centuries, and are so widespread … that we assume them to be fundamental. (p. 639)

Levi-Strauss (1981) conceived myth as a potent story, synchronically grounded in structural linguistics and anthropology (Jary & Jary, 2000), particularly kinship (Klages, 2013). He was particularly interested in the similarities between myths around the world, while acknowledging they were located within opposing constructs. Levi-Strauss (1981) realised myth within de Saussurean concepts of langue and parole. He linked langue and parole to what he called “reversible time [and] non-reversible time” (Klages, 2013, para. 11). For Levi-Strauss langue, as language structure, existed in the “past, present or future” (para. 11). Parole, the actual speech act, can only exist in the here and now, the spoken moment (Klages, 2013). Consequently, for Levi-Strauss (1981), langue constituted myth’s timelessness, whereas parole constituted its history. Also important to Levi-Strauss (1981) was myth’s malleability. Myths cannot be “translated, paraphrased, reduced, expanded or otherwise manipulated without losing their basic shape or structure” (Klages, 2013, para. 12). Consequently, Levi-Strauss (1981) understood that myths provided a contemporary bridge linking being human to the contradictions inherent in humanity.

For Joseph Campbell, “myth is the artistic expression of psychological life” (Young, 2005, para. 5), a way to explore consciousness. He observed the connection between ancient stories/myths “and the emotional concerns of modern life” (Young, 2005, para. 12). Exemplifying this, Campbell (as cited in Chalquist, 2015) observed “the latest incarnation of Oedipus, the continued romance of Beauty and The Beast, stand[ing] this afternoon on the corner of 42nd Street and Fifth Avenue, waiting for the lights to change” (para. 5). In this way, Campbell (1988) popularised myth in its contemporary application and relevance to everyday life and everyday people. Campbell’s (as cited in Young, 2005)
theoretical perspectives on myth reflected Van Gennep’s\textsuperscript{4} triadic matrix of the rites of passage. Departure, transformation and return were important transformational steps within myth that Campbell recognised as seminal to myth’s impact and longevity. In his exploration of myth, Campbell revealed “why societies must have heroes in incarnate values upon which a nation or world order survives or dies” (as cited in Young, 2005, para. 8) and realised the ontological and epistemological importance of myth within the metaphysical, cosmological, sociological functionality of culture.

In *Mythologies*, Roland Barthes (1973) realised “contemporary capitalist culture as a commodity culture” (Danesi, 2018, p. 490). He commented that commodification generated the desire for “new books, new programmes, new films, new items, but always the same meaning” (Barthes, 1973, p. 24). Consequently, and with application to myth, Barthes (1973) drew attention to the potency of ‘new things’. Specifically, he promoted the potency of newness in the reconstruction of the past, contemporaneously positioned in the present (Barthes, 1973). As Woodward (2007) noted, Barthes (1973) was “important because he was the first to systematically consider the symbolic meaning of material culture within advanced consumer societies” (p. 68). Barthes (1973) suggested that myths should be questioned and he considered that many myths within contemporary French culture supported middle-class French values. Because of their potency, those values generated wider systems of value. Allen (2003) called these “sign[s] of universal value” (p. 36). Barthes (1973) perceived that universal values were passively accepted and therefore must be questioned. Exemplifying this, Barthes (1973) suggested that drinking French wine connoted being French and thus inferred a myriad of taken-for-granted ‘values’ within that French-ness. Consequently, Barthes aimed to “see through myths and the contemporary images supporting them” (Allen, 2003, p. 38). Barthes (1973) proposed that

myth is a system of communication, that is a message … a mode of signification … a type of speech … conveyed by a discourse. Myth is not defined by the object of its message, but by the way in which it utters this message (p. 117).

---

\textsuperscript{4}In discussing rites of passage Van Gennep (1960/2017) identified three stages of progression: segregation, where the initiate is “stripped of their identity” (para. 3); a “liminal state of transition” (para. 2), where the initiate is between their previous state of being and their next state of becoming; and “reintroduction” (para. 2), where the initiate is reintroduced back into the socio-culture renewed.
Barthes (1973) opened up the construct of myth by proposing that everything can be a myth if it contains a meaning or a message. To appreciate Barthes’ (1973) position on myth, it is important to understand how he viewed their theoretical construction. Allen (2003) encapsulated this understanding within the triad of sign, signifier and signified. As Allen (2003) noted in discussing a photo-image of the English Queen Mother’s funeral:

A newspaper picture of crowds waiting to see the coffin of the Queen Mother is a first-order sign: signifier = the photographic image of crowds, signified = the crowds that waited to see the Queen Mother lying in state, sign = press reportage of a topical event which we might gloss as ‘large crowds have queued for hours to see the Queen Mother lying in state’. Mythology raises the image to a second order level, however, turning that sign into a signifier for a new signified and thus a new sign: ‘the unified, British public or nation or the British people’s love of (acceptance of) the monarchy’. (p. 43)

Diagrammatically, Barthes’ (1973) construct of myth as second-order sign, as explained by Allen (2003), is presented in Table 8, below.

Table 8: Myth as second-order meaning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M Y T H</th>
<th>L a n g u a g e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. SIGNIFIER: 1st order sign = photo-image of crowds</td>
<td>ii. SIGNIFIED: 1st order = crowds waiting to see the Queen’s body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. SIGN: Death of the Queen Mother</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The signifier (i, below) as first order sign is turned into a new sign that holds its own signification (above).

Allen’s (2003) observations not only exemplify myth within a photo-image but also reveal Barthes’ (1973) realisation that myth represents a second-level sociological system. As Barthes (1973) observed,
The signifier in such a system is already a complete sign that already contains a signifier and a signified. The signified is ‘added on top’ of that existing structure, so we get a sign of double complexity which is a myth. (p. 114)

This ‘layering’ makes our understanding and deciphering of myth meaning a complex activity. As Barthes (1973) clarified, “myth distorts the meaning of the original sign: it is no longer what it was ... but something else ... myth clearly sends a message, and this is intentional” (pp. 122, 125). For Barthes, “myths are the dominant ideologies of our time” (Chandler, 2017, p. 173). This aligns Barthes’ concepts of myth to the wider domain of an individual’s intersectional being, because the myth’s message is bound within wider socio-temporal and cultural understandings.

Mythscape (D. Bell, 2003) adds to Appadurai’s (1986) previously established ‘scape’ constructs. Mythscape blends the fictive realms of kiwiana, myth and Kiwi identity in a continuum of history, time, place and space. In these ways, D. Bell’s (2003) mythscape “temporally and spatially extend[s the] discursive realm” (p. 64) within a top-down model. That top-down model, according to the view I take in this research, reinforces an existing Pākehā hegemony. According to Mayer (2011), D. Bell’s spatiality reflected “collapse[d] time by connecting the distant past to the present through a common space” (p. 51). That connection, in my work, is actualised by the conflation of settler characteristics hewn within a bucolic settler paradise yet actualised within contemporary being. That conflation occurs over time. It adds to the temporal nature of D. Bell’s mythscape.

Yet, because D. Bell (2003) opens up myth with the use of the suffix ‘-scape’ and positions myth as mutable, this mutability is reflected in a dynamic mythscape. Explaining this, D. Bell noted that

historical representation is built in to the formation and constant re-negotiation of identity, for this never-ending process requires the location and embedding of the self or group within a matrix of other fluid identities, all of which are likewise partially framed by and constituted through temporally extended representations of themselves in relation to others. (p. 70)

Additionally, D. Bell (2003) realised that memory within individual and collective identities formed an important mythscape component:

This approach understands memory to be a socially-framed property of individual minds, and (following from this) collective memory – or what is more accurately referred to as collective remembrance – to be the product of individuals (or groups of
individuals) coming together to share memories of particular events, of time past. As such, memory can be externalized only through multiple acts of remembrance, through social interaction. (p. x)

As Mayer (2011) commented, “[D.] Bell seeks to draw distinctions between memory and myth – he asserts that memory is only experiential, and that it enters into the communal conception of the past through mythologizing in a space known as the mythscape” (p. 12). Consequently, D. Bell’s (2003) suggestion is that myth be understood as a story that simplifies, dramatizes and selectively narrates the story of a nation’s past and its place in the world, its historical eschatology: a story that elucidates its contemporary meaning through (re)constructing its past. Furthermore, myths … subsume all of the various events, personalities, traditions, artefacts and social practices that (self) define the nation and its relation to the past, present, and future. Myths are constructed, they are shaped, whether by deliberate manipulation and intentional action, or perhaps through the particular resonance of works of literature and art. (p. 75)

Additionally, D. Bell (2003) proposed two types of myth: governing and – borrowing from the language of Spivak (1994) – subaltern myth. Governing myths reinforce and represent dominating narratives whereas subaltern myths challenge dominating myth narratives within alternate myths (D. Bell, 2003). Subaltern myths, according to D. Bell, are “capable of generating their own traditions and stories” (p. 74). In this way, and cognisant of the link between myth and identity, D. Bell’s mythscape potentialises myth as a “site of struggle” (Cohen, 2012, p. 141).

Finally, D. Bell (2003) positioned myth spatially, commenting that
time and place combine and are encoded in nationalist representational strategies, shaping the feelings of community and the construction of an inside/outside distinction, framing national identity in terms of a story about history and (a specific, often imagined) location. … [The mythscape] is grounded in institutions and shaped by ever-present and evolving power-relations. (p. 76)

It has been the combination of these factors that has influenced my choice of D. Bell’s (2003) mythscape as a dynamic model that I carry forward into my conceptual framework.

The Rhizome: An Overview

For me, Deleuze and Guattari’s (1987) rhizome synthesises my theoretical positions by providing a second refracting lens for my conceptual framework. In that way, the rhizome creates a philosophical praxis within wider constructs of intersectionality that, combined,
form the base of my conceptual framework. The vibrant nature of the rhizome reflects my own understanding of how knowledge is generated and understood. Additionally, this vibrancy is a key component in the dynamism of Tajfel and Turner (1979) and Stryker’s (1980) theories of identity, and, the multiplicity and power dynamics within Crenshaw’s (1991) intersectionality. These positions also highlight the importance of language in the social construction of reality (Berger & Luckmann, 1966) which, in turn sits within symbolic interactionism (Mead, 1934).

Deleuze and Guattari (1987) proposed the rhizome as a cooperative of dynamic yet interconnected multiplicities facilitating a reconceptualisation of how it is possible to perceive and understand a topic, object or thing. The rhizome’s potency reflects its ability to realise interconnections between people and things. In this way, I perceive the rhizome to be a precursor of intersectionality. The rhizome also encourages actancy in multiple directions as a vector for change. These strengths and associations enhance the rigour and thoroughness of my research.

Deleuze and Guattari’s (1987) rhizome has six key characteristics. These include cartography and decalcomania\(^5\), multiplicity, connection and heterogeneity and the principle of the asignifying rupture. Table 9, below, presents these constructs and their relevance to my research.

Table 9: Six characteristics of the rhizome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rhizome Construct</th>
<th>Research Relevance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cartography &amp; decalcomania</td>
<td>The rhizome is a map with multiple entry points. This is reflected in my research emphasis on intersectionality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>The interaction between people and things creates a synergistic multiplicity of possibility. Multiple realities and experiences contribute to my research data, findings, interpretation and their understanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection &amp; heterogeneity</td>
<td>A rhizome can connect at any two points. These points need not be hierarchical. Literally, this opens up thinking laterally, a concept suiting the qualitative nature of my research.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^5\) A function of the rhizome reflecting its ability to adapt.
As signifying rupture

A rhizome may split or shatter at any point. However, parts of that shattering/split start up again on new lines. As Lacey (2013) noted, “an a-signifying rupture occurs when the signs that inform a space momentarily disappear. Within this space there is a vacuum of perception, which subjectivities instantaneously fill. As such, an a-signifying rupture can be defined as an absence of signifiers from which subjectivities emerge” (p. 76).

Note. Adapted from Deleuze and Guattari (1987).

However, underpinning these characteristics are themes of assemblage, lines of flight, deterritorialisation and reterritorialisation (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987). I incorporate these themes within my conceptual framework. I view the rhizome as a laterally spreading tool of change and communication. Specifically, I operationalise the rhizome in ways that are noted in Table 10, below.

Table 10: Applying the rhizome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Meaning and Research Relevance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assemblage/multiplicity</td>
<td>Assemblages are the connections between things (multiplicities). Assemblage is territorial. Multiplicity invalidates a defined centre or hierarchy, which generates lateral/alternate thinking. This reflects the nomadic nature of the rhizome inasmuch as nomads “exist only in becoming and in interaction” (Deleuze &amp; Guattari, 1987, p. 430). As Deleuze and Guattari (1987) noted, “there are no points or positions in a rhizome, such as those found in a structure, tree or root” (p. 8). “Assemblages are passional, they are compositions of desire” (p. 399). Muller and Schurr (2016) parallel assemblage with actor-network theory (Latour, 2005).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lines of flight</td>
<td>Lines of flight reflect transformational change and its new ways of thinking about a topic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tillmanns, Holland, Lorenzi and McDonagh (2014) noted that “lines of flight are acts of deterritorialisation” (p. 9).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deterritorialisation</td>
<td>Deleuze and Guattari (1987) proposed that deterritorialisation was “the cutting edge of an assemblage” (p. 88), which produced change through lines of flight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reterritorialisation</td>
<td>For Deleuze and Guattari (1987) deterritorialisation circumvented binary thinking by opening it up. Reterritorialisation incorporated the restructuring, new thinking and power dynamics that occurred after deterritorialisation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Adapted from Deleuze and Guattari (1987), Latour (2005), and Muller and Schurr (2016).
Conceptual Framework

Having established my theoretical framework in the preceding sections, this section presents my conceptual framework. My conceptual framework recognises the best suited parts of my theoretical framework that are most useful to my work. Consequently, my research answers the question I posed for myself in my theoretical framework section by realising the symbiotic nature of knowledge. In that way, within domains of epistemology and ontology, my research blends the ‘existence of things with knowledge’ through my participants’ understandings of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Thus, my participants reveal their insights into Laverty’s (2003) question: “What is the nature of the relationship between the knower and what can be known?” (p. 26).

Ontology and Epistemology

To realise, in practical ways, the symbiosis of knowing and understanding knowledge, I have come to appreciate that my own worldview, and that of others, are embodied and enacted within two applied constructs: the social construction of reality (Berger & Luckmann, 1966) and symbolic interactionism (Blumer, 1969). I draw a parallel between these two perspectives because I propose that, in combination, and via interaction, they facilitate a worldview. For me, symbolic interactionism and the social construction of reality ‘fit’ and produce an appreciative understanding of how those involved in this research see the world.

Before discussing these domains, however, I begin by presenting Figure 3, below a diagrammatic representation of conceptual framework that will guide the following sections.

---

6 How can we know about the existence of things without considering knowledge, and how can we consider knowledge without existence?
Figure 3: Contextual framework.
Symbolic Interactionism

As a way to refine and understand symbolic interactionism my research incorporates its three central tenets:

(1) Human beings act toward things on the basis of the meanings that the things have for them. (2) The meaning of things is derived from, or arises out of, the social interaction that one has with others. (3) Meanings are handled in, and modified through, an interpretive process used by a person in dealing with the things he or she encounters (Carter & Fuller, 2016, p. 934).

Specifically, symbolic interactionism and these three themes are useful to my research because they expand my understanding and appreciation of how my participant groups come, as individuals within groups, to perceive and negotiate being Kiwi and its materiality, kiwiana. Also, as an applied theory within my conceptual framework, symbolic interactionism promotes an interactive and iterative process mirroring what my participants encounter in their everyday lives. In these ways, symbolic interactionism is the process best reflecting my participants’ specific negotiation of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Symbolic interactionism also ties in with my chosen theoretical positions on identity. That parallel incorporates the synergy generated between theories and the ways in which each theory is complementary and, simultaneously, dynamic. Consequently, symbolic interactionism is integral to my thesis. Symbolic interactionism reflects the experiences of my participants and myself through our shared interactions, materiality and its imbued meanings. In those ways symbolic interactionism is evidenced not only within the symbols chosen by my participants but also within how those meanings were conveyed to the researcher via humankinds most shared symbol, language.

Social Construction of Reality

My conceptual framework aligns symbolic interactionism and the social construction of reality as the lens through which I, and my participant groups, perceive the world around us, and therefore also how we perceive the research topic. In combination, these theories exemplify my position that ontology and epistemology are in symbiosis. Therefore, the social construction of reality is a primary theory within my conceptual framework. I understand that two key themes in social constructionism are particularly important to my research: language and identity.
As Burr (2003) noted, “our identity is constructed out of the discourses culturally available to us, and which we draw upon in our communications with other people” (p. 107). Consequently, I understand that it is within interaction, language and identity that my participants’ and I come to know and make sense of our world and our experiences in it. That makes the social construction of reality of primary importance within my theoretical framework.

**Base Concepts 1 & 2: Social Identity Theory, Identity Theory and Intersectionality**

From my base concepts deriving from identity theories, my selection of the components that best suit my work has been mediated by my consideration of how identity is woven into wider themes. Specifically, I link identity theory and identity’s lived experience within concepts of social constructionism (Berger & Luckmann, 1966) and symbolic interactionism (Mead, 1934). These considerations have generated my realisation that the fit of identity theory in my work is best actualised through the lens of Crenshaw’s (1991) intersectionality. Additionally, later on in my research process I fine-tune my identity concepts rhizomatically (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987). However, in the interim, from the theories of Tajfel and Turner (1979), Stryker (1980) and Crenshaw (1991), I draw out the constructs best suiting my research and present them in Table 11, below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Table 11: Conceptualisations of identity theory and intersectionality.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base Theory</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Tajfel and Turner (1979) Social Identity Theory | ● The multiple self; group alignment; group dynamics.  
● In-groups/out-groups, changing groups.  
● Identity is a socially constructed. |
● Salience integrates social identity theories emphasis on dynamic identity.  
● Recognises group belonging. |
● Complexities within identity via composite parts of being.  
● Recognises individual and group identity. |

*Note. Adapted from Crenshaw (1991), Tajfel and Turner 1979, and Stryker (1980).*

In my research process, I filter the conceptual framework themes through Crenshaw’s (1991) construct of intersectionality. Intersectionality, in my research, opens up my participants’ identities in ways that reflect their individuality. Consequently, Crenshaw’s (1991) lens enhances my understanding of how my participants perceive and come to understand Kiwi identity and kiwiana.
Conceptualisations of Materiality

For my conceptual framework I draw upon Smart Smith’s (1993, as cited in Taylor 2002, p. 72) observation that material objects matter because they are complex, symbolic bundles of social, cultural and individual meanings fused into something that we can touch, see and own. That very quality is the reason that social values can so quickly penetrate into and evaporate out of common objects.

Into that understanding I integrate Hicks’ (2010) suggestion that material items are important because they bridge the realms of the material, social and cultural expressions of being within their actancy and imbued meaning. However, materiality, like identity, is a contested domain because materiality, within its actancy and imbued meaning, is linked to constructs of power, hierarchy, value and knowledge.

Exemplifying this, in Aotearoa New Zealand, C. Bell (2012b) asserted that items of Māori materiality, Māoriana, were subcategories of kiwiana. Here, C. Bell (2012b) unwittingly reinforced Pākehā primacy and implied Pākehā material superiority. While reinforcing existing identity power structures, C. Bell (2012a, 2012b) also subtly implied that contested material culture may potentialise a “site of struggle” (Cohen, 2012, p. 141).

Myth and Mythscapes

Through my exploration of myth theory, I have concluded that D. Bell’s (2003) concept of mythscape is best suited to my conceptual framework. Mythscapes are important to my research because it links history and individual and collective memory to identity and materiality. These domains are integral to my mythscape.

As noted above in relation to contested material culture, myth too remains a “site of struggle” (Cohen, 2012, p. 141), and these domains also dynamically position mythscape in my research. Dynamism suits my understandings of existing Kiwi myths and promotes my exploration of new Kiwi myths by engaging with D. Bell’s (2003) subaltern construct. Mythscapes align to my participants because, as migrants, they are engaged in struggle. That struggle is evidenced as they negotiate identity and materiality in their Kiwi experiences, and as their realisations of myth may challenge existing myths. In doing so, mythscape potentialises the recognition of wider social change within myth narratives in Aotearoa New Zealand.
D. Bell (2003) remarked that “representation and recognition – of us and them – act as the mutually supporting scaffolds upon which national identity is constructed” (p. 67). Combined, the attributes of mythscape are valuable to my exploration and research of Kiwi identity and kiwiana because many of the associated myths are founded within the history and constructs of identity. Like knowledge, social identity and identity theories and Deleuze and Guattari’s (1987) rhizome, mythscape is dynamically charged.

That charge also includes the importance of materiality, and its role in socio-temporal mythscapes. Exemplifying this is the artwork on the Air New Zealand cabin crew waistcoat. The waistcoat shows indigenous flora and fauna, as well as many items of kiwiana and Māoriana (see Figure 4). As materiality, the images on the uniform support what D. Bell (2003) termed governing myths. Consequently, mythscape contributes a dynamic perspective to my theoretical amalgam by offering a unique view of Kiwi myth. That perspective reflects the relationship, in my research, between Kiwi identity, my participants, kiwiana and myself. These relationships align to Woodward’s (2007) suggestion that materiality not only reflects an ideology, but also the time, place and space of its audience. The iconography on the latest Air New Zealand cabin crew uniform reinforces this very point.

**Concluding my Conceptual Framework**

Compiling my theoretical and conceptual frameworks has been a labour of love. I liken my work in this chapter to constructing a recipe: both contain many ingredients. I believe that my recipe is complete. My journey in developing my conceptual framework has been guided by two overarching constructs, the social construction of reality (Berger & Luckmann, 1966) and symbolic interactionism (Blumer, 1969). I found that these theories, in the context of my research, were complementary to each other and also to the other key theories that I had selected, including social identity theory and identity theory. My realisation of their theoretical commonality was achieved when I came to understand that these theories embraced change: they were dynamic and had practical application.
This eased my progression to a consideration of other congruent theories, or ‘ingredients’, specifically intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1991), the rhizome (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987) and D. Bell’s (2003) mythscape. These theories, refined within my conceptual framework, serve to focus the lens of my research in meaningful and dynamic ways. My theoretical choices not only reflect my own knowledge about theory, and its refinement in its operationalisation, but also how theoretical choices must reflect participant realities and experience.
Chapter 3: Background and Context

Precis: Chapter Overview

This chapter includes important background and contextual information for readers and complements some of the following chapters of this thesis. Sections in this chapter include an exploration of Māori and Pākehā identities; the kiwi bird and its importance to Māori and Pākehā; kiwi and the Treaty of Waitangi; and Kiwi as an identifier in contemporary print media. My emphasis on presenting kiwi/Kiwi within these domains is to acknowledge the diverse ways the word is used and how, through that use, people choose to adopt Kiwi as their identifier of choice (see Chapter 4: Literature Review).

I also present a section examining worldviews of my research participant groups. This section is important because it explores how ontologies and epistemologies are operationalised within cultures and communities. It also provides a base from which later discussion can develop, tracing how my research participants change and adapt to new environments and identities as recently arrived migrants to Aotearoa New Zealand (see Chapter 3: Migration to Aotearoa New Zealand).

Introduction to Identities in Aotearoa New Zealand

Despite waves of migration to New Zealand, two identities dominate the nation: Māori and Pākehā (Statistics New Zealand, 2013). Māori are Aotearoa New Zealand’s indigenous first people, tangata whenua. The formal relationship between Pākehā and Māori began in 1840 when the Crown on behalf of settler colonists signed the Treaty of Waitangi (Orange, 1989). The Treaty provided an initial understanding between its Māori signatories and the Pākehā Crown. It is widely accepted that, since its signing, the Treaty

---

7 Māori: “2: native, indigenous, fresh (of water), belonging to Aotearoa/New Zealand, freely, without restraint, without ceremony, clear, intelligible” (Māori Dictionary, 2018).
9 Tangata whenua: “3. Local people, hosts, indigenous people – people born of the whenua, i.e. of the placenta and of the land where the people’s ancestors have lived and where their placenta are buried” (Māori Dictionary, 2018).
of Waitangi has been used in ways that have marginalised Māori (A. Bell, 2004a, 2004b, 2010; Chalmers, 2014; Durie, 1995; Hawaikirangi-Pere, 2013).

Māori Identity

As Durie (1995) explained,

Far from being homogenous, Māori individuals have a variety of cultural characteristics and live in a number of cultural and socio-economic realities. The relevance of so-called traditional values is not the same for all Māori, nor can it be assumed that all Māori will wish to define their ethnic identity according to classical constructs. (p. 15)

In 2013, Māori made up 14.9% of the country’s population. People identifying as Māori totalled 598,605, while 668,782 people claimed some Māori descent (Statistics New Zealand, 2013). As Durie (1995) indicated and Hawaikirangi-Pere (2013) further remarked, “pinpointing an exact definition of Māori identity is complex” (p. 11). This complexity reflects the dynamic nature and diversity of postcolonial identities. Additionally, terminology has confused Māori and Pākehā identities because Māori and Pākehā are perceived in binary terms. While binaries can be helpful, they can also highlight difference and promote duality rather than plurality. As King (2003) explained, “the Māori text of the Treaty of Waitangi used the expression ‘tangata Māori’ – ordinary people – to denote them” (p. 168).

In the English text and subsequent, overwhelmingly Anglo-centric interpretations of the Treaty, Māori were taken to have willingly compromised their judicial, social, economic and cultural sovereignty (Orange, 1989). These compromises were challenged in the 1970s when Pākehā saw the beginnings of a Māori renaissance (King, 2003).

Māori identity incorporates cosmology, marae protocol and spirituality (Rata, 2012). The inclusive ‘we’ promotes Māori collectivism (Podsiadlowski & Fox, 2011). Collectivity is characterised by whanaungatanga tuakana/teina relationships, kaitiakitanga, or belonging (Rangatahi Tu Rangatira, 2016). In configuring te ao Māori (the Māori worldview), Dobbs and Eruera (2014) established six important constructs reflecting Māori identity values: “whakapapa [kinship/collectivity], tikanga [enacting Māori values and beliefs], wairua [a spirit of passion], tapu [self-knowing/esteem], mauri [inner values, power influence, identity] and mana [outer values, achievement, power, influence]” (p. 9). Further, Dobbs and Eruera (2014) noted that these constructs were mediated by “te ao hurihuri (contemporary influences ... the most significant from these is colonisation) [and]
... transformative elements (the ability to apply te ao Māori constructs [within] the environmental and contextual influences of society today)” (p. 9). Time in te ao Māori is cyclic (Ka’ai & Higgins, 2004; Taituha, 2014). Time’s cyclic nature encompasses everything.

Consequently, everyone is linked to everything through atua, the environment and whakapapa. The constructs listed by Dobbs and Eruera (2014) form the ontological and epistemological base of Māori knowledge and worldview. For Māori, these constructs impact identity within a time continuum.

Māori identity typifies the complexities inherent to all identities (Penetito, 2011). Penetito (2011) explained that constructs of “whakapapa, Māoritanga [Māori identity], iwitanga [iwi/tribal identity], hapūtanga [subtribe identity], and whanaungatanga [family identity]” (p. 46) were essential to Māori identity. Rata (2012) described how “whānau [extended family and], marae [meeting houses maintained by whānau]” (p. 2) are important constructs within Māori identity. Additionally, Rata (2012) suggested that the association between whakapapa and mana constituted the single most important combination within Māori identity. Mana, as a divine power, is passed down from the atua. Walker (1990), however, suggested that mana is inherited at birth.

In distinguishing three Māori identity types, McIntosh (2005) exposed the complexities inherent in researching identity. More recently, Hawaikirangi-Pere (2013) engaged similar rhetoric:

The term ‘unconnected’ has been used as a description placed upon Māori who portray a seeming lack of Māoriness, or those that claim to be Māori with low ‘cultural capital’. This places these Māori as ‘less’ Māori than those who speak Te Reo Māori [Māori language] fluently. The term ‘marginalised’ has also been used to describe Māori who are not able to relate to Māori or Pākehā effectively. (p. 13)

Māori identity is complex. To understand any of the basic elements within Māori identity, it is necessary to disengage a Pākehā worldview and then engage an understanding of what it means to be Māori from a Māori point of view. While Māori are often described

---

10 “Ancestor with continuing influence, god, demon, supernatural being, deity, ghost, object of superstitious regard, strange being – although often translated as “god” and now also used for the Christian God, this is a misconception of the real meaning. Many Māori trace their ancestry from atua in their whakapapa and they are regarded as ancestors with influence over particular domains. These atua also were a way of rationalising and perceiving the world. Normally invisible, atua may have visible representations” (Māori Dictionary, 2018).
as a collectivist culture incorporating spiritual elements and mana, these views do not accurately represent the sense of identity for all Māori. While certain characteristics are attributed to certain identities, believing that all Māori share the attributes noted in my research would be to misunderstand Māori identity.

**Pākehā Identity**

Colonist settlers who came to Aotearoa New Zealand were collectively named Pākehā by Māori (C. Bell, 2004). As Orsman (1997) noted, Pākehā “had been adopted in widely separated New Zealand localities, and [Pākehā] was a generic term for all whites” (p. 567). Orange (1989) noted that Pākehā was used in the Māori version of the Treaty of Waitangi. Mikaere (2004) observed that “Pākehā are the products of an invading culture” (p. 38).

However, the origin and meaning of the term Pākehā are contested. The 1966 *Encyclopedia of New Zealand* suggested that Pākehā derived from “pakepakeha: imaginary beings resembling men; (or from) pakehakeha: one of the sea gods noted had both fair skin and hair; [or] from keha: a flea; [or] from poaka: a pig” (McLintock, 1966a, para. 2-5). Nahe (1894) and Ranford (2012) concur that Pākehā is a reference to pakepakehā. For Māori, the new arrivals were “the apparition gods of the deep sea” (Nahe, 1894, p. 236). Ranford (2012) argued that when Māori first saw Captain Cook and his sailors, they referred to them as tipua or tupua: a terrifying supernatural goblin-like creature which, to them, may have resembled the imaginary pakepakehā. Johnson (2005), on the other hand, proposed that Pākehā is “an early transliteration of ‘bugger’, or ‘bugger you’ from the vernacular language of sailors” (p. 147-148).

Spoonley (1988) observed that Pākehā are “New Zealanders of a European background whose cultural values and behaviour have been primarily formed from the experiences of being a member of the dominant group of New Zealand” (pp. 63-64). C. Bell (2004) noted that “Pākehā generally refers to locally born white New Zealanders, the term evolving from its earliest meaning of non-Māori” (p. 180). A. Bell (2004b) expanded on this by suggesting that “in temporal terms, indigenous peoples [in this case Māori] are simply ‘first peoples’. Settlers [Pākehā] can never be better than ‘second’” (p. 30). Yet, Spoonley (2017) noted, those settlers have become the dominant group.
Adding complexity and possible confusion to the Pākehā identifier is the fact that some descendants of colonist settlers deny the term’s use and applicability to them (Spoonley, 1988). Spoonley (1995) suggested that Pākehā who are uncomfortable with the term tended to favour “the inadequate label of European” (p. 57). Lack of exact or agreed-upon meaning coupled with the uneven adoption of the term may help to explain why Pākehā materiality is not called Pākehāna, but rather the more generic kiwiana (see Chapter 4: Literature Review). Extending the complexities of identifying as Pākehā are Michael King’s postcolonial revisions of the term, which I examine in detail in the next section.

**Postcolonial Pākehā Identity**

Historian Michael King (1985, 1991, 1999) re-positioned Pākehā identity. His research, writing and understanding of being Pākehā has moved and smoothed its ‘political baggage’. Movement reflects his revision of what being Pākehā means; smoothing denotes his bypassing of Pākehā’s role in New Zealand’s colonial and contemporary histories. Consequently, King’s work has promoted a postcolonial revision of what it means to be Pākehā. He emphasised that Pākehā differentiated Aotearoa New Zealand’s mainstream culture: “[Pākehā] is an indigenous expression; and because the words “European” or “Caucasian” are no longer accurate or appropriate” (King & Locke, n.d., para. 14). King’s research and own experience outside of New Zealand consolidated his belief that he belonged here. He formalised that in *Being Pākehā Now*: Two decades on, with the Māori renaissance and Waitangi Tribunal process in full flow, that need [the right for Māori to be Māori in their own country] has been met. New Zealand is for the first time making a conscious effort to accommodate Māori grievances and aspirations. What I am conscious of now is a rather different but equally pressing need. It is to explain Pākehā New Zealanders to Māori and to themselves; and to do this in terms of their right to live in this country, practise their values and culture and be themselves. (King, 1999, p. 9)

King (1999) declared that he and other Pākehā were indigenous. He enhanced this by suggesting that Pākehā materiality such as the wooden church and the macrocarpa tree supported Pākehā indigeneity. King (1999) also suggested that people living in New Zealand by choice, who were committed to the land and its people, were equally as indigenous as Māori. Justifying that, King (1999) suggested that all New Zealanders were

---

immigrants to the country. He urged that Pākehā could no longer be considered an immigrant people because they had resided in Aotearoa New Zealand since the early 1800s.

Yet, King’s (2001) rhetoric placed Pākehā within Māori collectivism. Pākehā moved “Pākehā brothers and sisters towards a similar degree of confidence and security in their own identification with this land as Māori have” (King, 2001, p. 20). King (2001) proposed that the ethnic blending of Māori and Pākehā had, since colonisation, created a situation whereby the “sins of the fathers” argument could not be contemporarily justified (p. 113). However, King (2001) ensured that Pākehā’s indigenenity was not at a cost to Māori. King (2001) evoked collective concepts of tuakana and teina. He asserted that tuakana symbolically positioned Māori as an older sibling to Pākehā. Teina reflected the status of Pākehā as the younger brother or sister.

Similarly, Gray et al. (2013) aligned being Pākehā to being Māori. Their findings revealed that their research participants felt that being identified as Pākehā was a gift from Māori. It is therefore not surprising that Gray et al. (2013) posit that Pākehā have adopted Māori materiality.

For some Pākehā, the term ‘Pākehā’ constituted “an accessible means for non-Māori New Zealanders to set themselves apart from people in other countries” (Gray et al. 2013, p. 89) rather than an appropriative act. In this way, Pākehā as an identifier is simultaneously dynamic yet aligned to Māori. This binary evokes and, for some people, provokes the other.

**The Kiwi**

**Defining the Kiwi Bird**

Kiwi are considered avian ratites. Ratites have flat breastbones, which make flight impossible (Merriam-Webster Dictionary, 2016). Aotearoa New Zealand’s kiwi species are noted in Table 12. As a unique indigenous avian, the kiwi has been around long enough to have survived Aotearoa New Zealand’s split from Gondwana, the arrival of the first Māori, the extinction of moa, and, latterly, Pākehā arrival and colonisation (King, 2003).
Table 12: *Species of kiwi in English and Māori.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species of Kiwi</th>
<th>Māori Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Great Spotted Kiwi</td>
<td>Roa or Roroa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Spotted Kiwi</td>
<td>Kiwi pukupuku</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Island Brown Kiwi</td>
<td>Kiwi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okarito Brown Kiwi</td>
<td>Rowi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Brown Kiwi</td>
<td>Tokoeka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Bathan’s Kiwi</td>
<td>(extinct)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Department of Conservation (2016d).

Kiwi is a Māori word by origin, defined as: “1. (noun) northern brown kiwi, North Island brown kiwi, kiwi feather, *Apteryx mantelli* and tokoeka, *Apteryx australis* - flightless, nocturnal endemic birds with hair-like feathers and a long bill with sensitive nostrils at the tip” (Māori Dictionary, 2018). As an avian identifier, the kiwi is unique. Its name is commonly believed to reflect the kiwis call; however, Phillips (2015a) disagreed:

> The male does claim its territory with a half-whistle, half-scream, usually at dusk, and females answer with a hoarse(er) tone. But the sound is not *kee- wee*. In some species it is a single rising note repeated up to 10 times. If it was named for its call, then *kree* would be a more accurate representation. The bird is probably named for its similarity to the Polynesian kivi, a migratory curlew that also has a long beak. (side panel, para. 1)

Postcolonial land clearing has negatively influenced the kiwi’s habitat. Kiwi are now an endangered species (Department of Conservation, 2016d). Reflecting that endangerment are low breeding numbers, a limited gene pool and predation (Department of Conservation, 2016d).

Unlike other indigenous birds, kiwi have not been renamed with an English language identifier but have instead retained their original Māori name. While changing names can be said to reflect the dynamic evolution of language, when applied to indigenous birds, like the kiwi, I propose that renaming constitutes an act of appropriation. In Aotearoa New Zealand, as Table 13 reveals, such appropriation has been widespread. Table 13 identifies 24 indigenous bird species. Only the kiwi has not been given an English name. Exemplifying the changes in name, birds such as the tītī and ruru are more likely to be referred respectively as the sooty shearwater and morepork.
Table 13: Birds of Aotearoa New Zealand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Māori name</th>
<th>Pākehā name</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hihi</td>
<td>Stitchbird</td>
<td>Hihi references the bird’s yellow sunray breast feathers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hōkioi, Te Pouākai</td>
<td>Haast’s eagle</td>
<td>Extinct. Known via oral history. A bird of bad omen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kāhu</td>
<td>Harrier hawk</td>
<td>Kāhu are considered by some tribes to be a guardian bird.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kakariki</td>
<td>Parakeet</td>
<td>Small parrot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kea</td>
<td>Mountain parrot</td>
<td>A unique alpine parrot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kererū/Kūkū/Kūkupa Parea</td>
<td>Wood pigeon</td>
<td>Two other pigeons on Raoul Island and Norfolk Island are now extinct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiwi</td>
<td>Kiwi</td>
<td>Ratite avian.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuruwhengu</td>
<td>Shoveler duck</td>
<td>Shovelling reflects the duck’s ‘bottom up’ feeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pāpango, Matapōuri</td>
<td>Scaup</td>
<td>Bird has dark feathers and face.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pīhoihoi</td>
<td>Pipit (whistler)</td>
<td>Incorrectly known as the ground lark and native lark.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitoitoi/Karuwai</td>
<td>Robin</td>
<td>Pitoitoi references the bird’s call. Pitoitoi is believed to bear good or bad news depending on place and time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pīwakawaka</td>
<td>Fantail</td>
<td>“The fantail or pīwakawaka (Rhipidura fuliginosa) is 16 centimetres long, including its 8-centimetre tail. It weighs 8 grams” (Wild about New Zealand, 2016, para. 1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruru</td>
<td>Morepork</td>
<td>Ruru are considered by some tribes to be a guardian bird.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takahē</td>
<td>Hermit bird</td>
<td>Believed extinct until 1948.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Kura</td>
<td>Moa</td>
<td>“An old Māori chief, Urupeni Puhara, was recorded as saying: “The moa was not the name by which the great bird that lived in this country was known to my ancestors. The name was te kura or the red bird; and it was only known as moa after Pākehā said so” (New Zealand Birds, 2005, para 5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tētē whero</td>
<td>Brown teal</td>
<td>Name references plumage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tieke, Tiekerere</td>
<td>Saddleback</td>
<td>Name references bird’s call.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tītī</td>
<td>Muttonbird/Sooty shearwater</td>
<td>Stewart Island Māori are permitted to catch tītī annually from 1st April to the 31st May.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titi pounamu</td>
<td>Rifleman</td>
<td>Bright green feathers are similar to the colour of pounamu.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tūī</td>
<td>Parsonbird</td>
<td>“Early Europeans called the tui the ‘parson bird’ because the two white tufts of feathers at the tui’s throat reminded them of a vicar’s collar” (Wild about New Zealand, 2016, para. 2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whio</td>
<td>Blue duck</td>
<td>Named after its call, a whio (whistle).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The kiwi’s popularity is supported by the prolific use of the term especially in print media (see the section “Kiwi in the Media”, below).

**Māori Myth, Materiality and the Kiwi Bird**

Myths provide a way to understand Māori cultural identity. Campbell (2017) suggested that myths are important to us because they promote our understanding of the universe and help validate and maintain our ways of being. Myths provide a security of knowledge (Campbell, 2017) that becomes important in times of insecurity. In that way, myths provide reassurance. Campbell (1988) noted that myths “are metaphorical of spiritual potentiality in the human being, and the same powers that animate our life animate the life of the world” (p. 22). Campbell’s (1988, 2017) views are supported by Māori myths.

Exemplifying this is Tāne Mahuta (the god of the forest) and the myth about the kiwi bird which tells us much about its importance to Māori. Additionally, and relevant to today’s growing environmental concerns, the Tāne Mahuta/kiwi myth provides a powerful metaphor for environmental care and sustainability. According to Phillips (2015b) early Māori knew the kiwi as “‘te manu huna a Tāne’, the hidden bird of Tāne, god of the forest” (para. 1). The following version of the story of how this came about is from Ashby (2016) and Birdnote (2016). When Tāne realised that insects were eating the forest, he invited several birds down from the trees (and flight) to become ground dwellers. Tāne believed that ground dwelling birds that ate insects would restore the forest’s natural order. The tūī did not like the forest floor’s darkness and declined the offer. The pūkeko resisted because it believed that the forest floor was too damp. The saddleback simply refused. When Tāne asked the kiwi responded, “I’d love to”. Commending the kiwi’s effort and despite all birds being the children of Tāne, “Tāne-hokahoka made him [the
kiwi] the most well-known and best-loved bird of all” (Ashby, 2016, n.p.). As reward, Tāne Mahuta bestowed on the kiwi its distinctively long beak.

As they come from the ‘first and favoured child’ of Tāne, kiwi feathers hold special significance for Māori. Kiwi feathers are used to adorn kākahu (Māori cloaks). Kākahu are considered Māori taonga and, as treasured items, are passed through generations. In this way, kākahu, as materiality, link the past, present and future. Kākahu also reflect tikanga (Māori customary practice) (Taituha, 2014). Kākahu signify their wearer’s mana and also reveal something about their makers. Kākahu design, feather layering and weaving techniques reflect their maker’s skill, training, whakapapa and craft knowledge. As Ngāi Tahu’s Rawiri Te Maire Tau (2001) noted, kākahu complete the circle of connection within te ao Māori: “Everything was related and all ‘things’ were held together by genealogical connections that eventually referenced back to the self” (p. 137).

Materially, kākahu represent a form of wealth and as a consequence are part of gift exchange between Māori. The wearer’s mana is a direct reflection of the kākahu’s quality. As Harwood (2011) described:

At European contact, mainly men or women of high rank wore kaitaka, a large finely-made cloak decorated with tāniko borders (coloured geometric bands of tightly twined muka strands). Kahu kurī (dog skin cloak) helped to identify rangatira (chiefs) or fighting men of rank. Kurī were highly prized and valued for their hunting abilities, and their skin and hair were desired for cloaks and adornment of taiaha (carved fighting weapons). (p. 4)

While most kākahu are utilitarian items, many were made for special occasions (Harwood, 2011). Harwood (2011) noted that the materials used to make them reflected the kākahu’s importance. For example:

The incorporation of dog and bird skin and feathers provided warmth and insulation, but it is also theorised that these cloaks were highly-regarded prestige items based on the cultural value and rarity of the species. (p. 4)

Brown kiwi feathers were the most popular: “The lustrous brown feathers of the native brown kiwi ... were treasured and widely sought after for cloak production” (Harwood, 2011, p. 5). However, the most treasured feathers came from the rare albino kiwi (Harwood, 2011). Dog pelts were another popular material, as were feathers from the albatross, kākāpo, kākāriki, kererū, tūī and weka. Feather use reflected geographic bird availability and tribal belief (Harwood, 2011). Underscoring how different tribal beliefs impacted feather choice is the use of ruru (morepork) feathers. Some iwi perceived ruru
as an atua (a god). Consequently, their feathers were deemed desirable by that iwi. Others believed ruru was a harbinger of death. Those iwi ruled ruru feathers undesirable (Harwood, 2011). Colonisation introduced new bird species and feather options. This impacted kākahu feather use as Māori began to supplement indigenous feathers with those from introduced species. New feather options included the California quail, chicken, mallard duck, peacock, peafowl, ring-necked pheasant, and wild turkey (Harwood, 2011).

**The Kiwi and the Treaty of Waitangi**

Signed on 6 February 1840, the Treaty of Waitangi (Te Tiriti o Waitangi) recognised an agreement between indigenous Māori and the British Crown (Orange, 1989). As Durie (2003) observed, “Māori have not entered into a single treaty since then and development now is excessively focused on the Crown” (p. 102). Since its signing, Māori have engaged a “dogged ... struggle for recognition of their rights under Te Tiriti o Waitangi” (Walker, 2017, p. 39). Struggle has focused on two themes that have disadvantaged Māori: social inequity and the Treaty’s duplicitous nature (Macdonald, 2016; Waitangi Tribunal, 2016). A consequence of those struggles, particularly during the 1970s, was the realisation by many Pākehā that Māori culture was important to the nation.

Māori protest peaked with the 1975 Hikoi (Māori land march). It drew public attention to the Crown’s unlawful land appropriations that had occurred over time (King, 2003). Because of the land-march protests, the Waitangi Tribunal was established.

The Waitangi Tribunal, as Sharp (1997) recounted, “was instituted in 1975 as a way of avoiding rather than confronting the continued Māori demand that the Treaty should be ‘ratified’” (p. 74). Waitangi Tribunal recommendations are not legally binding. As Derby (2012) noted, the Tribunal “could only make recommendations to the government on its findings and had no power to enforce them” (para. 6). Essentially, the Waitangi Tribunal provides a contemporary bridge between the government and Māori that recognises the historical gap between Māori and Pākehā by providing a forum for Māori claims. As a Waitangi Tribunal (n.d.) press release noted:

> More than 170 years after the Treaty, we still seem to bear the burden of mutually felt attitudes from our colonial past, with Māori feeling that their culture is marginalised,

---

12 Two versions of the Treaty differ in terms of the place of Māori in Aotearoa New Zealand’s governance. Central to this difference is the construct of rangatiratanga, i.e. “chieftainship, right to exercise authority, sovereignty” (Māori Dictionary, 2018).
while non-Māori fear that Māori will acquire undeserved privileges at their expense (para. 5).

Over time, the Waitangi Tribunal has facilitated change for Māori. However, as Durie (2003) argued, “it is not clear who, among Māori should articulate those aspirations or convert them into policies and programmes” (p. 102).

Between 1975 and 2015, the Waitangi Tribunal “registered 2501 claims [and] fully or partly reported on 1028 claims” (Waitangi Tribunal, 2017, para. 9). Many of the claims relate to land that was either taken from Māori, or appropriated by government from Māori (King, 2003; Macdonald, 2016; Waitangi Tribunal, 2011b, 2016, 2017). Of special interest to my research is the Waitangi Tribunal’s 2011 release of WAI 262. WAI 262 is a significant because it addressed many of the contemporary commercial realities Māori face in regard to the design and marketing of Māori symbols (Stephens, 2010). Essentially, as Stephens (2010) noted, WAI 262 included “indigenous flora and fauna and Māori intellectual property” (p. 63). WAI 262 also noted the kiwi bird; however, my contact with Keana Wild (personal communication, 21 February, 2017) from the Waitangi Tribunal revealed that the Tribunal holds no “direct claims in relation to the kiwi”. Yet, the WAI 262 claim mentions kiwi, albeit in wider contexts. In WAI 262, kiwi birds are noted as taonga. Disappointed that WAI 262 held no bird-specific references, I scanned the document to find out in what other ways the word ‘kiwi’ was included. Word search results for kiwi within the WAI 262 document are noted in Table 14.

13 WAI 262 “recommends reform of laws, policies or practices relating to health, education, science, intellectual property, indigenous flora and fauna, resource management, conservation, the Māori language, arts and culture, heritage, and the involvement of Māori in the development of New Zealand’s positions on international instruments affecting indigenous rights. These recommendations include law changes and the establishment of new partnership bodies in several of these areas” (Waitangi Tribunal, 2011b, para. 13).
Table 14: Kiwi quotes from WAI 262.

- “Thanks to millions of years of evolution in relative isolation, the country has an estimated 80,000 indigenous species, ranging from New Zealand icons such as the kiwi and tuatara to humble worms and microbes” (p. 63).
- “Names were coined for thousands of species that were not present in Hawaiki, such as kauri, kiwi, and tūī” (p. 64).
- “Yet another value that may be worthy of consideration is national identity. Some species, and the environments they live in, reflect our sense of ourselves as New Zealanders. The most obvious of these is the kiwi, whose very name is synonymous with being of this place. Other species such as pōhutukawa (the ‘Kiwi Christmas tree’)” (p. 89).
- “We also said in that chapter that Māori interests in these taonga should be balanced, on a case-by-case basis, with other legitimate interests. In the case of DOC [Department of Conservation], those include the interests of the environment itself and the taonga that comprise the environment; the interests of other people who use or rely on the conservation estate, including scientists and researchers, recreational users, the tourism industry, and so on; and the community as a whole, which derives a sense of identity from iconic landscapes and species (the most obvious example being the kiwi)” (p. 135).


While no clear recommendations have been made, the kiwi and other flora and fauna have generally been recognised by the Tribunal as indigenous and of specific importance to Māori. In this way, kiwi are taonga. Although absent from note within WAI 262, contemporary protection for the kiwi is facilitated by sanctuaries, community-based conservation groups, responsible private landowners and the Department of Conservation, which is “a key player in protecting kiwi habitat” (Kiwis for Kiwi, 2012, para. 4).

‘Kiwi’ in the Media

Reading the daily newspaper is an act of identity affirmation (Billig, 1995). Media reflect and influence the way in which we see ourselves and others. To understand how ‘Kiwi’ is used in print media, I explored five sequential editions of the New Zealand Herald newspaper (Friday 5 August–Tuesday 9 August, 2016). According to circulation numbers, the New Zealand Herald is the nation’s most read and most influential newspaper (New Zealand Audit Bureau of Circulation, 2017). My analysis revealed that the term Kiwi was widely used in the newspaper as a one-size-fits-all identifier for people from New Zealand. The New Zealand Herald reinforced not only the term’s common use but also the subtle nuance of what the term means and implies.
I considered all sections of the newspaper, except the classifieds, situations vacant, and commercial lift-out sections. Influencing my work during this period were the Olympic Games. This event was heavily covered by the media. Participating athletes from New Zealand were referred to as Kiwis in many articles and consequently, the New Zealand Herald’s Olympic coverage would have increased the occurrence of the term in the editions I analysed. Nonetheless, the New Zealand Herald provided a valuable source to see and come to understand how we see ourselves, and how the word Kiwi was used by the newspaper.

Recognising some common themes, I created the following four theme categories: single and unified identifier; Kiwi characteristic attribution; negative use; and boasting Kiwiness. Of these themes, three positively reflected being Kiwi and represented 90% of all references. In all five editions of the New Zealand Herald I explored, the term Kiwi was used 50 times as both a collective and convenient identifier.

‘Kiwi’ as Unifying Identifier

As a unifying identifier, the term Kiwi reflects A. Bell’s (2017) recollection that “national identities [like Kiwi] construct the nation as singular and unified” (p. 58). Within my theme ‘unifying identifier’, the New Zealand Herald used the term 19 times. Notable examples include Section A where it was stated that the nation expected “a projected population rise to about 4.8 million Kiwis” (“Lack of Police Officers,” 2016, p. A3). Similarly, in the Canvas section the paper noted that resident “Kiwis spent $3.4 billion on clothes, shoes and accessories” annually (“Kiwis spent $3.4 billion,” 2016, p. 2).

Used in this way, the term Kiwi was informative but downplayed the emotion inherent to holding debt, as in: “Many Kiwis and Aucklanders in particular have saddled themselves with way more debt than they care to think about” (“On a Mission,” 2016, p. C3). Sporting references linked Kiwi as both attribute and flattery. One sports article related: “It’s Farquhar’s chiseled jaw and classic Kiwi sporting-hero look that has secured his place in our hot Olympic list. Farquhar is known as one of the nice guys in Kiwi sport” (“Stuart Farquhar,” 2016, p. A2).
‘Kiwi’ as Attribute

Pioneer and settler culture have bestowed contemporary Kiwis with a legacy of Kiwi attributes (see Chapter 4: Literature Review). I identified 11 occasions where the New Zealand Herald used Kiwi as an attribute to suggest inclusivity. Typifying Kiwi ruggedness, the newspaper noted: “Kiwis hunker down for more days of big chill after freezing weekend” (“Kiwis hunker down,” 2016, p. A3). Further on, the New Zealand Heart Foundation encouraged Kiwis to “put aside their ‘she’ll be right’ attitude” (“She’ll be right,” 2016, p. A3). Kiwi was also put into the context of a patriotic identifier: “We Kiwis have nothing on the Americans. They are intensely patriotic” (“Dine and dash,” 2016, p. A20). The New Zealand Herald also recognised mateship as an attribute in gender-inclusive ways: “And she teamed up with fellow Kiwi actress Claire Chitham” (“New Zealand dollar,” 2016, p. C8). The paper presented Kiwi as a way to access other Kiwis: “Award-winning Herald photographer Brett Phibbs was given access to several Kiwi [Olympic] hopefuls” (“Olympic Effect,” 2016, p. A2). Access presupposed readers’ prior knowledge and their desire to hear these stories. Similarly, Kiwis were presented to readers as known individuals in that way: “TV personality Erin Simpson and Kiwi favourite Dick Frizzell” (“Olympics,” 2016, p. B2). This actualised Anderson’s (1991) imagined communities and the presumptive ‘we’ of Billig’s (1995) nationalism.

‘Kiwi’ in Negative Contexts

The term Kiwi was used negatively in only five instances in the copies of the paper I explored. Negative contexts reflected the good-natured rivalry between New Zealand and Australia. Such banter was mostly a part of sports reporting, such as in this example: “Aussie commentator Phil Kearns blasts Kiwis as poor winners” (“Coleman, be cautious, “ 2016, p. A12). Other negative sporting quotes made fun of Kiwis, exemplified by the paper’s reference to the film The Fight Club. In reporting on Kiwi sportspeople changing codes, the paper inferred displeasure suggesting it was unpatriotic: “In light of these several unpatriotic offences, it may help to spell out the rules” (“Unpatriotic offences,” 2016, p. A10). Their list of rules included ‘Kiwis do not swap codes’.

Negative use of the term Kiwi was also noted outside of sports reporting. In identifying socio-economic inequities reflected in poverty in the country, the Salvation Army was reported as stating: “Thousands of Kiwis do not have access to everyday basics of food,
warmth, and shelter” (“Shelter people from the storm,” 2016, p. 5). Finally, the paper related the history of car-racer Chris Amon. In a piece that anthropomorphised his car, the New Zealand Herald noted: “Amon and the car had a mutual dislike for each other and it got to the stage [where] the Kiwi thought the car would kill him” (“To keep or sell is tricky,” 2016, p. C6).

‘Kiwi’ as Boast

As C. Bell (1996) observed, New Zealanders appear to be in constant need of reminders about who they are. Kiwi as boast, Kiwi in negative context, Kiwi as attribute and Kiwi as unifying identifier can all be read as positive attributions reaffirming her point. Even the negative aspects of Kiwi in the media hold some positivity. They are used to reaffirm identity resilience and a Kiwi ‘can-do’ attitude. In these ways, the New Zealand Herald’s use of Kiwi supports Sands and Beverland’s (2010) notion that Kiwi is a positive and vernacular identifier for people from Aotearoa New Zealand inasmuch as Kiwi’s use could be considered boastful.

The New Zealand Herald’s use of Kiwi reflected presupposed meanings, associated myths and their implications and influences for readers. The newspaper used the term assuming its readers understood that it referred to people from Aotearoa New Zealand. In this way, the New Zealand Herald differentiated ‘us’ from ‘them’, despite never defining exactly what Kiwi referenced. This use reinforces Kiwi inclusivity and adds a layer of protective knowing about being Kiwi. Consequently, the New Zealand Herald’s use of Kiwi offered its readers comfort. Kiwis read about other Kiwis, that is, people like themselves. The use of Kiwi in this newspaper reinforced existing themes of national identity and unity, and facilitated an imagined sense of community and belonging. This contextualised Kiwi not only within Aotearoa New Zealand’s socio-culture, but also within Anderson’s (1991) wider concept of imagined communities. Notwithstanding that wider conception, this use of Kiwi also limited the diversity inherent in being Kiwi and, simultaneously, through journalistic convenience, reinforced Pākehā hegemony and possible white privilege, all the while using a Māori word: Kiwi.

The New Zealand Herald and Myths of Being Kiwi

Implicit in the use of Kiwi in the New Zealand Herald was the subtle but pervasive reliance on myth. The newspaper implicitly perpetuated myth in its reporting, particularly
that of maleness and its emphasis on sport, mateship, practicality and a ‘she’ll be right’ Kiwi attitude. Maleness was reinforced in articles relating to stoic and masculine characteristics. The myth of mateship was exemplified through reference to comradery.

**Worldviews: Seeing my Participants**

This section presents a theoretical understanding of how my participant groups see the world. Worldview is important because it not only influences our understanding of the world but also informs how we communicate our understanding to others. By understanding worldview, we come to an appreciative knowledge of how others think and how they consider their own ontologies and epistemologies. Worldview exploration provides a lens through which we can discern the importance of my participants’ opinions and their ways of being. Similarly, worldview reflects the lenses and biases that I hold, as author of this work. Those concepts influenced my ability to negotiate and interpret my participants and their data. Appreciating worldview schemas therefore entails a cultural understanding of the ‘other’.

Underscoring the importance of cultural understanding was my initial attempt to recruit Pacific Island participants. In hindsight, part of the difficulty I had was my lack of Pasifika\(^\text{14}\) cultural awareness. My initial approach to Pasifika groups made me feel uncomfortable. My discomfort reflected my belief that I could approach Pacific Island participants through their church networks. As a non-Christian, I did not feel at ease in church environs. I felt duplicitous. Being inside a church signified my own Christianity.

Now, I believe that my discomfort was obvious to potential participants and was ultimately reflected in my failure to recruit from religious environments.

My Pasifika recruitment ‘ah-ha’ moment arrived after reading about talanoa and fonofale. Through these readings, I became cognisant, in deep and meaningful ways, of the communication protocols important to Pasifika communities. Effective communication, I discovered, needed a relaxed, mutually beneficial, non-specific conversation that over time got around to what I really wanted to ask and say.

\(^{14}\) The term ‘Pasifika’ refers to “those peoples who have migrated from Pacific nations and territories. It also refers to the New Zealand-based (and born) population, who identify as Pasifika, via ancestry or descent” (Airini et al., 2010, Appendix 2, para. 2).
Consequently, I have learned much from and about my Pacific Island participants. Not all of this learning focussed on Kiwi identity and kiwiana. These participants taught me the value of taking my time: to enjoy the moment. I came to appreciate that an unforced conversation would get me further than might a hurried or overly direct one. Having experienced this, I can honestly say that my Pacific Island focus group (see the section “Finding the Participants” in Chapter 5: Methodology) and interview work were, personally and professionally, the most satisfying and rewarding sessions that I conducted in this research. Encounters with Pasifika participants were characterised by laughter and fun. Sometimes I wondered if I was doing research at all. Yet, my recordings and transcripts reassuringly indicated that I had uncovered some very interesting material from this group. While I am grateful to all my research groups, my Pasifika participants hold a special place for me. This group taught me more than just what they experienced about Kiwi identity and kiwiana. They taught me the value of listening and the enjoyment that being part of a group brings.

Understanding the various worldviews of all research participants, is important. Worldview, or a person’s framework of ideas about the world, reflects how they construct reality within their personalised ontology and epistemology (Berger & Luckmann, 1966). Worldviews are embodied and enacted within a person’s cultural being. Worldview is a philosophy for living (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011), formed from an implicit understanding of how knowledge is constructed (Nicholi, 2004). Accordingly, Creswell and Plano Clark (2011) recognised that constructionist worldviews reflected the plurality of being, and that plurality incorporated the “social and historical construction” (p. 40) of everyday life. Thus, the creation of people’s everyday lives aligns with the social construction of reality (Berger & Luckmann, 1966).

In considering cultural and individual worldviews, Hanley (2016) provided some guidance by employing an iceberg metaphor. While icebergs can roll in the water, it is expedient to initially consider Hanley’s (2016) iceberg as static. Paralleling themes of consciousness, semi-consciousness and unconsciousness (Weiten, 1992), Hanley’s (2016) cultural iceberg has three levels. The first is what can be seen above the water line. For Hanley (2016) that included “language, the arts, literature, religion, music, dress, dance, games, sport and cooking” (Hanley, 2016, p 3.). The second level, at the waterline, included “the awareness level boundary” (Hanley, 2016, p. 3). At this level, people are
aware of everything above the waterline and, on occasion glimpse what lies below it. Hanley’s third level is the submerged section of the iceberg, referred to as the “invisible” layer (Hanley, 2016 p. 3). Applied to my research, Hanley’s iceberg levels reveal the dynamic nature of worldview and how contemporary influences impact on it (see, for example, “Te ao hurihuri”, Dobbs & Eruera, 2014, p. 9). However, it is important to remember that Hanley’s (2016) iceberg is dynamically mobile; it can roll at any time. Illuminating not only what is seen, this iceberg model also reveals a participant’s worldview: their ontology and epistemology. Cognisant of these theoretical perspectives, in particular as framed by Hanley (2016), this section explores the worldviews of my participant groups. In doing so, this section provides insight into how my participants and I negotiate identity and come to terms with materiality within our own worldview paradigms and constructions.

Collective worldviews can be expressed in cultural values. Schwartz (2006) explained that cultural values are belief-oriented, incorporate desirable goals, transcend action and situation, set standards, and are ordered by their importance. Cultural values discern how groups and individuals interact. This aligns worldview not only to cultural values but also to the dynamics of social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner 1979) and identity theory (Stryker, 1980). As Kluckhorn and Strodtbeck (1961) revealed, people look towards their cultures to answer fundamental questions. Consequently, worldview acknowledges the enactment of individual and group ontologies and epistemologies.

**Defining Cultural Values**

Williams (1979) linked worldview to values. He noted that, “the term ‘values’ has been used variously to refer to interests, pleasures, likes, preferences, duties, moral obligations, desires, wants, goals, needs, aversions and attractions, and many other kinds of selective orientations” (Williams, 1979, p. 16). Hitlin and Piliavan (2004) argued that biology, race, ethnics, gender, age, social structure, social class, occupation, education, family, immigrant status and religion not only percolate our being but also link cultural values to identity. Schwartz (2006) described how “cultural value orientations evolve as societies confront basic issues or problems in regulating human behaviour” (p. 140). How individuals, groups and societies enact and embody values denotes their similarities and differences (again linking to social identity theory and identity theory). Table 15, below, presents a chronology of academic cultural value perspectives.
Table 15: Cultural values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Values are unobservable</td>
<td>Extending Hechter (1993), Hitlin (2004) added:</td>
<td>● Values are belief-oriented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Uncertain link how values shape behaviour</td>
<td>● Values are often conflated with other socio-</td>
<td>● Values incorporate desired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Therefore, linking behaviour to values is</td>
<td>psychological actions</td>
<td>goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uncertain</td>
<td>● Values reflect variability and historicity</td>
<td>● Values transcend action and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Measuring values is problematic</td>
<td></td>
<td>situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Values set standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Values are ordered by their</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>importance, and their action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reflects their importance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen in Table 15, Hechter (1993), Hitlin and Piliavin (2004), and Schwartz (2006) reflect the dynamic nature of cultural values. As Hitlin and Piliavin (2004) noted, “people refer to values when justifying behaviour as legitimate. Values, but not traits, serve as standards for judging others’ (and one’s own) behaviour” (p. 361).

**Pacific Island Worldview Schemas via Talanoa**

My exploration of Pasifika worldviews within Pasifika culture and my understanding and integration of talanoa and fonofale not only benefited my research, but also positively added to my personal and professional growth. Prescott (2008) found that talanoa was particularly relevant and useful in the Cook Islands, Fiji, Hawai’i, Niue, Samoa, Solomon Islands, and Tonga. As Vaioleti (2006) noted:

> Researchers whose knowing is derived from Western origins are unlikely to have values and lived realities that allow understanding of issues pertaining to knowledge and ways of being that originated from the *nga waima* (spirits) and *whenua*\(^{15}\) of Samoa, Tonga, Fiji, Tuvalu or the other Pacific nations. (p. 22)

Vaioleti (2006) explained that ‘tala’ means “inform or tell”, whereas ‘noa’ means “of any kind, ordinary, [and] nothing in particular” (p. 23). For Vaioleti (2006), talanoa incorporates a “multi-layered critical discussion in free conversation” (p. 23). Discussion and conversation occur within formal or informal discourse and are always face to face (Vaioleti, 2006). Otsuka (2006) asserted that talanoa includes a shared rapport of time, emotion and interest between participants. This positions talanoa as best suited to

\(^{15}\) Whenua denotes people/s from a region/country.
qualitative description (see Chapter 5: Methodology) because conversation facilitates research perspectives and research relationships. ‘Otunuku (2011) noted that “talanoa allows group conversations to develop over a considerable time period in which the focus is determined by the interests of the participants” (p. 45). As Farrelly and Nabobo-Baba (2014) indicated, “Talanoa has recently been taken up by development researchers and others as a culturally appropriate research method in Pacific contexts” (p. 319).

Talanoa is part of Pasifika worldview. ‘Otunuku, (2011) described talanoa as including “dynamic interaction[s] of storytelling, debating, reflecting, gossiping, joking, sharing families’ genealogies, food and other necessities. Talanoa helps build better understanding and co-operation within and across human relationships” (p. 45). Johnston (2013) pointed out that researchers need to be active participants in talanoa’s dynamic conversations or interviews. For me, this contrasted with the stilted question/response model of traditional Western research methods. Encapsulating talanoa’s strengths (see Table 16, below), Vea (2015) explained that “talanoa is a universal method which most Pacific cultures are built upon; to talanoa, you converse with another intimately” (p. 6).

Table 16: Talanoa as research activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Talanoa Research (Pacific Focus)</th>
<th>Qualitative Approach (Traditional Western Focus)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Researcher is purposefully engaged in sharing emotions and interests.</td>
<td>● Researcher enacts structured format, creating ‘distance’ between them and participant often emphasising anonymity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Emphasises trusting and respectful interaction without time constraint.</td>
<td>● Researcher reflexively explores their impact on the research often after the research participant interaction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. Adapted from Johnston (2013).*

I found that my engagement in talanoa promoted my deeper conversations with my Pasifika participants. Talanoa embedded my focus group conversations in ways of being that were most familiar to my participants. My participants joked with each other and with me within the informal nature of our encounters. I found talanoa an engaging and refreshing experience as it shook off the rigidity that I had previously experienced using traditional Western research methods. Talanoa generates a very human environment enabling the gathering of meaningful participant data.
Pacific Island Worldview Schemas via Fonofale

Complementing talanoa is fonofale. Initially, fonofale’s purpose was to assist medical professionals in Aotearoa New Zealand to understand the perspective of their Pasifika clients. As part of this research, engaging in fonofale reflected my participants’ and my own negotiation of Pacific Island and palagi (white people) cultural values as we discussed Kiwi identity and kiwiana. In that negotiation, and reflecting the potency of Pasifika worldview, there was the sense of ‘home and belonging’ in the Islands that was, for my participants, never far from their New Zealand migrant identities (see Chapter 6: Findings).

Family, either nuclear or extended, provides a foundation for Pacific Island culture and organisation (Pacific Cultural Guidelines, 2016). Four ‘pou’ (posts or supports) facilitate those connections:

- **Spiritual**: systems of belief incorporating history, language, traditional spirituality and Christianity.
- **Physical**: the relationship of the body to the environment.
- **Mental**: thinking, expressed *emotions* and behaviours.
- **Other**: constructs of gender, age, education (Pacific Cultural Guidelines, 2016, p. 3).

The pou are mediated by three overarching concepts:

- **Environment**: the “uniqueness of Pacific people to their physical environment” in rural or urban settings.
- **Time**: their “actual or specific time in history”.
- **Context**: the “where/how/what and the meaning it has for that particular person or people” (Pacific Cultural Guidelines, 2016, p. 3).

Table 17, below, synthesises Pacific Island cultural values.
Table 17: *Summary of Pacific Island cultural values.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family</strong></td>
<td>● Shared values/meanings between Pacific nations/peoples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Kinship, ancestry, interconnectedness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communitarianism</strong></td>
<td>● Sense of community, mutual help before individualism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reciprocity</strong></td>
<td>● Obligation of care and interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Shared purpose, honesty, exchange of whatever is needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Lack of reciprocity indicates lack of respect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Consensus view important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respect</strong></td>
<td>● Expected behaviours toward elders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Keeping face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Acknowledging people holding power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Respect for religion, customs, protocols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spirituality</strong></td>
<td>● Belief in something greater than self (God)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Church provides meeting place and is facilitator of community projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication</strong></td>
<td>● Interactive connections facilitating open communication (see talanoa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Give and expect thank you gestures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Adapted from Pacific Cultural Guidelines (2016).

For me, engagement with my Pasifika participants was an epiphany. My great realisation made me question something basic: how had I come this far as a researcher without realising that qualitative research is about holding meaningful conversations about a shared topic, particularly with others keen to talk about it? That realisation made me Google four keywords: *basic definition qualitative research*, a search that located a book by Silverman (2004). Silverman (2004) proposed that qualitative research was about finding meaning through the use of words. That short paraphrase, *finding meaning through the use of words*, fermented in my mind. Then, it came to me. Silverman (2004) promoted, as I interpreted it, qualitative research as a conversation. My interpretation of qualitative research as conversation promoted more thought. I wondered: Could I use the things I had learnt about Pasifika culture in my focus group and interviews with my Chinese and Latin American participants? If it were possible, how might I amend my approaches of talanoa and fonofale to meet the needs of these other groups?

In the following two sections, I explore how I used my Pasifika participant encounters in talanoa and fonofale as ways to mediate my encounters with my Chinese and Latin
American participants. Using these tools provided my insight into both groups’ worldviews. By implementing talanoa and fonofale within these groups I alleviated the power differentials between me, the researcher, a member of New Zealand’s dominant culture, and my participants as minority group migrants.

**Chinese Worldview Encounters**

To understand the Chinese worldview in my research, it would be easy to think about it as being distinctly Chinese. For any migrant, including Chinese migrants, worldview is particularly fluid; migrants are, by nature, in ongoing states of flux. These states reflect their transitional identities and these identities wax and wane, mediating the process between being something and becoming something else. While my Chinese participants might value the five virtues of Confucianism (Rarick, 2008), consciously or subconsciously, I questioned the influence of those constructs as my participants come to know and understand Kiwi identity and kiwiana. I believed that in creating a participant-friendly environment, my participants would provide quality data. Consequently, I viewed my Chinese participant worldview as fluid. Without question this group made constant comparison to ‘things back in China’. However, these comparisons were important as they enabled their understanding of their new environment: Aotearoa New Zealand. Therefore, it was important that I and focus group mediators facilitated free and multi-layered conversations (Vaioleti, 2006). Applied to my Chinese participants, this meant that, rather than maintaining tight control of the group, it was better to encourage free associations and to leave gaps of silence. The ability for participants to ‘put things together themselves’ (through free association), and to possibly feel awkward when the conversations ended, promoted their wider and enthusiastic participation. In these ways and having learnt a valuable lesson from my Pacific Island participants, talanoa and fonofale delivered, within a respectful environment, a mutual understanding of the other. That mutuality expressed in conversation reflected and maximised the point made earlier in relation to Silverman’s (2004) ideas about qualitative research.
Latin American Worldview Encounters

In exploring Latinx worldviews it would be easy to relate the importance of machismo, (University of Notre Dame, 2009) and the gendered nature of space, and how these two factors impact Latinx behaviours (Spillan, Virzi & Garita, 2014). However, and like my Chinese participants, my Latinx group are in Aotearoa New Zealand, not their country of birth. Therefore, a new approach is necessary to understand their revised worldview. That understanding is tempered by new locations, new experiences and the fluidity inherent to transient identity. Consequently, talanoa and fonofale again provided gentle tools facilitating my understanding of Latinx worldview.

Latinx participants were keen to talk. They enjoyed conversation and they, like my Pasifika participants, enjoyed laughing. Consequently, fostering conversations with these participants was easy. In talanoa, this group engaged in “dynamic interactions of storytelling and debating [on seeing a silver fern in Peru]; […] reflecting [on home and how to help], gossiping, joking and sharing” (‘Otunuku, 2011, p. 45). In these ways, talanoa was a natural group fit.

Our awareness of how talanoa ‘works’ meant focus group and interview encounters yielded intimate conversations within which participants often exposed their vulnerability as recent migrants. Consequently, using talanoa fostered a mutual understanding between these participants and myself as researcher, and fostered fonofale as the participants, focus group mediator and researcher engaged and negotiated a respectful cultural environment for all participants.

Concluding Thoughts on Participant Worldviews

Underpinning all my focus group and interview encounters with the constructs of talanoa and fonofale proved beneficial. Talanoa and fonofale reflect Pasifika perspectives, in the country’s largest Polynesian city: Auckland. Consequently, talanoa and fonofale were natural choices for exploring and understanding worldview within a New Zealand context. In that way, my use of talanoa and fonofale reflects Smith’s (2008) recommendation that alternate ways of understanding the world can be active contributors to contemporary research. In using talanoa and fonofale outside of Pasifika contexts, I

16 Latinx refers to “A person of Latin American origin or descent (used as a gender-neutral or non-binary alternative to Latino or Latina)” (Oxford Living Dictionary, 2018, para. 1).
have come to understand that the base of any qualitative inquiry is, as Silverman (2011) noted, about finding meaning through the use of words. The relaxed and non-specific questioning inherent in talanoa proved invaluable to my research and also contributed to my personal growth. In many ways, using talanoa and fonofale with my Chinese and Latin American participants reminded me that undertaking participant-centred research was identical to hosting a successful hospitality experience. The more relaxed my participants were, the more they talked and engaged with the topic.

Migration to Aotearoa New Zealand

To understand the beginnings of Pākehā dominance within Aotearoa New Zealand’s socio-culture, this section presents a brief history of our nation’s immigration legislation. Until Norman Kirk’s Labour Government (1972-1974), Aotearoa New Zealand practiced discriminatory legislative migration policies. These policies are presented in Table 18, below. Their goal was to make Aotearoa New Zealand the England of the South Pacific (Beaglehole, 2012). Consequently, preference was given to English migrants. These legislative arrangements have contributed to Māori subordination to Pākehā in Aotearoa New Zealand.

Table 18: Summary of New Zealand immigration legislation 1881 to 1961.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legislation</th>
<th>Implications (Beaglehole, 2012)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Immigrants Act (1881).</td>
<td>Restricted entry to a specific group of people. Introduced poll tax.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigration Restriction Act (1899).</td>
<td>“Prohibited the entry of immigrants who were not of British or Irish parentage” (p. 2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Chinese Immigrants Amendment Act (1907).</td>
<td>Required Chinese migrants to read 100 words of English before an official.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigration Restriction Amendment Act (1908)</td>
<td>“Required Chinese people [the only group required to do this] living in New Zealand to place a thumbprint on their certificate of registration” for re-entry to the country (p. 2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The War Regulations (1916).</td>
<td>“No-person over the age of 15 could land in New Zealand without a passport” (p. 3) or other identity documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Undesirable Immigrants Act (1919).</td>
<td>This legislation effectively excluded Germans, Austro-Hungarians, socialists and Marxists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Immigration Restriction Amendment Act (1920).</td>
<td>Solidified Aotearoa New Zealand’s white migrant policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In the Act, “aboriginal natives of any part of the British Empire, except New Zealand, were not [deemed to be] British, for the purposes of the Act” (p. 3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Immigration Restriction Amendment Act (1931). “Prevented aliens (as non-British immigrants were still known) from Europe, entering New Zealand” (p. 3).

The Immigration Amendment Act (1961). Realised that Aotearoa New Zealand held wider migration source options. This Act required that British and Irish migrants gained an entry permit.

Source: Beaglehole (2012).

In the 1970s, Kirk’s government suggested that Aotearoa New Zealand needed a migrant policy that “ignored race, colour and religion” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 4). Kirk’s government recommended policies recognising Aotearoa New Zealand’s geographical position within the Asia-Pacific region. Changes in the Kirk administration’s immigration focus meant that after 1974 a larger cohort of migrants was considered and that the English language requirement was unnecessary (Beaglehole, 2012). However, English language knowledge was assessed in migrants’ interactions with officials and “some knowledge of English was required under a points system” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 4).

In 1986, the Immigration Policy Review expanded these changes. This review negated race and nationality in favour of admitting “any person who met specific educational, business, professional, age or asset requirements” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 5). Consequently, under the Immigration Act 1986, immigrants were settled under one of three key categories; “a skilled business stream (60% of migrants) … a family stream (30% of migrants) … [and] a humanitarian stream (10% of migrants)” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 5).

Further, in 1991, the Immigration Amendment Act “replaced the occupational priority list with a points system … any applicant achieving a minimum number of points was automatically eligible for admission” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 5). This meant that applicants from countries previously deemed unsuitable were now treated equally in immigration processes based on skill. Consequently, “the number of Asian [migrants entering Aotearoa New Zealand] grew” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 5).

The Immigration Act 2009 is Aotearoa New Zealand’s latest legislation. This Act facilitates a tiered system of entry and visa application, while maintaining a focus on skill desirability rather than country of origin. Since 2009, the Act has been updated with amendments including the collection of biometric and other security information designed to enhance national security (Immigration New Zealand, 2018).

In considering the nation’s history of immigration legislation and its impact upon this research, I propose that Aotearoa New Zealand has undergone four distinct waves of
migration. The first wave was dominated by colonial, pre-1840, settlement. The second wave endured from 1840 until the beginning of the ‘golden weather’ in 1952\(^\text{17}\). Then, a third wave of migration occurred. It lasted from the end of the ‘golden weather’ (1966) until the immigration reforms of 1986. At that time, a fourth wave began. I link my participants and their perceptions of Kiwi identity and kiwiana to this fourth wave. I expand that position in Chapter 7: Discussion and Conclusion.

**Chinese Migration**

New Zealand’s discriminatory immigration practice had, until the policy changes within the Immigration Act 1987, the most significant negative impact on Chinese migrants. The Chinese Immigrant Act 1881 “was the first [Act] to restrict entry of a specific group of people” to the country. The Act limited access to Chinese migrants by ship size: “one [Chinese] for every 10 tons of the vessel’s weight [decreased to] “one Chinese person per 100 tons” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 2). Compounding that was a poll tax of £10 (in 1881), increased to £100 (in 1886), per Chinese migrant (King, 2003). Three years later, the 1889 Immigration Restrictions Act “prohibited entry to immigrants not of British or Irish parentage” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 2). Those policies, as Beaglehole (2012) suggested, embodied “the supposed superiority of white people and the desire to make New Zealand a ‘Britain of the South Seas’” (p. 2). Additionally, the Chinese Immigrants Amendment Act 1907 insisted that Chinese applicants “read 100 words of English in front of a customs official … the £100 poll tax remained” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 2). This was an unreasonable and discriminatory request considering Swarbrick’s (2012) point that “British settlers arriving in New Zealand [at that time] were often less well-educated than Māori” (para. 1).

The Immigration Restriction Amendment Act 1920 solidified Aotearoa New Zealand’s discriminatory policies. The Amendment excluded “aboriginal natives of any part of the British Empire, except New Zealand, [who] were not [deemed to be] British, for the purposes of the Act” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 3). However, it removed the need for Chinese re-entry migrants to have a thumbprint, but not the poll tax. That was abolished under Fraser’s 1940-49 Labour Government (Beaglehole, 2012). Deputy Prime Minister Walter Nash stated that “Chinese [people] are as good as any other race [and] we will not in

---

\(^{17}\)The ‘golden weather’ was a period of unsurpassed economic growth occurring between the 1950s and 1960s (Carlyon & Morrow, 2013; Dann, 2018)
future countenance any discrimination against them” (cited in King, 2003, p. 369). During the 1990s Helen Clark’s Labour Government formally apologised to the Chinese community for the discrimination that their forebears had experienced.

Since then, and as Ho (2015) observed, between 1986 and 2013 the Asian population of New Zealand has “increased almost nine-fold from 53,883 in 1986, to 471,711 in 2013” (pp. 95-96). Reflecting that growth, Prime Minister Jim Bolger noted that “we are deliberately adding an Asian strand to our national identity” (as cited in McKinnon, 1996, p. i). While Chinese immigration has increased and the government has enacted equitable migration policy, Kiwi-born Chinese Helene Wong, reflected on her life here with some pointed questions:

Being Chinese also sets me on the margins of [New Zealand] society, allowing me to have a detached perspective on it. I may have resolved the question of my identity, but what about the identity of the country I call home? Is there anything a Chinese New Zealander can bring to the conversation about what it is to be a New Zealander? (p. 175)

Within that consideration Wong (2016) revealed that identity is a work in progress for all of us.

Migration from the South Pacific

Migration from some Pacific Islands to Aotearoa New Zealand has always been by right. Since 1901, Cook Islanders and Niueans have had residency rights in Aotearoa New Zealand. Since 1916, Tokelauans have held residency rights. However, “Tongans, Fijians and Samoans have faced [immigration] barriers [often mediated by] economic conditions and public opinion” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 6). Pacific Islanders arrived in the 1960s and 1970s in greater numbers than the quotas allowed. By the mid-1970s, the need for labour from the Pacific Islands had decreased (Beaglehole, 2012) and the previously blind eye of immigration then focused to differentiate legal Pacific Islanders from overstayers. This “led to dawn raids on Pacific Island households [particularly] in Auckland” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 6). Statistically, this action reflected a bias: “Pacific Island people comprised only one-third of [all] overstayers, [yet] they made [up] 86 percent of prosecutions for it” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 6). By contrast, “citizens from the United States and the United Kingdom comprising a third of those over-staying, represented only 5 percent of prosecutions” (Beaglehole, 2012, p. 6).
Migration from Latin America

As Wilson (2015) noted, “before the 1970s very few Latin Americans came to New Zealand” (para. 1). Wilson (2015) speculated that early arrivals in the 1800s were lured to Aotearoa New Zealand by the prospect of finding gold. By 1874, there were only 80 Latinx people in the country (Wilson, 2015). It was not until the end of World War Two that migrant numbers from Latin America reached 200. At that time, Wilson (2015) noted, half were from Argentina. By 1971, the number of Latinx people in the country had reached 400. With political instability after the Pinochet regime came to power in 1973, many Chilean residents sought refugee status here. While many refugees never considered New Zealand home, many applied for reunification with family members in New Zealand. With increasing political stability, especially in Chile during the 1980s, many refugees either left New Zealand for home or went to live in Australia. However, in 2013, as Wilson (2015) noted there were “3,588 Brazilians; 2,409 Chileans; 1,701 Argentinians; 1,155 Colombians; 741 Mexicans; 447 Uruguayans; 150 Venezuelans; and 153 Bolivians” living in New Zealand (p. 1).

Conclusion and Summary

This chapter is important. The information it provides underpins ‘the way things are today’ and acknowledges some of the events that have contributed to ‘the way things are today’. In presenting this chapter I am aware of several factors that impact its potency and ‘truth’. History is often constructed as a reflection of social power dynamics as well as the position of its authors. The history of migration to Aotearoa New Zealand reflects these dynamics. Similarly, cultural worldviews are bound within groups and individual expression.
Chapter 4: Literature Review

Precis: Chapter Overview

To understand Kiwi identity, kiwiana and myth in literature, I use D. Bell’s (2003) construct of mythscape (see Chapter 2: Theoretical and Conceptual Framework). Mythscape provides my research with an overarching lens through which I recognise and incorporate the mythic and socio-temporal nature of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Mythscape recognises the intersectional continuums of history, time, place and space within which Kiwi identity, kiwiana and their related myths are founded. Consequently, mythscape supports my understanding of social constructionism and my view that knowledge, within ontology and epistemology, represent a symbiosis. Additionally, and because mythscape is socio-temporally located, it supports the vernacular and academic nexus of identity, materiality and myth. That triplet is important to my literature review because Kiwi identity, kiwiana and myth feature in popular and academic literature. Similarly, mythscape illuminates what, for many people, is a passive, unquestioned acceptance of identity, materiality and myth as socio-temporal givens (Billig, 1995). Finally, using mythscape in my literature review prepares the ground for the integration of my work in my Findings and Discussion chapters in my proposal that the changing dynamics of Kiwi identity and kiwiana represent a fourth wave of migration and identity construction in Aotearoa New Zealand.

Settler culture fostered a male-centric worldview that still permeates New Zealand’s socio-culture. Maleness has been embodied within the construct of mateship. Unsurprisingly, C. Bell (2004) suggested that the combination of mateship and a male-centric worldview reinforced a “Pākehā primacy” (p. 175). Therefore, the first section of my literature review explores Kiwi identities, beginning with settler culture.

While I recognise that a body of contemporary literature exists on male identity dominance in New Zealand, and its Kiwi focus, it is not discussed in my review. My rationale for its exclusion, except for Brady (2012), is simple: its base concepts are grounded in male-dominated versions of Kiwi identity, the very topic I have chosen to discuss. Consequently, while the various works on male identity dominance are compelling reads (Brady, 2012; Bruce & Stewart 2015; Mitchell, 2010; Turner 2007)
their only value to my work is that they develop contemporary expressions of the ideas of my seminal authors. While I exclude the papers just noted, I am aware that those papers promulgate existing Kiwi identity and kiwiana myths and therefore contribute toward their currency. Considering that, I remind my readers that an aim of my research is to question the contemporary relevance of existing myths and to ask, through an examination of my data, how new mythologies may emerge (see Chapter 6: Findings, and Chapter 7: Discussion and Conclusion).

Next, I explore kiwiana. As the materiality reflecting Kiwi identity, kiwiana also incorporates a male-centric worldview. Kiwiana provides actant reminders of Aotearoa New Zealand’s period of ‘golden weather’: the 1950s and 1960s (Carlyon & Morrow, 2013; Dann, 2018). To complement my discussions of Kiwi identity and kiwiana, I explore why the North Island town of Otorohanga has embraced Kiwi identity and kiwiana as its point of difference. Then, extending these domains, my review presents sections on how Kiwi identity and kiwiana are mobilised with what is vernacularly known as the OE (overseas experience). That discussion reveals in practical ways how Deleuze and Guattari’s (1987) rhizome construct can be used to understand the propagation of kiwiana and Kiwi identity. It also reinforces my emphasis on using D. Bell’s (2003) mythscape lens. Finally, I conclude my literature review with a brief but critical discussion on the current myths associated with Kiwi identity and kiwiana.

**The Dream Begins: Settler Culture**

“Māori [were the] first human inhabitants of the country” (King, 2003, p. 19). Durie (2003) noted that “DNA studies ... seem to confirm that a significant colony of Māori settlers was firmly established some eight hundred or so years ago” (pp. 13-14).

European settlement of Aotearoa New Zealand began in the late 1700s (Bentley, 2007). It was not until the 1840s, when The New Zealand Company brought the first registered migrants to the country, that settler populations grew (Phillips, 2015c). Migration was promoted as a “rural idyll [ideally suited to] the bourgeois Victorian family [and as] a labourer’s paradise” (C. Bell, 1997, p. 146). Part of paradise’s lure was the potential to own land (McAloon, 2008). C. Bell (1997) proposed that a new start in a new land and the possibility of land ownership combined to later produce a mythologised classless, egalitarian society.
Artist of the day, John Gully captured these possibilities. His watercolour, *The valley of the Wilkin from Huddleston’s run*, 1877 (see Figure 5) depicts a lone person sitting amid a vast landscape. Gully’s images were a contrast to the overcrowding many migrants experienced in their homelands (Phillips & Heam, 2013).

Figure 5: *The Valley of the Wilkin from Huddleston’s Run*, John Gully, 1877. Source: New Zealand History (2018b).

**Settlers: Making a Name for Themselves**

Men dominated the country’s early settlement. Noting the lack of women, Phillips (1987) suggested that many early settlers were “explorers, then traders, whalers and sealers” (p. 6). Then, the lack of women provided those women who were in the country with a bargaining chip in the workplace that was a distinct advantage. Women in the settler workplace held “such a strong bargaining position [that it] gave colonial servants an independent and self-confident spirit” (Elphick, 1975, p. 129).

Likewise, the tyranny of distance (Blainey, 2001) fostered innovation in men and women. As Phillips (1987) remarked, “men appear to have a knack of turning to anything” (p. 20). This was anchored in physicality rather than intellect: male settlers were “suspicious of undue specialisation and the technical learning which might underpin it” (Phillips, 1987, p. 24). Because men dominated settler culture, a male-centric worldview emerged (Brickell, 2000). The physical work involved in clearing the land and ‘making-do’ facilitated the cult of mateship between men (Phillips, 1987). For Pākehā men, mateship included their ability to turn their hand to anything, in no-nonsense ways.

Land played an important role in settler identity, as Wray (2011) noted:

First, it [the land] distinguished New Zealand from England by providing a unique natural habitat for species that were found nowhere else in the world. Second, the wilderness landscapes enabled New Zealanders to showcase their outstanding natural heritage (in contrast to the cultural/built heritage of England). Third, wilderness embodied the pioneering ethic of adventure and exploration, which helped to define
New Zealand settler society and to distinguish it from Europe. And finally, wilderness symbolised two of the fundamental values of early New Zealand society that were believed to be lacking in England: freedom and egalitarianism. (p. 88)

Despite worldview differences between settlers and Māori about land ownership, settler governments facilitated land ownership (McAloon, 2008). As Rashbrooke (2013) observed: “land loss had a harmful effect on Māori social and economic development” (p. 4). Today, those consequences are being addressed through the Waitangi Tribunal claims processes (King, 2003; McAloon, 2008; Waitangi Tribunal, 1997). Nonetheless, settler culture and settler ownership of land laid the foundations for Pākehā and Kiwi identities (A. Bell, 1997). Mateship, innovation, maleness, physicality and making do provided the stanchions for later emergent identities. Those attributes became mythologised when the government encouraged an economic self-sufficiency during the 1940s and 50s.

**There’s More to Kiwis than Birds**

New Zealanders have been known as “Pākehā, Kiwi, Fernlander[s] and Māorilanders” (Wolfe, 1991, p. 36) as well as “Anzac, Digger, Moalander, even Pig Islander[s]” (Harper, 2015, as cited in Stone, 2015, para. 36). As Sands and Beverland (2010) observed, Kiwi has become a positive contemporary identifier for describing people from Aotearoa New Zealand. As discussed already in Chapter 3: Background and Contextual Setting, literature also records two other enduring identifiers: Māori and Pākehā (C. Bell, 2012c, 2014; King, 2003). Māori identifies the nation’s first people, tangata whenua; Pākehā identifies the settler colonists and their descendants (A. Bell, 2004a; Gray et al., 2013; Spoonley, 1991). Both Māori and Pākehā are terms of convenience formalised by the signing of the Treaty of Waitangi in 1840. Māori and Pākehā represent binary terms (Awatere, 1984; A. Bell, 2004a; Bidois, 2013) inasmuch as one term is known consequent to the other (Ranford, 2012). Māori and Pākehā are also contested terms (A. Bell, 2004b; Grennell, 2014; King, 2003) reflecting the inequity of power relations between Pākehā and Māori that have occurred since the Treaty signing (King, 2003; Orange, 1989; Walker, 2017).

In the 19th and early 20th century, many settlers came to New Zealand to clear the land, undertake commerce and to establish Westernised infrastructure and business (Hunter,
Reflecting Phillip’s (1987) earlier observations, C. Bell (2012b) added that contemporary male traits are traceable to that time:

‘Kiwi ingenuity’ matches a longstanding well-celebrated New Zealand myth about creative problem solving. In remote New Zealand, anything needed could not always be obtained locally. Rudimentary tools were used to craft available materials to serve practical ends: a ‘No. 8 wire mentality’. No. 8 wire was a standard gauge fencing wire … such ‘do-it-yourself’ (DIY) attitudes historically arose from necessity in a settler society. In true vernacular style and practice settlers gave priority to function. These terms continue to hold currency. (p. 421)

The mention of the word Kiwi before the beginning of the Boer War would nonetheless prompt New Zealanders to reference a flightless bird with “mole-like vision, cat-like whiskers and a shaggy plumage more like hair than feathers” (Wolfe, 1991, p. 7). Kiwi became a popular people descriptor during World War One, identifying soldiers from New Zealand. Use of the word Kiwi can be traced back to William Ramsay, the Victorian inventor of the Kiwi-branded boot polish (Wolfe, 1991). Ramsay branded it Kiwi because it was easy to pronounce and honoured his New Zealand wife’s homeland (see Figure 6). In the ten years following World War 1, 30 million Kiwi nugget tins had been sold around the globe (Wolfe, 1991).

War-art also reflected the rise of Kiwi as a metaphoric person identifier. Trevor Lloyd’s cartoon (see Figure 7) prompted the caption: “A kiwi bird has speared three turkeys through the middle, making their feathers fly” (National Library of New Zealand, 2014, para. 1).

Prior to 1915, the kiwi had appeared on a New Zealand Army military badge, as Elizabeth Mildon, Assistant Curator of Heraldry at the National Army Museum, Waiouru, confirmed:
The 2nd (South Canterbury) Regiment was formed on 17 March 1911, changing its name to the South Canterbury Battalion, which was made up of rifle volunteer units. There are images of the South Canterbury Battalion badge in both D A Corbett’s book *The Regimental Badges of New Zealand* and Geoff Oldham’s *Badges and Insignia of the New Zealand Army*. Corbett dates the badge at 1886 whereas Oldham has it at 1903 (further research indicates that the 1886 date is the correct one). This badge features the Maltese cross with the kiwi in the centre and went on to become the WWI cap and collar badge for the 2nd (South Canterbury) Regiment. From this date onwards, the kiwi features regularly on New Zealand badges both official and unofficial. The kiwi is still used on badges today for the Royal New Zealand Infantry Regiment. (personal communication, 20 January, 2015)

As the result of war and Kiwi-branded nugget tins, Wolfe (1991) noted that “the obvious association was made and everyone began calling the New Zealander[s] a ‘kee-wee’ … by the time another World War came around, ‘Kiwi’ was second nature” (p. 36).

Again, art reflected an emergent if somewhat dominating Kiwi identity (see Figure 8). Lloyd’s *Death of a Moa* (Cresswell, 2006) depicts two kiwis standing in quizzical gaze over the corpse of a moa. Other birds observe; they defer to the kiwi’s elevated status. While Kiwi was promoted through boot polish (Wolfe, 1991) and military heraldry and art, Harper (2015, as cited in Stone, 2015) suggested that Kiwi was not used as an identifier between New Zealand soldiers in World War One. Rather, Harper (2015) suggested, it was applied to them by other soldiers.

The popularity of the term ‘Kiwi’ has not been universal. A. Bell (1999) and Wilkin-Slaney (2008) claimed that Kiwi was a problematic Pākehā identifier. As A. Bell (1999) noted:

> [The] appropriation of indigenous authenticity to give substance and distinctiveness to their own nationalist identity claims [suggests that] settler peoples are ‘inauthentic’ Others in relation to both the metropolitan/European and the indigene of the societies in which they live. They do not have ready access to a European identity. Nor are they able to easily claim an authentic belonging to and identity within their homelands … In

---

18 The kiwi appeared on banknotes issued by the Bank of New Zealand in the 1870s. The kiwi was also part of New Zealand Insurance’s symbol from 1859–1989 (Wolfe, 1991).
addition, they appropriate indigenous authenticity as a key figure in the assertion of their own cultural distinctiveness/authenticity. (p. 122)

Wilkin-Slaney (2008) inferred that using Kiwi attributed endangered species status to people who identified with or used it. Additionally, they proposed that in using Kiwi, Pākehā were absolved from the responsibility of their ancestors’ actions. Consequently, Kiwi facilitated a romanticised national history. Wilkin-Slaney (2008) pondered whether an introduced bird might not have been a better choice. Through their concerns, A. Bell (1999) and Wilkin-Slaney (2008) draw attention to how Kiwi’s vernacularity and use have helped to gloss over history, a claim that supports C. Bell’s (2014) suggestion that Pākehā privilege has promoted a “collective fictive history” (p. 45) that reinforces Pākehā primacy.

Today, the image of the kiwi and the use of the term ‘Kiwi’ are common and popular identifiers (Akoorie, 2014). A Colmar Brunton research survey revealed that 96% of 1009 respondents identified as being a Kiwi/New Zealander (Akoorie, 2014). The survey also noted that 70% of respondents held strong, positive feelings about being Kiwi. While A. Bell (1999) and Wilkin-Slaney (2008) cautioned Kiwi’s use, using the word bypasses the binary nature of Pākehā and Māori. Therefore, Kiwi could be perceived as a convenience identifier because it assuages, for many New Zealanders, the politics of identity and the social inequities associated with Pākehā and Māori. Consequently, like Pākehā and Māori, Kiwi is enmeshed within the politics of identity. As journalist and social commentator Paul Little (2014) commented: “Stick Kiwi in front of anything and people will buy it. It would be unpatriotic not to” (p. 41). He remarked on how Kiwi had slipped under the identity radar and become vernacular. Kiwi’s vernacularity not only includes its everyday use but also its taken for granted meanings and values (see the section “‘Kiwi’ in the Media” in Chapter 3: Background and Contextual Setting).

While Kiwi has political meaning, it also holds identity appeal to Māori. In recognition of kiwi as a Māori word and as the Colmar Brunton survey revealed, “people of Māori descent (81 per cent) ... [generally] identified most with being a Kiwi” (Akoorie, 2014, para. 11). Therefore, identifying as Kiwi has the potential for a more inclusive community than might Pākehā or Māori. That community, however, is not necessarily tangible

19 Particularly themes of Māori sovereignty, land appropriations, Māori equity and, as A. Bell (2017) reminds us, the [conveniently] “forgotten […] telling of national history” (p. 66).
(Anderson, 1991). As A. Bell (2017) commented, it “is not based on knowing our fellow New Zealanders, but on imagining our connection to them” (p. 58). Being Kiwi and performing ‘Kiwiness’ reinforces these bonds through the imaginings that are embodied within national identity (Billig, 1995). For New Zealanders, A. Bell (2017) suggested, national identity conferred “good feeling, solidarity and community” (p. 59). While three identities – Pākehā, Māori and Kiwi – dominate New Zealand’s socio-culture, lumping them together as mutually interchangeable identifiers is misleading. Identity interchangeability suggests equity. That is not the case with these identifiers because social, economic, identity and political equality have not been achieved (Rashbrooke, 2013). Despite Aotearoa New Zealand’s reputation as the “world’s social laboratory, a ‘workingman’s paradise’ where hard work and thrift paid off. Reality did not always match [the] rhetoric” (New Zealand History, 2018a, para. 4).

One way of differentiating Māori and Pākehā is to consider Gramsci’s (1971) construct of hegemony20. Hegemony pervades New Zealand’s identities. In particular, Pākehā hegemony has been reinforced by neoliberalism (Humpage, 2017). Humpage (2017) suggested that neoliberalism has changed New Zealand’s focus from “the ‘land of milk and honey’ to one prioritising ‘me and money’” (p. 132). For Māori, ‘me and money’ must be considered in light of their alienation from their land and culture (Rashbrooke, 2013; Walker, 2017), and their cultural emphasis on ‘we’ as the inclusive Māori identifier. Yet, neoliberalism has benefitted some Māori. The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (2017b) noted the rapid growth of the Māori business base that McNeill (2017) posited might reverse Māori poverty. For Poata-Smith (2013) however, “the growth of [Māori] income [has also created] gaps within Māori” (p. 148). Notwithstanding, many Māori still experience social, political, educational and medical inequities (Marriott and Sim, 2014; Sibley, Liu & Khan, 2008; Sibley, Robertson & Kirkwood, 2005).

While use of the term Kiwi circumvents the sensitive subject of identity inherent to Pākehā and Māori, it is also used politically. Former minister and, at the time of writing (2018), Speaker of the House Trevor Mallard and economist Robyn Dupuis provide

---

20 “Gramsci’s hegemony refers to a process of moral and intellectual leadership through which dominated or subordinate classes of post-1870 industrial Western European nations consent to their own domination by ruling classes, as opposed to being simply forced or coerced into accepting inferior positions” (Schwenz, 2014, para. 2).
examples. Mallard (2007) identified a distinct “Kiwi economic identity” linking “national economic personality [with] our national identity: Kiwi” (p. 27). He proposed that the connection between personality and identity was achieved through innovation, ‘number 8 wire’ thinking and technology. Positioning Kiwi as ‘the new kid on the block’, Mallard (2007) recommended that “as a young and nimble nation together we can take on the world and make the most of the opportunities presented to us – and in the process forge a unique Kiwi economy” (p. 28).

Separately, Dupuis (2009) maximised the values commonly associated with being Kiwi in her bid to encourage New Zealanders to save for their retirement. Applying some reverse psychology, Dupuis (2009) contrived to “use the clever Kiwi sensibility to suggest [to Kiwis] that [they] are simply too smart for problematic debt” (p. x) in not saving for retirement. By persuasively using Kiwi characteristics Dupuis (2009) reinforced their importance as widely recognised and taken-for-granted Kiwi qualities. Exemplifying this, Dupuis (2009) asked: “What does ‘being Kiwi’ say about New Zealanders’ abilities and inclinations to get sorted [to save for retirement]?” (p. iii). She used Kiwi inclusively. She linked being Kiwi with Kiwi attributes. Exemplifying that she linked the familiar “she’ll be right [Kiwi attitude]” (p. 23) to the laissez-faire perspectives of New Zealanders concerning retirement saving. Dupuis (2009) sought to “strategise desired behavior[s] [through the] ‘Kiwi-is[ation] of financial management” (p. x). To make her point, Dupuis (2009) manipulated savings behaviour by using Kiwi identity characteristics as her audience’s motivator.

What Dupuis (2009) proposed was that the attributes inherent in both Kiwi identity and kiwiana, including “she’ll be right” (Dupuis, 2009, p. 23), the mentality of the “good generous Kiwi bloke” (p. 49), the “number eight fencing wire mentality” (p. 50) and the famed ability of Kiwis to “punch above their weight” (p. 52), be used to strategically if not politically to motivate Kiwi savers.

Six years later, Colmar Brunton in the New Zealand Herald (Akoorie, 2014), reaffirmed Dupuis’ (2009) position. The survey found that Kiwis were innovative, entrepreneurial and can turn their hand to anything; other attributes included being sporty, competitive, and not being greatly intellectual (Akoorie, 2014). While Pringle (2017) affirmed that “the Pākehā man is associated with mateship, social independence, a do-it-yourself mentality, hard work and rugged or risky leisure pursuits such as rugby, hunting,
gambling and binge drinking” (p. 203), Mallard (2007), Dupuis (2009) and the New Zealand Herald survey (Akoorie, 2014) have shifted the focus of being Pākehā toward a revised, more inclusive Kiwi identity.

A further survey undertaken by the New Zealand Herald in 2016 reinforced much of the 2014 research (Wade, 2016). It also identified some differences. Respondents living in Auckland held slightly different perspectives to those living elsewhere. Aucklanders saw themselves as outdoorsy, innovative and proud of cultural diversity. The Auckland cohort also believed that they were less friendly and less Kiwi than other New Zealanders. The survey’s findings are noted in Table 19, below.

Table 19: Kiwi attributes, negatives and pride themes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What Kiwis are (Positives)</th>
<th>Percentage Agreement</th>
<th>What Kiwi are not (Negatives)</th>
<th>Percentage Agreement</th>
<th>What Kiwis have pride in</th>
<th>Percentage Agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friendly</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>Intellectual</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>Natural beauty</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proud</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>Sophisticated</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>Outdoor access</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can do attitude</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Can do attitude</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care about environment</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Laid back lifestyle</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy going / laid back</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sports achievement</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determined</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoorsy</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Equality</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. Adapted from the New Zealand Herald (Wade, 2016).*

In concluding this section, it is possible to say that Kiwi can be understood as a self-ascribed contemporary identifier of people from New Zealand. Kiwi is also a brand: Kiwi boot polish (Wolfe, 1991). Kiwi has military connections with army life and insignia (E. Mildon, personal communication, 20 January 2015) and in patriotically-themed art. Like Pākehā, the characteristics of being Kiwi can be linked to the country’s early settlers, especially men. Over time Kiwi identity has become imbued with a male-centric worldview. Exemplifying that view has been the construct of mateship. While Kiwi is widely used in media and politics, its self-ascription promotes the point that Kiwi may be an identifier of preference for many New Zealanders. That preference, supported by
research (Akoorie, 2014) deflects the politics of identity that are loaded within Pākehā and Māori identities. This makes research on Kiwi identity not only timely, but also significant when applied to migrants, a category of the population whose numbers have increased substantially over the last twenty years. Consequently, Kiwi opens up identity choices for all New Zealanders inasmuch as it provides anyone living in or identifying with New Zealand the choice to use it in ways in which Pākehā and Māori may not. Therefore, Kiwi invites the potential for a wider sense of community, incorporating ethnic difference and encompassing yet circumventing the Pākehā/Māori binary. My literature review choices and my own worldview indicate that within a Kiwi identity resides a limitless intersectionality of being. Additionally, Kiwi identity can also incorporate the characteristics of being Pākehā and Māori.

Kiwiana: An Introduction

The suffix ‘-ana’ denotes “a collection of objects or information relating to a particular individual, subject, or place: Shakespeareana, Victoriana, Americana” (Dictionary.com, 2017, para. 13). Similarly, Kiwiana reflects the materiality and information relating to being Kiwi. For Wolfe and Barnett (2001), kiwiana “celebrate[s] ... those quintessential customs and artefacts this country has made its own” (p. 7). According to Florek and Insch (2008), the origins of the word kiwiana date back to 1956. An incomplete trademark registration in 1980 left the name open for general use. Wolfe and Barnett (2001) logically suggested that kiwiana includes items within which New Zealanders recognise their identity. For sociologist C. Bell (2012a), kiwiana provides positive “symbols of the nation [within] material objects” (p. 278) … “imbued with accumulated meanings” (C. Bell, 2012d, p. 349). She considers that kiwiana is important because kiwiana differentiates New Zealanders from others (C. Bell, 1996, 2004, 2012a). Additionally, in Neill (2013a, 2013b), I proposed that kiwiana includes a range of vernacular items evoking emotions of times past rather than future possibilities. In these ways, kiwiana is important because it provides reassurance through material items of identity and therefore reinforces New Zealanders’ own perceptions of their place in the world. For C. Bell (2004), kiwiana’s material meaning is “one way of accumulating artefacts of Pākehā history and Pākehā experience, to claim [an] identity” (p. 180). Within her claim, she identifies a linguistic flaw: if kiwiana reflects being Pākehā, then why is it not called Pakeh-ana? The answer to that question resides in the contested binary of Māori and Pākehā. It creates either/or
positioning. Linking kiwiana to a Kiwi identity not only aligns it linguistically, but it also provides wider choice and possibilities for inclusion. Choice and inclusion are key constructs within my participants’ experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Their views will help address the linguistic anomaly C. Bell (2004) identified.

Cataloguing kiwiana, Wolfe and Barnett (2001) include Wattie’s peas, Ches ‘n Dale (cartoon characters in a processed cheese advertising), bungy jumping, rugby, grass, sheep, pōhutukawa, the godwit, New Zealand (the country), kiwi (the bird), number 8 wire, Buzzy Bee (a toy), sheep dogs (specifically ‘Dog’ from the cartoon Footrot Flats), ice cream, pāua, cabbage trees, corrugated iron, the Edmonds logo (baking products), Four Square shops, jandals, Lemon and Paeroa (soft drink), New Zealand Railways cups, the silver fern, the Swanndri (outdoor clothing), the Taranaki gate (a makeshift type of farm gate), Weet-Bix (cereal), the colour black (and the All Blacks), and the bach or crib. Florek and Insch (2008) proposed that “chocolate fish, hei tiki (a Māori greenstone neck pendant), Marmite (a dark salty spread) and … the koru” (p. 294) were also kiwiana. New Zealand Post (2015) also included ANZAC biscuits, a barbecue, a chilly bin, chocolate fish, fish and chips, hot-dogs, kiwifruit, a lilo, a meat pie, pavlova, pipis, and Ugg boots.

C. Bell (2013), a champion of kiwiana, described it as “popular cultural items that distinctly reference New Zealand [that were] locally manufactured items originating mainly in the 1940s–50s, when import restrictions limited the availability of household goods” (p. 10-11). Carlyon and Morrow (2013) speculated that innovation was important because, after World War Two, “returning to a comfortable life of plenty was not immediate, for either veterans or the general populace” (p. 11). Then, an inwards-

Until the mid-1990s it was argued that the New Zealand of the 1940s was a uniformly dull and conformist society which was harsh on dissenters and which labelled women who wished to remain engaged within the public sphere in preference to homemaking and child raising as ‘deviants’. (p. 188)

However, McKergow (2000) challenged these notions, proposing that a deeper understanding of that time was needed. McKergow’s (2000) perspective was supported by Labrum’s (2000) suggestion that post-war New Zealand was “riven with contradictions, tensions and ambiguities” (p. 188).

Carlyon and Morrow (2013) continued these themes. They suggested that a less conservative way of being percolated under a convenient veneer of conformity, actively challenging the existing order of things. That thinking was not new. Milner (1932, as cited in King, 2003) suggested that “we stand on the threshold of a new order […] looking about us for suitable weapons in case the door has to be broken down. You will find this new spirit informing the work of our best younger poets” (p. 380). What Milner (1932, as cited in King, 2003) and Carlyon and Morrow (2013) recognised was that, under a conservative veneer, many people were engaged in “site[s] of struggle” (Cohen, 2012, p. 141).

Economically, it was not until the 1950s and 1960s that “the country basked in a long spell of golden weather” (Carlyon & Morrow, 2013, p. 3). Consequently, items of kiwiana came to symbolise that period in a rose-tinted view of life in Aotearoa New Zealand (Neill, 2013a, 2013b). Over time, kiwiana became imbued with the attributes inherent in being Kiwi. My own previous research (Neill, 2013a, 2013b) has detailed those associations, for example linking the Buzzy Bee toy to industriousness (Neill, 2013b). Industriousness was seen not only in the clearing of the land (Phillips, 1987) but also in the ways subsequent industries maximised that land’s bounty. As I have previously posited, in relation to the Buzzy Bee and Wattie’s Industries, the resurgence of turning your hand to anything, the mateship of work, and being innovative through necessity was reinforced (Neill, 2013). Additionally, I have pointed out that wearing the Swanndri, a signifier of hard manual work, reflected the physicality of settlers who cleared the land,
and which is now reflected by farmers, Power Board employees and forestry workers who choose to wear it today (Neill, 2013).

Claims that items of non-indigenous kiwiana are unique to New Zealand have been challenged (C. Bell, 1996, 2004, 2012a, 2012b, 2014; Florek & Insch, 2008; New Zealand Post, 2015). I have asserted that the Swanndri, jandals, Buzzy Bee and Wattie’s Industries originated somewhere else (Neill, 2013b). Specifically, my research claimed that “jandal[s] derived from Japanese traditional footwear, the Swanndri from the German Loden jacket, the Buzzy Bee from an early American Fisher Price toy and that Wattie’s Industries were modelled on other overseas cannery operations” (Neill, 2013b, p. 101).

I have also critiqued kiwiana’s retrospection (as quoted in Powley, 2013). I observed that existing kiwiana focussed on the past and questioned if and when contemporary icons such as the beckoning cat (the Maneki-Neko21, a commonplace item in many Asian-owned retail outlets in New Zealand) and Auckland’s White Lady pie cart (Neill, 2013b) would be considered as kiwiana. Acknowledging the popularity of the Maneki-Neko, Wang and Ngamsiriudom (2015) discussed how some airlines had moved toward introducing livery representing fictional characters such as Hello Kitty22 and Pokemon23. Livery identifiers are subtle and potent influencers that reinforce identity. Livery are often passively accepted art forms of generalised identity which reflect the persuasive nature of nationalism (Billig, 1995). Referencing Japan, Wang and Ngamsiriudom (2015) noted:

In 1998, All Nippon Airways (ANA) puts Pokemon livery on its aircraft and offers a complete Pokemania experience to its customers during the flight. As a result of the Pokemon campaign, ANA reported a significant increase in the number of customers. (p. 112)

Wang and Ngamsiriudom (2015) observed that airline travel exposed its consumers to ideas of escape and nostalgia. Air New Zealand may have capitalised upon those themes too. Many of their jets are emblazoned with a stylised unfurling fern frond: the koru.

21 “Maneki-neko (literally means ‘beckoning cat’) is a common Japanese lucky figurine that depicts a cat beckoning with an upright paw. Maneki-neko is normally displayed in front of shops, restaurants or other businesses to bring good luck to the owner. Maneki-neko is also known as welcoming cat, lucky cat, money cat, happy cat, or fortune cat.” (Wang & Ngamsiriudom, 2015, p. 112).
22 “Designed by Yuko Shimizu … Hello Kitty is a fictional character portraying a female white Japanese cat with a red bow. [It] was originally marketed to pre-adolescent female group; however, its market has been broadened to include adult consumers. The character has become not only a huge success, but also a staple of the Japanese popular culture.” (Wang & Ngamsiriudom, 2015, p. 112).
23 Pokémon is a collection of fictional creatures.
koru is an item of kiwiana (Wolfe & Barnett, 2001). In using the koru, Air New Zealand appeals to themes of escape via travel as well as a nostalgia evoked by knowing that the koru is the silver fern: a kiwiana signifier of home (Wolfe & Barnett, 2001). Air New Zealand’s distinctive livery has made the airline recognisable to almost every New Zealander.

C. Bell (2012a) illustrated how Māori culture and materiality have impacted kiwiana. While asserting that kiwiana reflected “a confident nationalism … [demonstrating] bicultural localism” (p. 275), she also noted that “Māoriana is a subcategory of this [kiwiana]” (p. 180). Within that observation, C. Bell (2012a) reflects on how the country’s bifurcated identity hierarchy is supporting Pākehā dominance.

Māori materiality is also seminal to kiwiana. Examination of multiple taxonomies of kiwiana revealed nine indigenous items (Florek & Insch, 2008; New Zealand Post, 2015; Wolfe & Barnett, 2001). These included: the pōhutukawa, karoro/kuaka (the godwit), the kiwi, pāua, tī kōuka (the cabbage tree), ponga (silver fern), pipi, the hei tiki and the koru. Their inclusions might cynically represent a “bicultural localism” (see C. Bell, 2012a, p. 275); however that position has been transcended by a more popular position suggesting that, in lacking a culture of its own, Pākehā simply took one from Māori (Awatere, 1984). A. Bell (1999) proposed that Pākehā appropriated “indigenous authenticity to give substance and distinctiveness to their own nationalist identity claims” (p. 122). Mikaere (2004) supported that position:

Little wonder that Pākehā New Zealand struggles with the question of identity, seeking to create cultural icons of gumboots, black singlets, pavlova, kiwifruit and the Buzzy Bee toy. When travelling overseas Pākehā leap forward to perform bastardised versions of the haka and ‘Pokarekare Ana’ and adorn themselves with Māori pendants in an attempt to identify themselves as New Zealanders. (p. 35)

Nevertheless, kiwiana and its imbued meanings are enthusiastically embraced by many New Zealanders.

**Materiality Matters, Space, Place and Identity in Otorohanga**

Exemplifying the appropriation of Māori culture is the North Island town of Otorohanga. Otorohanga has made Kiwi identity and kiwiana its point of difference in an effort to distinguish itself from other townships (Kiwianatown, 2015a).
Otorohanga gives credence to C. Bell’s (1996) claim that New Zealanders need constant identity reminders and Mikaere’s (2004) observation that identity is often enacted through performance. Otorohanga is not the only town embracing Kiwi identity, kiwiana or other items as distinctive local attractions. The town of Gore has its trout (see Figure 11), Rakaia its salmon, Ohakune a carrot, Taihape its gumboot and Te Puke its kiwifruit (see Figure 12). These towns embody Hobsbawm and Ranger’s (1983) notion of invented tradition because they actively seek to maximise the continuity inherent within the values of being Kiwi and its materiality, kiwiana. According to Kiwanatown (2015a), however, it is Otorohanga that is the official ‘Kiwiana Town’ and epitome of all things ‘Kiwi’. Otorohanga “celebrate[s] our national identity with Kiwiana displays of our NZ icons, heroes and traditions” (Kiwanatown, 2015a, para. 1). As Otorohanga’s mayor, Max Baxter, explained:

The Otorohanga Kiwi house is our town’s popular tourist destination, however, in the 90’s the town lacked a vibrancy and appeal that would inspire travellers to stop or an identity that would instil pride within our community. Introducing the iconic Kiwiana signage and history to our town was a natural link with what was already here and satisfied those needs. The iconic Kiwiana items on display are a significant part in identifying who we are as New Zealanders. The kiwiana theme is associated with positivity, warmth and fond memories, which is Otorohanga in a nutshell. That is why Kiwiana is important to Otorohanga as our point of difference. (personal communication, 12 January, 2016)
Otorohanga’s investment in kiwiana benefits the town through employment and tourism (Tourism Industry Association, 2014). Its emphasis on kiwiana and Kiwi identity has made national identity local and instilled a civic pride in the town’s residents. Civic pride reflects Sands and Beverland’s (2010) assertion that the Kiwi identity and, by extension, kiwiana are positive identifiers for New Zealanders.

Figure 13: Kiwiana posters for sale in Otorohanga.
Source: Kiwianatown (2015a).

Figure 14: ANZAC kiwiana.

Figure 15: Kiwi slang.

Figure 16: Māoriana.

Source: Kiwianatown (2015b).
The OE: Materiality Matters, I’m Outta Here and I’m Taking that Hei Tiki with me!

Aotearoa New Zealand’s geographical isolation promoted the development of Pākehā settler characteristics that are still evidenced today (Dupuis, 2009; Mallard, 2007). Blainey’s (2001) tyranny of distance has been replaced today by the movement of many young New Zealanders who choose to travel in the opposite direction to their forebears. That movement is vernacularly known as the OE: the overseas experience.

Spoonley (1991) proposed that the OE was “part of an important coming of age Pākehā ritual” (p. 148). C. Bell (2002) concurred, and noted that the OE was a “rite of passage for young adults [within] an extended journey overseas” (p. 143). For C. Bell (2002), the OE represented an “Antipodean secular pilgrimage ... [that was] just as important as religious pilgrimages” (p. 146). Wilson (2014) on the other hand suggested that the OE existed long before it was named as such:

those who set off from New Zealand in the 1950s, 1960s and 1970s now recognise what they did as an OE. Back then [however] it was described as ‘going Home’, as a working holiday ... the first use of the term OE is attributed to Tom Scott 24 in the mid-1970s. (p. 189)

As C. Bell (2002) observed, the OE came to replace the OT (overseas trip). Wilson (2014) defined the OE as “a two- to three-year working holiday during which young New Zealanders usually live and work in London and [then] travel extensively in Europe” (p. 13). C. Bell (2002) suggested that a key component of the OE was the anonymity that the large European populations provided Kiwis. Anonymity gave the necessary cover for Kiwis to participate in actions that were unimaginable back home such as taking “a ‘booze tour’ on a Kontiki bus ... smok[ing] drugs in Amsterdam, or dancing topless at parties”, (C. Bell, 2002). Stereotypically, the OE consisted of groups of young Kiwis roughing it in VW campervans, with Oktoberfest stop-offs and Spanish bull-running participation, although travel was also characterised by its banality and the fact that most travellers returned home eventually (Wilson, 2014). Ell (1994) proposed that the OE enabled Kiwis to escape New Zealand’s conservative narrowness whereas C. Bell (2002) suggested that it “exemplifie[d] the national do-it-yourself attitude, [and realised] part of the tradition of Kiwi ingenuity” (p. 150).

24 A popular political commentator/journalist.
Many Kiwis take items of kiwiana with them on their OE as reminders of home. Those items also provide signs/symbols for others to read. Common items taken on an OE include “displays of Māori culture […] greenstone jewellery and Māori designs on clothing” (Wilson, 2014, p. 199). Other OE identifiers are Canterbury branded clothing, All Blacks sportswear, and the Swanndri and its later version, the Icebreaker (Wilson, 2014). In London, homesick Kiwis can find material comfort in a range of London stores supplying Kiwi necessities. These, as Wilson (2014) noted, include “All Black essentials […] sew-on patches with New Zealand motifs […] koru pendants […] L&P, Pineapple lumps […] Jaffas […] Wattie’s Tomato Sauce […] and Peanut Slabs” (pp. 200-201).

Wilson (2014) recommended that the best way to see how many Kiwis are in London on their OE is to participate in the pub crawl held on Waitangi Day. This ritual involves “thousands of people wearing all forms of kiwiana — Tui/Speight’s/DB shirts, All Blacks jerseys, beige cricket outfits, inflatable sheep, [and] provincial rugby jerseys … anything that had a connection to their place of birth” (Wilson, 2014, p. 222). This highlights C. Bell’s (2012a) observation that “material items are implicated in the wider socio-cultural processes which imbue them with value” (p. 275) and D. Bell’s (2003) emphasis on the socio-temporal relevance of myth. In this way, kiwiana and the OE exemplify the rhizomatic nature of Kiwi identity and materiality (see the section “The Rhizome: An Overview” in Chapter 2: Theoretical and Conceptual Framework) in their transfer through the movement of people.

**Myths of Kiwi Identity and Kiwiana**

This literature review has noted forty-four items of kiwiana (Florek & Insch, 2008; New Zealand Post, 2015; Wolfe & Barnett, 2001). Those items link myths of identity and identity characteristics to the wider concept of national identity (Billig, 1995) and mythscape (D. Bell, 2003). My literature review also recognises the following myths and their socio-temporal placement of D. Bell’s (2003) mythscape.

- That the egalitarian worldview and the ‘golden weather’.
- That the dominance of men can be challenged by exploring New Zealand’s history.
- That brawn is valued more than brains.

The following sections explore those three domains.
Egalitarianism and the ‘Golden Weather’

Settler land ownership facilitated the myth of egalitarianism (C. Bell, 1997; McAloon, 2008). Additionally, Wray (2011) observed that New Zealand’s wilderness promoted feelings of freedom and egalitarianism for settlers. With settlement came economic prosperity, and social and economic reform. Social changes “confirm[ed] in the minds of New Zealanders that their country was indeed the ‘social laboratory of the world’” (Phillips, 2012, para. 11). However, during colonisation, and latterly, that laboratory, “systematically excluded [Māori] by settler governments from many egalitarian measures” (Rashbooke, 2013, p. 25). Māori disenfranchisement was perpetuated “often through punitive or coercive means” (Rashbrooke, 2013, p. 25). Nonetheless, government and social reforms influenced the ways in which Kiwis perceived themselves. Sidney and Beatrice Webb, visitors to New Zealand in 1898, observed that while the country and its people were reminiscent of England (Webb, 1959) there was a lack of intellectual life and public libraries. However, the Webbs’ enthusiasm that there were “neither millionaires or slums” (Phillips, 2012, para. 10) compensated for that perceived lack.

Then, Siegfried (1914) noted that:

Many New Zealanders are honestly convinced that the attention of the whole world is concentrated upon them, waiting with curiosity and even with anxiety to see what they will say and do next … they have been so accustomed to being taken seriously that they have become conscious of a mission to humanity … Like provincial celebrities who, coming to Paris, feel that everyone is looking at them, the New Zealanders, in their distant isolation, think that they fill a great place in the world (pp. 58-59).

While Siegfried’s (1914) position could be seen as an expression of Kiwis being full of themselves, his statement is also underpinned by an important assumption. That assumption suggests that in being full of themselves, Kiwis were positioning themselves as exemplars, within their own worldviews. Exemplar status promoted the belief, albeit fictitiously, that their world and the way it appeared to others was grounded in the equity Kiwis believed they experienced. However, between the Webbs’ visit and World War Two, Aotearoa New Zealand endured significant social change that reinforced the nation’s lack of egalitarianism. Exemplifying that were my ancestors Samuel and Jean Manson, for whom, as loyal servants to the Dean family, land ownership was not a priority. The Mansons had to repay their fares to New Zealand to the Deans by working for them for a set period. Only after that could they begin saving to buy land. As
indentured servants, their relationship to the Deans was hardly an equal one: it was a master–servant relationship.

While Aotearoa New Zealand has enjoyed economic prosperity, that prosperity has not been equally distributed. During the early boom years of the 1880s (Hunter, 2007), employment inequity was widespread. As Vogel (1875), the Premier of New Zealand (1873-1875), recorded:

the [New Zealand] Company monopolised all the labour they imported: and there was no stipulation of a fair day’s work for a fair day’s wages ... private capitalists found themselves unable to compete with the Company in the labour market (p. 175).

Similarly, the Depression of the 1930s resulted in many Māori becoming unemployed, before Pākehā (King, 2003). As O’Regan and Mahuika (1993) stated, “in the 1930’s, Māori were denied the dole on a belief that they could look after themselves better than Pākehā by living off the land” (p. 5). There was the widespread perception that Māori could take care of themselves by “go[ing] home to the pa” (King, 2003, p. 343).

While the post-World War Two economic boom facilitated the nation’s recovery, that recovery was not equally experienced. Rashbrooke (2013) observed that less than 10% of married women were in formal paid employment, half the number of their English and American counterparts of the time. Although women’s wages rose during that time, they had not achieved parity with men’s (Rashbrooke, 2013). Further iniquity undermining the egalitarian myth was demonstrated by the fact that wages for Māori during the Depression were 38% less than those of Pākehā (Rashbrooke, 2013).

However, adding to the myth of egalitarianism was the nation’s period of ‘golden weather’. That period lasted from 1952-1966. Then, the economy achieved 86.46% growth over 58 consecutive quarters. Consequently, the ‘golden weather’ period of 1952-1966 was a time of economic prosperity. Prosperity was fuelled by the country’s post-war economic recovery and soaring wool prices. However, by 1976, inflation was at 18% “and the country had slipped from fourth position on the OECD list of wealthy nations to around seventeenth” (Carlyon & Morrow, 2013, p. 185). Yet the ‘golden weather’ is fondly recalled as the time when you could leave the front door to your house open, or unlocked, and nothing untoward would happen.
A further deflating of the egalitarian myth occurred when the United Kingdom joined the European Economic Community in January 1973 (BBC, 2008). When that happened, New Zealand was cast adrift from its most reliable export market. Consequently, New Zealand had to find itself new export partners in a globalising economy. While that period reinforced the spirit of innovation, New Zealand began to find its own way in the world. However, larger political and economic forces were at work. Those forces of neoliberalism would forever change the face of what it meant to be a New Zealander. Rogernomics was New Zealand’s initial foray into neoliberalism. Neoliberalism, as Humpage (2017) observed, “constructs us all as self-interested, rational beings in pursuit of material advantage, even if it contributes to growing inequalities and precarious work lives for many citizens” (p. 121). By its very nature, neoliberalism promotes inequity.

In 2018, Aotearoa New Zealand lives in the shadow of neoliberalism. Constructs such as user pays, private health and private education typify the growing void between rich and poor. As Rashbrooke (2014) summed it up:

In the two decades from 1985 onwards, New Zealand had the biggest increase in income gaps of any developed country. Incomes for the richest Kiwis doubled, while those of the poorest stagnated. Middle income earners didn’t do too well either (para. 3).

**Dispelling the Myth of Maleness: That Brawn is Valued More Than Brains**

From the 1800s New Zealand’s early settlement was dominated by men (Bentley, 2007; McAloon, 2008; Phillips, 2015). The physicality of settler’s early whaling activities, land clearing, and establishment of settlements necessitated strength (see Figure 17). Those occupations were, without modern technologies, not activities for the faint-hearted.

Crotty (2001) observed that the pioneer man “provided an imaginative escape from the effects of an effeminate civilisation and were employed as cultural symbols in the construction of rugged masculinity” (pp. 20-21). The resilience and physical stoicism of Kiwi men was reinforced by war. As Loveridge (2013) related, “when a doctor asked a New Zealand soldier in the Boer War why he was not killed when
he fell off a bridge he replied, ‘oh, New Zealanders are very tough’” (p. 131). Phillips (1996) proposed that stereotyped ways of being a Pākehā man derived from two socio-temporally unconnected occurrences. The first reflected the characteristics of the hard-working pioneer settler man. The second emerged in the 1920s when it reflected the development of that rough settler into an urbanised family man. Justifying his position, Phillips (1996) used a weight-of-evidence argument inasmuch as men outnumbered women in early settlement years. That ratio and its imbalance developed mateship, the relationships between men within their work and leisure lives, in other words, their homosociality. As Phillips (1996) observed, “drinking was without doubt the most important and defining ritual of the male [settler] community” (p. 35).

As Pringle (2017) noted, “the image of the Pākehā [Kiwi] man is associated with mateship, social independence, a do-it-yourself mentality, hard work, and rugged or risky leisure pursuits such as rugby, hunting, gambling and binge drinking” (p. 203). Those activities and characteristics have developed the archetypal Kiwi male, each reflecting aspects of Phillip’s (1996) and Pringle’s (2017) stereotypes.

However, the roles of both men and women at the time of settlement, in Aotearoa New Zealand, were highly prescribed. That prescription incorporated Hughes’ (2013) construct of ‘separate spheres’. Separate spheres “rested on a definition of the ‘natural’ characteristics of women and men. Women were considered physically weaker yet morally superior to men, which meant that they were best suited to the domestic sphere” (para. 3). In 19th century New Zealand, this was enacted inasmuch as “women were expected mostly to be wives, mothers and homemakers. Men were expected to support their wives and children financially and to represent them in public affairs” (Else, 2011, para. 3). Furthermore, wives held no property or economic rights: all money and property belonged to their husbands (Else, 2011). As in many countries, at that time, the role of women within wider socio-culture was compromised by biological determinants and a social structure set up by men that gave preference to men.

However, to totally subscribe to that view is to only relate half of the story of gender roles in Aotearoa New Zealand. While Aotearoa New Zealand was the first to give women the right to vote (in 1893), and allow women to stand for parliament (from 1919), women have made significant and often controversial contributions to the nation and to the concept of being Kiwi. Those contributions represent a “site of struggle” (Cohen, 2012,
p. 141) in which many women have engaged over prolonged periods of time. Those struggles have benefitted men and women. Outstanding exemplars of women engaging in struggle have included Ettie Rout\textsuperscript{25} and Helena Bernard\textsuperscript{26}. Both women, in their different ways, were ahead of their times. Rout and Bernard provided inspirational leadership models for others to follow. Recognising this, I have suggested that the ‘birth of a nation’ myth needed revising inasmuch as the myth currently negates the role of women in the nation’s war history despite borrowing from their exclusive domain in naming its origin as ‘birth’ (Neill, 2013).

Within the triad that encompasses the hard work needed for colonisation, the separate spheres of gender and the inequitable experience of women in New Zealand’s socioculture, it is little surprise that the myth of maleness and brawn has prevailed. It is evidenced today as Barton (2012) recounted:

In his essay The Public Intellectual is a Dog, Auckland University English Department lecturer Stephen Turner sums up the problem: Just talking about public intellectuals make you, or rather me in this case, a wanker rather than a well-rounded bloke (para. 3).

Again, this reflects that Kiwi intellectual contributions are bound in an unhealthy binary: brains or brawn. That un-healthiness has been noted in Finch’s (2014) observation that the innovative ‘number 8 wire’ thinking is actually not beneficial to the economy. Illustrating the lack of value Kiwis place on intellectual property, Finch (2014) noted the following stories:

In 1884 John Eustace, a Dunedin tinsmith, invented the airtight lid. This invention is still used on containers such as paint cans and tins of golden syrup. He sent his prototype to England to have a die made in order to mass-produce it but did not take out a patent on it. Soon many British companies began making lids using the Eustace design. One company even offered Eustace thousands of pounds for the rights to it, before realising they could legally copy it for nothing. (para. 18)

In the 1880s Thomas Brydone and William Davidson pioneered the export of frozen butter and mutton from New Zealand to Britain by retro-fitting a compression refrigeration unit to the Dunedin. At the time, sheep were only farmed in New Zealand for wool. Assorted experiments in refrigerated shipping had been attempted in the mid-1870s – sometimes successful on a small scale – but generally not successful on a larger scale. The first attempt to ship refrigerated sheep meat from Australia had resulted in

\textsuperscript{25} A World War One advocate of sexual health for servicemen.

\textsuperscript{26} A Kiwi housewife who baked four and a half tonnes of gingernuts and sent them to soldiers during World Wars One and Two (Neill, 2013a).
the loss of the whole cargo. Although founding an entirely new industry, Brydone and Davidson obtained no formal IP protection for the method. (para. 19)

A new fruit that became popular in New Zealand from the 1930s was first named the Chinese gooseberry, because the seeds had been imported from China. New Zealand growers began exporting the fruit to the US in the 1950s. It was the height of the Cold War and growers were advised to change the name to make their product more politically appealing. The name ‘kiwifruit’ was proposed in 1959 and later became standard. However New Zealand growers did not register the ‘kiwifruit’ trademark internationally, so any country in the world could use it. Italy is now the world’s leading kiwifruit producer. (para. 20)

There is more to Aotearoa New Zealand’s narrative than its male-centric history. In A History of New Zealand Women, Brookes (2016) challenged Carlyle’s (1841/2018) view that history was reflected in the biographies of great men. Instead, she posited that while men helped the nation evolve, women forged its socio-culture. In doing so, Brookes (2016) acknowledged the importance of the caring and nurturing roles of women, characteristics that contributed to the nation’s development. Brookes’ (2016) research recognised women’s history and contemporary being as “site[s] of struggle” (Cohen, 2012, p. 141). Over time, that struggle has been reflected in the gender inequity that has disadvantaged Māori and Pākehā women to varying degrees.

Society’s emphasis on women as homemakers and mothers has created a mind-set of taken-for-granted-ness (Billig, 1995). Nonetheless, the position that caring is not valued has been actively and contemporarily challenged (Brookes, 2016; Waring, 1988). Brookes (2016) drew a parallel between the large number of war memorials throughout New Zealand and Plunket Rooms. For her, Plunket Rooms represented memorials to motherhood in that they were as valuable and commonplace as the nation’s war memorials. In this way, Brookes (2016) revealed the importance of women in Anderson’s (1991) imagined communities and Billig’s (1995) taken-for-granted nationalism.

Kiwi women have embraced – and in many cases surpassed – the attributes unconditionally attributed to Kiwi men. During the Depression in the 1930s, for example, women’s innovation literally supported young men. Figure 18 shows a pair of short liners, or underwear,
made from discarded flour sacks. As Pollock (2013) noted, “The material was soft and prevented the legs and buttocks from being chafed by the coarse wool of the shorts” (para. 1). In making something from nothing, women displayed the ‘number 8 wire’ attitude generally attributed to men. Nonetheless, to dwell upon attributes in similar examples is to continue to stereotype and to ignore women’s wider role in social history.

As early settlers, women were a minority. Their scarcity made them sought after (Elphick, 1975) and provided many with entrepreneurial opportunities. Consequently, women worked on farms, became dressmakers or milliners (Brookes, 2016). These opportunities contrasted with the class restrictions many had experienced in their home countries.

The opportunities were, however, tempered by legislation such as the Married Women’s Property Act of 1884. Prior to Pākehā settlement, Māori women enjoyed full property rights but with the enactment of this legislation, land owned by a Māori woman or settler bride was forfeited in title to their husband. While opportunity benefitted some women, legislation often did not.

Settler New Zealand was known as a drinker’s paradise. Early settler women were instrumental in forming, in 1884, the Women’s Temperance Union. While this institution had limited success in curtailing alcohol consumption, it was Kate Sheppard’s Christchurch branch that facilitated the early stages of action promoting voting rights for women. After a series of petitions and debate, women gained voting rights on 8 September 1893 (Brookes, 2016). Health concerns among the female population prompted an initiative led by Ettie Rout to form the New Zealand Volunteer Sisterhood with the goal of caring for soldiers in Egypt and for their sexual health in particular (Neill, 2013a). Rout advocated for prophylactics and recommended sexual services for soldiers in Paris that met her pre-established hygiene standards (Neill, 2013a). Rout was ahead of her time, although her initiatives were not embraced by the New Zealand or British military. France, on the other hand, recognised Rout’s pioneering initiatives and awarded her the Reconnaissance Française medal (Neill, 2013a).

Similarly, other women made significant contributions to the nation’s war effort. Many wrote letters to soldiers, joined patriotic societies, baked or knitted items for soldiers (Brookes, 2016; Neill, 2013). Exemplifying this was Helena Marion Bernard (also known as the Gingernut Lady). Bernard made four and a half tonnes of gingernuts and sent the
biscuits to soldiers during both World Wars (Neill, 2013a). World War Two, in particular, provided further work opportunities for many Kiwi women. With the conscription of 306,000 men, women were called upon to undertake many of the jobs previously held by men. Nonetheless, the return of men from war signalled a return to pre-war gender roles.

The opening up of the New Zealand economy and social changes from the 1960s onwards, however, promoted a second wave of feminism and the heralding of “Girls can do anything” (Cook, 2011, para. 1). By 2001, New Zealand was known as a “Women’s Land” (New Statesman, 2001, as cited in Brookes, 2016, p. 471) with the four most prominent positions – Prime Minister, Governor-General, Attorney-General and Chief Justice – held by women. Women continue to play leading roles in the country’s governance and businesses.

Another change that has, ironically, benefitted women has been the perception of the homemaker as ‘domestic goddess’. While the stereotype of woman as homemaker has generated their taken-for-granted-ness, women including Englishwoman Nigella Lawson and Kiwi Nadia Lim have turned domestic tasks into valued ways of being that have come to contrast previous perceptions.

Despite women’s significant contributions to the constructs of nationhood, New Zealand’s history is a work in progress. Brookes (2016) suggested that, as New Zealand becomes more diverse because of inward migration and globalised technologies, the nation’s future lies in the hands of young people. And as Brookes (2016) concluded, “We now rely on them to imagine a future where the challenges of both respect for diversity and a commitment to equality can be met” (p. 483).

**Concluding the Literature Review**

Kiwi identity and kiwiana represent male-centric values. These values began within the characteristics that early Pākehā settlers brought with them or developed later. While an abundance of literature exists discussing Kiwi identity, much of it uses the established positions of the seminal authors upon which my literature review concentrates. Consequently, as noted previously, I have not included derivative works in my literature

27 “A Domestic Goddess has skills in food, decorating, sewing, throwing a party, knitting, baking and more. She may or may not be married. ‘She’ might even be male” (Urban Dictionary, 2018a, definition 3, para. 2).
review. Derivative research has nonetheless perpetuated Kiwi characteristics and their manifestation within kiwiana and myth. Perpetuation has added currency to unchallenged conceptions of kiwiana and Kiwi identity and necessitates their questioning. My questioning strikes at the heart of being Pākehā, albeit in the guise of being and becoming a Kiwi. In this way, my literature review has shown that, unlike Māori and Pākehā, identifying as Kiwi does, by comparison, avoid the politics of identity inherent in being Māori and Pākehā. Nonetheless, my literature review has also revealed that Kiwi identity is not devoid of political rhetoric.

While contemporary research reinforces older, seminal literature, my literature review has revealed that contemporary literature does not take account of migrant perspectives on Kiwi identity and kiwiana, and so it identifies a gap in the literature to which my thesis contributes. Similarly, my critical approach to existing literature highlights the importance of my use of D. Bell’s (2003) mythscape inasmuch as mythscape recognises socio-temporality. Using mythscape has enhanced my realisation that what was once important and relevant may not be so important today; that the myths and materiality supporting a Kiwi identity are changing. Consequently, mythscape has the potential to reconstruct myth and identity by considering what my participants, as migrants, perceive as relevant to Kiwi identity and kiwiana. These realisations provide rich and deep insights which can inform and extend my findings in the final Discussion and Conclusion chapter of the thesis.
Chapter 5: Methodology

Precis: Chapter Overview

This chapter presents my research methodology and its application. To obtain and analyse data I used qualitative approaches including qualitative description, PhotoVoice, focus groups and interviews. Participant discussions through interview and focus groups were transcribed and then thematically analysed. This chapter not only outlines those processes, but also explores my methodological journey as a researcher.

This methodological journey has been critical to my research and professional growth. I took my time. I considered multiple research frameworks before realising which one provided my best method. What I had initially planned (hermeneutic phenomenology) later proved unsuitable. My change from this to qualitative description reflected my progression as researcher with the realisation that thinking about research and doing research were, in many ways, dissimilar.

Consequently, this chapter explores and extends my research journey. Here, I present my research methodology options, culminating in an explanation of how qualitative description became my primary choice. I also explore how thematic analysis within qualitative description revealed participant themes that hermeneutic phenomenology could not. In this chapter, I also describe my pilot study, and how my participants used PhotoVoice.

Introduction to Methodology

The operationalisation of my research knowledge base, and my conceptual and theoretical frameworks, was realised in my selection of methodology. Over time my methodology was adapted to best suit my research topic. Those iterative adaptations helped form my method. My observations on this process followed Grant and Giddings (2002), who noted that methodology concerns “the abstract theoretical assumptions and principles that underpin a particular research approach, often developed within specific scientific or social science disciplines. It guides how a researcher frames the research question and decides on the process and methods to use” (p. 12). Further, Grant and Giddings (2002)
suggested that “methods are the practical means, the tools, for collecting and analysing data” (p. 12).

Initially, hermeneutic phenomenology was my preferred methodology. However, as I became immersed in my participants’ data, I realised that hermeneutic phenomenology did not fit with my participants’ experience. Hermeneutic phenomenology did not illuminate my participant narratives to best research advantage. It was unsuitable because it encouraged my over-interpretation of my participants’ data. Additionally, I considered that the time I had spent with my participants was insufficient to adequately facilitate the interpretation of their data that hermeneutic phenomenology required. That deficit prompted my exploration of, and decision to use, qualitative description.

**Introducing Qualitative Description**

For Denzin and Lincoln (1994), qualitative description, like any other qualitative methodology, is “multimethod in focus, involving an interpretive, naturalistic approach to its subject matter” (p. 2). Vaismoradi, Jones, Turunen and Snelgrove (2016) suggested that it provides “an in-depth, socio-contextual and detailed description of the research topic … because it describes and interprets participant perspectives” (p. 100). Sandelowski (2000) suggested that “there is no comprehensive description of qualitative description” (p. 335). Lambert and Lambert (2012) noted “the goal of qualitative descriptive studies is a comprehensive summarization, in everyday terms, of specific events experienced by individuals or groups of individuals” (p. 255).

For me, the everyday nature of this method suited it to vernacular topics including identity and materiality. Kim, Sefcik and Bradway (2016) proposed that qualitative descriptive inquiry was important in “discovering the who, what and where of events or experiences [especially for a] poorly understood phenomenon” (p. 23). Consequently, they suggested that this method is often associated with research seeking to “explore … describe … identify … understand [and/or] investigate its topic” (p. 26).

Sandelowski (2000) noted four themes relevant to qualitative description. Firstly, qualitative description is categorical inasmuch as while this methodology has always existed, it has been a largely unacknowledged research domain. Also, qualitative description is “less interpretive than interpretive description” (Sandelowski, 2000, p. 335)
because researchers do not move far from the data. Thirdly, this methodology does not require “conceptual or otherwise highly abstract rendering of the data” (Sandelowski, 2000, p. 335) as it represents a research endpoint, rather than an entry point. Cumulatively, these positions mean qualitative description is a “valuable method by itself” (Sandelowski, 2000, p. 335) and best suits research that explores participant experience from the participant’s perspective. Sandelowski’s (2000) views reinforced my decision to use qualitative description.

Kim et al. (2016) proposed that qualitative description facilitated research flexibility. Specifically, they noted that qualitative description is best suited to research within naturalistic settings, interviews and focus group situations because it provides “straight forward ... comprehensive descriptive summaries” (p. 24). These attributes, they asserted, provide broad insights through the gathering of rich data. In turn, these attributes build upon Lambert and Lambert’s (2012) notion that qualitative description is the “design of choice when a straightforward description of a phenomenon is desired” (p. 256).

Kim et al. (2016) also suggested that using thematic analysis within qualitative description provides the readers of the research with a better understanding of the research topic, especially through its findings. The combination of qualitative description and thematic analysis reflects Sandelowski’s (2010) earlier observation that “in the actual world of research practice, methods bleed into each other; they are so much messier than textbook depictions” (p. 81).

A dearth of academic literature outlining qualitative description is evident (Kim et al., 2016; Sandelowski, 2000). In a systematic review of qualitative description, Kim et al. (2016) found only “seven ‘how-to’ articles and … most authors [of them] referenced Sandelowski” (p. 23). This has led to the misconception that qualitative description is Sandelowski’s. In her article, “What’s in a name? Qualitative description revisited”, Sandelowski (2010) acknowledged she was aware of the attribution of qualitative description to her, but she refuted ownership of it.

Qualitative description also lacks academic status. Thorne, Kirkham and MacDonald-Emes (1998) suggested that qualitative description was the “crudest form of inquiry” (p. 170). Even Sandelowski (2000) recognised qualitative description’s lacklustre academic status. She suggested it was “less sexy [than other] theoretically and technically
sophisticated (qualitative) methods” (p. 334). Nevertheless, she recommended that the “basic and fundamental” (p. 335) aspects of qualitative description represented its strengths. In this way, through her choice of words, Sandelowski (2000) drew attention to how language influenced perceptions of methodological credibility and efficacy. However, for Sandelowski (2000), “basic and fundamental” (p. 335) constituted “low impact” (p. 335) research inasmuch as qualitative description reduced researcher ‘spin’ through over-interpretation. As such, qualitative description promoted “descriptive validity or an accurate accounting of the meanings participants attributed to those events that those participants would agree is accurate” (p. 336). Qualitative description was the methodology best suited for my research because of those attributes and its ability to add “descriptive validity” (p. 335). Qualitative description enabled the narratives of my participants to be told without the ‘white noise’ other methodologies might bring. Consequently, in using qualitative description, I avoided the tendency to over-interpret participant data while capitalising on the advantages noted by Sandelowski (2000), Lambert and Lambert (2012) and Kim et al. (2016).

**Applying Qualitative Description**

Two primary considerations prompted my use of qualitative description. Firstly, it linked to my research topic because with this method I was able to “explore ... describe ... identify ... understand and investigate” my topic (Kim et al., 2016, p. 26) in a straightforward way. For me, these approaches suited the vernacular nature of Kiwi identity and kiwiana.

Secondly, qualitative description accommodated worldview diversity within its “low impact” (Sandelowski, 2000, p. 335) presentation of the research data. Applied to my research, that positioned qualitative description as fundamental to the accurate representation of my participants’ data. I extended qualitative description’s attributes through my participants’ use of PhotoVoice, and through focus groups and interviews. These extensions each facilitated a greater depth of participant input that contributed to rich qualitative description. Combined with and applied to my research, qualitative description meant that I remained close to the data.

Even more exciting and challenging, but of great benefit to my research, was the fact that qualitative description emphasises minimal data interpretation by the researcher
(Sandelowski, 2000). I understood that benefit as data-derived themes became evident earlier in my thematic analysis phase and as themes became reinforced by other participants’ inputs. This was of critical importance. In my research, the emergent themes came from the data itself and not from any preconceived category. In these ways, my actions and realisations aligned to Sandelowski’s (2000) recommendation that emergent themes were exactly that: they were not preconceived researcher categories. Consequently, my research findings accurately reflected the data I had collected in real and meaningful ways that avoided its over-interpretation. These procedures and my Findings chapter reflect a process of co-creation. As a researcher, I found this amalgam to be yet another reason why qualitative description was best suited to my research.

I aligned my use of qualitative description with Vaismoradi et al.’s (2016) recommendation of using thematic analysis. That process is explained in the next section.

**Thematic Analysis**

Thematic analysis and qualitative description have a number of things in common (Vaismoradi, Turunen, & Bondas, 2013). Both domains share a similar philosophical background, paying attention to interpretation and description, as well as emphasising the importance of emergent themes (Vaismoradi et al., 2013). Braun and Clarke (2006) noted these connections, indicating that thematic analysis was “a method for identifying, analysing, and reporting patterns (themes) within data” (p. 6). As a technique, thematic analysis shows that themes do not independently emerge from the data. Rather thematic analysis provides the tool with which researchers identify emergent themes in the co-creation of research (Braun & Clarke, 2006). In this way, in my research, thematic analysis facilitated my role as the researcher and the participants’ contribution in the construction of data.

This recognises a key component of qualitative description inasmuch as the identification of themes within the data was an iterative activity founded within my data and my participants’ knowledge. Creswell (2013) suggested that themes are “broad units of information that consist of several codes aggregated to form a common idea” (p. 186). He observed that theme and sub-theme combine to create a structure similar to that of a family tree. Bryman (2008), on the other hand, agreed with Sandelowski (2000) and
Braun and Clarke (2006) that the work of thematic analysis was not “an identifiable approach” (p. 554) in itself.

To begin my thematic analysis work, I followed the recommendations of Sandelowski (2000), Braun and Clarke (2006), Creswell (2013) and Vaismoradi et al. (2013). However, as I came to reflect on the themes I obtained, I began to feel that ‘something was missing’. That feeling prompted me to investigate using NVivo. In exploring my data using NVivo, I discovered a range of new themes that better illuminated my participants’ data. Consequently, my themes reflects the amalgam of my previous manually-created thematic data and the overlay of themes and information gleaned from NVivo (see Chapter 6: Findings).

**PhotoVoice: Background and Method**

PhotoVoice is a useful research tool that has helped minority groups to gain a voice within dominating socio-cultures (Pritzker, LaChapelle, & Tatum, 2012). Photo-images, including those generated in PhotoVoice research, are potent symbols representing more than the image itself (Sontag, 1979). Photo-images are actant aides de memoire. Rush, Murphy and Kozak (2012) suggested that PhotoVoice “offers participants editorial control in focusing the symbolic process as a way to visually represent” (p. 450) their worldviews. Prosser and Loxley (2008) noted that “PhotoVoice, along with photo-narratives and photo-novella, were variants of reflexive photo-participation” (p. 31). For Johansen and Le (2014), PhotoVoice represented “a method rooted in three theoretical frameworks: feminist theory, arguing for inclusion; critical consciousness, embedded in Freire’s (1970) idea of self-reflection; and documentary photography as a means to social reform” (p. 552).

PhotoVoice came to prominence through Wang and Burris’s (1997) research. Wang (1999) commented that

> PhotoVoice has three main goals: to enable people (1) to record and reflect their community’s strengths and concerns, (2) to promote critical dialogue and knowledge about personal and community issues through large and small group discussion of their photographs, and (3) to reach policymakers. (p. 185)

---

28 A software programme suited to mixed methods/qualitative research using the concept of sources, nodes and coding (Edhlund & McDougall, 2017).
Jensen, Kaiwai, McCreanor and Barnes (2006) used PhotoVoice in their research in Aotearoa New Zealand and found it useful in the following ways:

- PhotoVoice is a tool for understanding and social change.
- Photographs are both data and stimulus to articulation of meaning.
- PhotoVoice works with marginalised voices including young people.
- PhotoVoice works through empowerment, serious reflection and safe process.
- “PhotoVoice produces competence, critical awareness and change resources [that consequently means it] is well-suited for gathering data that highlight issues or features of value, importance or concern for communities in a robust and arresting manner” (p. 7).

Several other Kiwi researchers have successfully used PhotoVoice. They include: Bukowski and Buetow (2011) in their research on homeless women’s health, and Jones, Ingham, Cram, Dean and Davies (2013) in their longitudinal research on asthma in Māori families. As Pritzker et al. (2012) recounted, PhotoVoice “has been used globally with marginalized and disempowered populations, helping them gain a voice to create change in their local environments” (p. 2248).

My research PhotoVoice operationalisation is noted in my adaptation of Jensen et al.’s (2006) framework (See Figure 19).

Figure 19: PhotoVoice research operationalisation.

*Note.* Adapted from Jensen et al. (2006).
Extending PhotoVoice, Hergenrather, Rhodes, Cowan and Bardhoshi (2009) noted that “participants present their photos during a facilitated discussion by contextualizing and often using root-cause questioning known by the mnemonic SHOWED” (pp. 687-688). SHOWED incorporates six participant questioning steps including:

- What do you See?
- What is really Happening here?
- How does this relate to Our lives?
- Why does this concern, situation, strength exist?
- How can we become Empowered through our new understanding?
- What can we Do?.

**PhotoVoice: Advantages and Disadvantages**

The advantages of using PhotoVoice are encapsulated by Scarles’s (2012) observation that photography becomes a means of personalising knowledge exchange as photographs are brought into existence through respondent subjectivity and engagement with the research environment as *lived* [original emphasis]. Indeed, just as photographs become imbued within the context of the research, respondents become *imbued* [original emphasis] within photographs that are taken as they commit themselves to an entirely embodied, emotional and sensual agent within the research area. (pp. 74-75)

Scarles’s (2012) positive observations of PhotoVoice use are extended through Heery’s (2013) suggestions that PhotoVoice:

- aids communication between unequal power groups;
- promotes feelings and emotions and thought, offering a deeper participant perspective that can negate literacy demands;
- sharpens participant observation;
- provides an ‘insider’s’ multi-layered interpretation led by vision, complemented by words; and
- challenges existing ‘structures’ by combining participant reality and vision, uncovering the participants’ private worldview-schema.

Nonetheless, PhotoVoice has limitations. As Sharma (2010) emphasised, participants’ judgements influence the images they took, and photo-images are open to multiple and
subjective interpretations. Sharma (2010) also observed that photography captures a moment in time, and, consequently may not reflect the dynamic nature of social realities. Cognisant of Sharma’s (2010) view, Heery (2013) identified further disadvantages:

- photography often intrudes the space of others;
- privacy/confidentiality issues;
- reflecting the decisions of what to ‘take’ and what not to ‘take’;
- photograph ownership;
- bias of content from participant and researcher; and
- subject consent.

**Pilot Study: Overview**

Pilot studies foster research rigour. Bryman (2008) suggested that pilot studies are “always desirable” (p. 247). Burns and Grove (2009) noted that pilot studies are important because they offer an opportunity for the researcher to refine their methodology.

I conducted my pilot studies in September and October 2015, applying Moxham’s (2012) methods. My aim in conducting my pilot studies was to enhance my research participants’ experience. I believed that a fluid participant experience would also deliver a better quality of research data. My aim was to identify problems, strengthen my methods and make any adaptations that would make my research more pleasurable and engaging for my participants and myself. Consequent to my pilot study, adaptations and changes were indeed later applied to my research.

My pilot study used six participants: two from each participating cohort (see Table 21, below). One participant from each group was located through my established community contacts. The second participant was identified using ‘snowball sampling’ (Bryman (2008). While pilot participants matched the research selection criteria, their data was not used in my final research.

My pilot study also adapted Jensen et al.’s (2006) PhotoVoice operationalisation (see Figure 19, above) and included my participants’ use of my PhotoVoice safety protocol (see Appendix A).
Table 20: *Overview of pilot participants.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male, Aged 28</th>
<th>Female, Aged 26</th>
<th>NZ Resident: 2 years</th>
<th>NZ Resident: 3 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chinese</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Latin American</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pacific Island</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pilot Study Findings: Chinese Participants**

I met my two Chinese pilot study participants for an early morning breakfast in Auckland’s central business district. Our discussion centred on the Participant Information Sheet (PIS) and my PhotoVoice Safety Protocol. We discussed mobile-phone use, participant note taking and any concerns and questions they raised. Both participants were very enthusiastic about my research and their role in it. They had no hesitation in asking questions. From that meeting I noted and actioned the following points (my responses are italicised).

- Participants were unsure what kiwiana meant:

  *When asked which tangible items of Chinese culture might reflect a Chinese identity, both participants related many items. Then, I asked them to apply that same thinking to Aotearoa New Zealand. I asked them not to Google kiwiana or Kiwi identity.*

- Participants expressed their belief that Kiwi identity and kiwiana were the same thing:

  *I was clear that there was no easy answer to this question as both constructs were linked. I encouraged them simply to tell me what they thought constituted each domain and that there were no ‘right’ or ‘wrong’ answers.*

- Participants wished to use their own mobile devices for image taking and not the devices I supplied:

  *This was a good idea. I decided from that request that participants using their own mobile phone would receive $15 cash to cover internet and other costs. That payment matched the preloaded amount on researcher-supplied mobile phones.*
• One participant (a graphic artist) asked if she could draw images rather than photograph them:

   *I encouraged this believing that it may provide enhanced participant insight.*

We agreed that they would collect their images of Kiwi identity and kiwiana within two weeks.

I held a follow-up meeting on November 20, 2015. Both participants enthusiastically reported that they had experienced no problems in taking their images. One participant’s images combined themes of kiwiana and Kiwi identity. The other participant captured separate images for each theme. As I came to understand it, the former participant, in combining images, reflected a high level of research comfort inasmuch as they did not hesitate in combining these themes. The images capturing both Kiwi identity and kiwiana were not perceived, by me, to be problematic: however, they might need more discussion time within focus group meetings. These were the images taken by my Chinese pilot participants of images reflecting Kiwi identity:

Figure 20: Humour is everywhere.

Figure 21: Lord of the Rings.

Figure 22: Primitive and modern.

Figure 23: Kiwi identity.

“Kiwi bird, national bird of NZ, definitely the identity of Kiwis. I overheard because it is so rare that New Zealanders call themselves ‘Kwis’”.


Images reflecting kiwiana included:

Figure 24: Karicare.  Figure 25: All Blacks.  Figure 26: Kiwi wine.

Their commentary in capturing Kiwi identity and kiwiana included:

“Kiwi Identity: Food safety is a great identity of Kiwi world. I never quite questioned about this point of view. I can have a steak cooked rare and enjoy it without having to worry of getting sick, where travellers from China, especially, would not dare to try.”

“Kiwiana: Such quality life requires working very hard and being consistent. Food safety is generally mentioned at quite high level in New Zealand. Kiwis are sometimes considered as ‘stubborn’ from immigrants’ eyes, generally speaking, Kiwis are good at following standards and controlling quality. That is why New Zealand can offer numbers of high-quality products to the world.”

“Kiwi Identity: If I talk about New Zealand, I have to mention about the natural environment. Therefore, I also think it is identifying Kiwis as an immigrant.”

“Kiwiana: New Zealanders contribute to maintain the image of pureness. People are normally managing life wastage, e.g. rubbish, and having similar understanding of sustainable lifestyle.”

Figure 27: Kiwi identity and kiwiana.  Figure 28: Kiwi identity and kiwiana.
Pilot Study Findings: Pacific Island Participants

Meeting this group for coffee and cake, I went over the PIS and PhotoVoice Safety Protocol. Both participants asked questions. Emergent themes from their discussion included (with my responses in italics):

- What were the differences between Kiwi identity and kiwiana?

  *The difference was explained as ‘ways of being’ (Kiwi identity), and the objects and things (materiality) that reflect kiwiana (the same issue as raised by Chinese participants).*

- Technology issues: activating the mobile phones’ cameras, and sending data:

  *There were technical issues setting up the mobile phone and its ability to send images.*

My Pacific Island participants agreed to a four-week pilot period. A follow-up meeting was held on October 16, 2015. Both participants stated that they had enjoyed their pilot experience. Their feedback provided valuable changes to my final method. Feedback from my Pasifika pilot group is noted in Table 22.

Table 21: Pacific Island pilot study feedback.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPICS</th>
<th>OPERATION</th>
<th>CONSIDERATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial discussion was clear and concise.</td>
<td>Participants use own phone and receive payment of $15 cash, or use supplied phone. The supplied phone was considered too complicated.</td>
<td>Be mindful of language ... use simple language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is Kiwi identity and kiwiana?</td>
<td>Upload to Instagram.</td>
<td>Allow time and space for language ‘digestion’.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following changes were made to my method consequent to this group’s feedback:

- Participants using their own mobile phones receive $15 cash.
- Images to be uploaded to Instagram, not cloud storage.
- Researcher to use simple language, avoiding academic jargon.
- Discussion is an important construct in Pacific Island culture.
Participants will be given as much time as possible to discuss images.

Participants recommended the researcher clarify the term ‘kiwiana’ by defining it for participants as ‘tangible items reflecting Kiwi identity’.

One image (see Figure 29) reflecting Kiwi identity from the Pacific Island group shows friends relaxing in Auckland’s Albert Park.

“We sat in the park relaxing. [Sam said] I’m glad you fellas don’t have work. Let’s chill and play some touch.”

Pilot Study Findings: Latin American Participants

Consistent with my other meetings, I met my Latin American participants for coffee and cake in a downtown Auckland café. At this meeting, my emphasis was on communicating the PIS and PhotoVoice Safety Protocol and fully answering any questions. There were no emergent themes from this meeting. This group also agreed to a four-week pilot period.

A follow-up meeting was held on January 8, 2016. My two Latinx pilot participants had worked collaboratively on collecting their photo-images and had emailed them to me. They classified their images within three domains:

- Place
- Idiosyncrasy
- Material

The group identified place as “the recognition of a space through symbols influencing collecting, memory, language, tradition and daily activity.” They extended this to include beaches and lakes – natural diversity, high-contrast landscapes and conditions encouraging people to engage in outdoor activities. They noted a Kiwi commitment to the environment. They recounted that most Kiwis live in close proximity to the sea and/or outdoor spaces. They suggested that farming was not only the country’s most important economic activity, but also a lifestyle option.

Their images exemplified those domains:
This group defined idiosyncrasy as “a group of inherited or acquired characteristics defining distinctive attributes of a group.” For them, these characteristics identified the similarities of behaviour and social customs/culture and extended them to include linguistic and creative expression.

For this group, the graphic symbolism of Māori culture was evidenced through artistic expression, social customs, language and place names.

The group defined material as “the result of creating or producing objects or products that physically represent the cultural tradition of a region.”

---

29 This tattoo design is from the Pacific Islands. It is not a traditional Māori tattoo.
My Latinx pilot participants framed their images within three domains that sat outside of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. While I did not verbalise this to my Latinx ‘pilots’, I began to realise that framing the work in this way may be problematic should any of my actual participants decide to undertake it. This made me consider ‘what if’? Fortunately, this type of participant classification did not occur in my final research.

**Pilot Study Conclusions**

Providing participants with a mobile phone with a camera was problematic. Issues arose around the phone settings. Also, most participants already owned a mobile device with a camera. Consequently, I decided to:

- Give participants the option to either use their own mobile devices to take photos or a supplied device. If the former, participants received $15 to cover email and connection costs. Participants choosing the supplied device were able to keep their phones after the research concluded.
- Allow participants to capture images other than through photography, such as drawing, painting or otherwise illustrating their constructs of kiwiana and Kiwi identity.
- Give participants the option to either upload their images to a researcher-created Instagram account or to email them directly to the researcher.
- Create an Instagram account for each participant group. In the end, this was not used by my research participants.
- Recognise that research groups may need additional time to discuss their images in focus group and/or interview sessions.
• Keep my communication simple and easy to understand by using plain English, not academic language.
• Simplify the definition of kiwiana to: tangible items reflecting Kiwi identity.
• Allow participants to use one image to show both constructs (Kiwi identity and kiwiana) but to differentiate their meanings (as in the Chinese pilot study) in focus group or interview discussions.

To understand my participant experiences of PhotoVoice, I undertook my own PhotoVoice image capture. My images and associated commentary are presented in Appendix D.

**Main Research Study: An Overview**

**Ethics**

My research was approved by AUT’s Ethics Committee (AUTEC) on September 3, 2015, under reference 15/306. I conducted all of my research work within AUTEC guidelines. I was acutely aware that without willing participants my research would not be possible. I was keen to create a positive research environment for my participants. As they were volunteers, I believed it was important that, should any of my participants be asked to participate in any other future research work, their experience with me would be an encouragement to do so. I am pleased to report that neither participants nor researcher experienced any unethical situations during this research.

**Trustworthiness/Reliability and Validity**

Silverman (2004) suggested that informed and professional qualitative research was important since “the issues of reliability and validity are important, because in them the objectivity and credibility of social science research is at stake” (p. 283). Qualitative research’s subjective emphasis and reliance on interpretation means it is open to bias, the prevailing attitudes and perspectives which may negatively influence the trustworthiness of the research outcome. According to Lincoln and Guba (1985) “there are four components of trustworthiness that are relevant to qualitative research: truth value; applicability; consistency; and neutrality” (p. 11).
For Smith and Heshusius (1986), naturalistic research can “only offer an interpretation of the interpretations of others” (p. 7). Nevertheless, for qualitative researchers such as Lincoln and Guba (1985), the notion of trustworthiness was simply expressed in the response to the following question: “How can an inquirer persuade his or her audiences that the research findings of an inquiry are worth paying attention to?” (p. 11). For me, qualitative description and my staying close to my participants’ data, without unnecessary or excessive interpretation, ensured not only the transparency of the research process but also its trustworthiness.

Additionally, in my research, the reliability of the qualitative method was reflected in the analysis and the coding practice that I used to generate categories and data themes. As Dixon, Bouma and Atkinson (1992) indicated, “the more agreement there is in coding observations, the more reliable [is] the instrument” (p. 102). The proof of trustworthiness in terms of the efficacy, reliability and validity of this research lies in my final work: this thesis. In this way, my research concurs with Lincoln and Guba’s (1985) observation that “a qualitative study is credible when it presents such accurate descriptions or interpretations of human experiences that people who also share that experience would immediately recognize the descriptions” (p. 11). Consequently, qualitative research such as mine is typically rich in detail and insights into participants’ experiences of the world that may “be epistemologically in harmony with the reader’s experience, and thus more meaningful” (Stake, 1978, p. 5).

**Defining and Locating Research Participants**

I defined my participants in the following ways:

- **Pacific Islanders:** Those born in Samoa, Tonga, Fiji, Vanuatu or the Solomon Islands, but excluding those born in Tokelau, Niue or the Cook Islands because these ‘Pacific Islanders’ have automatic right of entry into Aotearoa New Zealand and cannot be considered migrants.

- **Chinese:** A person of Chinese nationality born in the Chinese territories (including Hong Kong) (Chinese Immigration Department, 2012).

- **Latin Americans:** Those born in one of the following 17 countries: Mexico; Guatemala; Salvador; Honduras; Nicaragua; Costa Rica, Panama; Venezuela; Colombia; Ecuador; Peru; Brazil; Bolivia; Paraguay; Uruguay; Chile; or Argentina.
In recording New Zealand residency statistics between 2006 and 2017, for Pacific Islanders, Chinese and Latin Americans, The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Enterprise (2017a) noted the following data on changes in numbers:


**Participant Research Selection Criteria**

My participants were selected according to the following criteria (see the Participant Information Sheet and Consent Form in Appendix B):

- Latin American, Pacific Islander or Chinese as primary self-identifier.
- Aged 20 years or older.
- Arrived in Aotearoa New Zealand within the past three years.
- Competency in spoken English.
- Able to use a mobile phone/camera.

**Finding the Participants**

In the process of recruiting my participants, there were no dependent relationships between any participant and myself. I recruited participants using the snowball technique. Commonly called “snowball sampling”, it is described by Boise State University (2017) as “a recruitment technique in which research participants are asked to assist researchers in identifying other potential subjects” (para. 1). Snowball sampling benefited my research because it helped me to create a user-friendly and positive research environment. This, as Atkinson and Flint (2001) noted, provided a sound way to meet minority populations, especially those who are “vulnerable and [members of the] more impenetrable social groupings” (p. 1).

**How Participants Gathered Data**

Eighteen individuals made up my three participant groups (see the “Participant Selection Criteria”, above). I asked that each participant take no more than 10 photo-images of items they believed reflected Kiwi identity and/or kiwiana. The ‘and/or’ was important.
It allowed participants to construct the research themes as they experienced them and blend themes of Kiwi identity and kiwiana if they found that necessary.

To gather their images, I offered each participant a mobile phone with camera. Most participants declined, opting instead to use their own mobile devices.

Having selected my prospective participants, I then met each one individually to discuss and clarify my research. These meetings gave me an opportunity to get to know my participants, and to create a friendly research relationship. I met all participants in a social setting and I did not limit my time with them. Rather, after I had outlined my research, I allowed them time to consider it and to ask questions until we were both comfortable and confident in what was to come next in the research. All participants were given my contact details and invited to call me at any stage should they have further questions.

Cognisant of the PhotoVoice Safety Protocol (see Appendix A), my participants only began to take their photo-images after they had been fully briefed and their questions answered. All participants agreed to a four-week period to complete their task and email their images to me. Colour copies of their images were printed onto A4 paper and given to participants at their focus group or interview meeting.

From the focus group or interview, my research findings were distilled from two primary sources: participant-authored images and their recorded conversations about them. Afterwards, I thematically analysed the text of the transcribed conversations. My approach reflected Creswell’s (2007) recommendation that themes emerge from “broad units of information ... aggregated to form a common idea [theme]” (p. 186).

With their printed photo-images in hand, I asked each participant to select their top five images of kiwiana and Kiwi identity. In doing so, my participants created a hierarchy of images by selecting the five that meant the most to them. They were then asked to prioritise them, #1 being the participant’s most important photo-image and #5 their least important. Again, this created a hierarchy of importance through self-selection.

I then asked my participants to add their initials and label ‘K’ (for kiwiana) or ‘ID’ (for Kiwi identity) to each numbered image. This was the first step in finalising the photo-image coding. Prioritising the photo-images facilitated a logical discussion order which also promoted effective facilitator and researcher time management of the focus groups and interviews. Photo-image ordering also reduced 360 images to a more manageable
180. However, the number of images in my final research is less than this because some participants brought similar images to others and because time constraints limited the research.

Table 23 presents focus group and follow-up interview participant numbers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number Attending Focus Groups</th>
<th>Participants Interviewed Outside Focus Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latin American</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Island</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In each focus group or interview discussion, participants began with their most important image (#1). Ten images (five on Kiwi identity and five on kiwiana) were then sequentially worked through within the sessions. In some cases, image discussion represented the experiences of more than one participant.

All focus group and interview meetings were digitally sound recorded. Later, I transcribed their entire content and identified data themes. A useful base for questioning participants was Hergenrather et al.’s (2009) SHOWED mnemonic. However, I found that while it facilitated consistent questioning, it was not a perfect fit for my research work. Table 24, below, outlines how I adapted it to suit my study.

Table 23: SHOWED – Application and research adaptation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Research Adaptation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>What do you See?</td>
<td>No adaptation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>What is really Happening here?</td>
<td>No adaptation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>How does this relate to Our lives?</td>
<td>How does this image relate to YOUR life?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Why does this concern, situation, strength exist?</td>
<td>Why did you take this image?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>How can we become Empowered through our new understanding?</td>
<td>In what ways does this image look towards the future?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
My adaptations to SHOWED facilitated deeper researcher and participant understandings of the PhotoVoice images and, through the adapted questions, benefited my research by adding depth.

Focus Groups

Using focus groups to gather my data promoted participant interaction. Focus groups have the advantage of providing a supportive, synergistic environment that often gleans more in-depth data than other qualitative methods (The Ohio State University, 2012).

My focus groups were held on Saturday afternoons, a time that best suited my participants. All focus group meetings were held in a comfortable room at AUT and began with lunch and refreshments being made available to participants. This gave me, the participants and the moderator/facilitator time to get to know one another and to relax.

I led the focus group sessions with participant introductions. This served to formalise the session after the relaxed atmosphere we all enjoyed over lunch. Then, I explained my research and introduced the session moderator/facilitator and explained the role that they would play within the focus group. Attendees were invited to ask questions at any time. Then, the facilitator convened the group. I sat quietly, operating the recording devices and making observational notes. Participants had already been informed that they could request a copy of the recording and any notes I had made. Reflecting transparent practice, I believed that this was an important step. It showed my willingness to share data and underlined the importance I placed on what was said and shared. It was important to me that my research approach was inclusive and transparent.

The moderator/facilitator convened the session using the amended SHOWED format (Hergenrather, et al., 2009) (see the Facilitator Briefing Sheet, Appendix C). Focus group meetings lasted between two and three hours.

Moderation/Facilitation for Focus Groups

As indicated above, each focus group was convened by a moderator/facilitator. As Murray (2012) noted, “a facilitator is a person who helps a group achieve its purpose” (p. 8). In selecting a moderator/facilitator I was aware that, to be effective, that person needed...
to have specific skills. For me, the moderator/facilitator needed to have an open mind, be keen to learn about the SHOWED approach (Hergenrather, et al., 2009), and be open to extending participant discussions in enthusiastic, non-judgmental and positive ways. To facilitate these goals, I developed Table 25. Table 25 identifies the skill-sets I understood to be important for an effective moderator/facilitator.

Table 24: Moderator/facilitator skill sets and rationale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill</th>
<th>Operationalisation</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speak the participants’ first language</td>
<td>Realising participant narratives in two language ‘spaces’.</td>
<td>Using first language provided participant comfort. Participants were encouraged to speak in English or their own first language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation skills</td>
<td>The facilitator translated participant first-language narratives for the researcher.</td>
<td>The researcher speaks only English.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfort in using SHOWED</td>
<td>The researcher spent as much time as needed to ensure the facilitator was familiar, comfortable and able to use (an amended) SHOWED method in a professional yet user-friendly manner.</td>
<td>The (amended) SHOWED method is vital to this research. It forms the basis of the questioning from which participant narrative emerged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall knowledge about the research</td>
<td>The researcher spent as much time as needed to inform each facilitator of the ‘big picture’ of this research.</td>
<td>Facilitators needed to have a general knowledge of the research aims and objectives so that they realised how and where the (amended) SHOWED approach fitted within the larger research project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendly, democratic disposition</td>
<td>Facilitators were asked to create, encourage and mentor an equitable research space.</td>
<td>Creating a friendly research space was vital if the research was to elicit the depth within the data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to ‘leave space’</td>
<td>Facilitators were encouraged to leave silences in their presentation of SHOWED.</td>
<td>This strategy encouraged participants to speak without questioning prompts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence to refer to the researcher</td>
<td>Facilitators were encouraged to talk with the researcher during the sessions.</td>
<td>This alleviated any pressures for the facilitator should any narrative drift into undesired areas (e.g., illegal activity)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Adapted from Hergenrather et al. (2009).

Guiding the development of these skills were the recommendations of Hunter, Bailey and Taylor (1996) and Murray (2012). Hunter et al. (1996) noted that “a facilitator intervenes
to protect the group process and to keep the group on track to fulfil its task” (p. 36). Murray (2012) noted that “the facilitator intentionally shares power with the participants in a cooperative manner rather than having power over them in an autocratic way” (p. 28).

In order to find moderator/facilitators, I began by emailing group members for their recommendations and included the skill-set requirements noted in Table 25. From their feedback I compiled a list of possible candidates. I worked through that list sequentially, narrowing it down by meeting each prospective moderator/facilitator, assessing their willingness to do the task and how I got on with them. It was important that the moderator/facilitator and I had a positive working relationship. From those meetings, I made my final decisions. Each facilitator was paid $150. The payment was necessary because the facilitator was required to read and apply the information I supplied them about the adapted SHOWED questioning technique. Payment also included the facilitator’s translation of any non-English dialogue by participants.

**Interviews: A Focus Group Deviation**

While the methodology provided a theoretical framework for the research, its operationalisation through method was adapted as my research situations changed. I originally aimed to conduct three focus group sessions, one with each participant group. This did not work out as planned. Not all participants attended their focus group sessions for a number of reasons. Severe stormy weather contributed to absences among the Chinese and Latin American focus groups.

I interviewed seven participants who were unavailable to attend the moderator/facilitator-run focus group sessions. Consequently, I had to conduct ‘catch-up’ interviews. I ran those interviews in the same way as the focus groups but without a facilitator. All interviews were conducted by me over refreshments at a café location and at a time that best suited the participants. During these interviews I adhered to the adapted SHOWED format (Hergenrather et al., 2009). I was initially concerned that using data gleaned from two formats (focus groups and interviews) might negatively influence my research. Compounding this was my concern about the number of interviews. Not only was this a time-consuming activity but I also worried that, without group support, interview
participants might be more reserved. My concerns were unfounded. Individual interviews provided a positive adjunct to my focus group encounters.

**Concluding My Methodology**

As I have come to understand and appreciate, methodology and methods are more than just ways to conceptualise and operationalise the gathering of participant data. For me, they are about researchers exploring the best means of soliciting data from their participants. This view led to my initial foray into hermeneutic phenomenology, the consideration of other methods and then my final decision that qualitative description was most suited to my research because it told my participants stories in the best way.

I also realised that methodology is about more than data gathering. For me, methodology and method facilitated how I built my relationship with my participants. My ease in that relationship not only generated deep and meaningful data but also provided the most effective way to communicate with my participants. As with understandings of knowledge, I have also come to appreciate methodology and method as dynamic and multifaceted vectors of mutual communication that led to my participants and me learning about each other in mutually beneficial and meaningful ways.
Chapter 6 Findings

Introduction to Findings

This chapter includes three sections of findings: one for each participant group. My findings emerged from the data that I had collected from my focus group and interview sessions. Aligning with the principles of qualitative description, I was aware that I must not over-interpret my data, but rather allow my participants’ descriptions to illuminate their narratives of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Consequently, my goal for this chapter was to allow my participants’ experiences and narratives to become the dominant features or ‘stars’ (Chenail, 1995) of my writing.

To produce my findings, I engaged two qualitative methods: manual thematic analysis and technology-assisted NVivo node creation. While both rely on the researcher’s discernment of themes, the process of examining data in two distinct yet similar ways proved beneficial. To begin my manual thematic analysis process, I read and reread participant transcripts. In doing so, themes emerged from that data which I used as a base to draft this chapter.

Complementing that, I ran my transcripts through NVivo. Rather than use the themes that I had previously established, I decided to take a new approach. Among NVivo’s many capabilities, I discovered ‘word frequency’. In using that function I realised that some words stood out through repetition, such as: believe/belief, Māori, outdoor, multicultural, nature, and recycle. In using NVivo, I reconsidered the efficacy of my manual thematic analysis process. I questioned which one represented my participants’ experiences more accurately and came to realise that NVivo held an advantage over manual thematic analysis. I concluded that because NVivo’s benefit lay in its capacity to recognise themes within text, rather than my interpretation through thematic analysis of what participants noted, that NVivo should be the preferred method for my work. Consequently, this chapter presents my findings as identified through NVivo, but underpinned in part by my manual thematic work. Combined, NVivo noding and manual thematic analysis effectively and accurately conveyed my participants’ experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana, reflecting my research aim for participant data to be the star of my thesis (Sandelowski, 2000). Additionally, NVivo provided an opportunity for me to open up my
participants’ narratives by considering how they generated myths of being and becoming. In doing so, I anticipated that my NVivo-generated insights might inform new myths of Kiwi identity and kiwiana as discerned by my participants.

Within the processes outlined above, I was faced with what Denzin and Lincoln (1994) termed a “crisis of representation” (p. 9). My crisis reflected my considerations of how I might best integrate my ontological and epistemological positions, my chosen theories (in my conceptual framework) while simultaneously recognising that my data was the star of this findings chapter. As researcher, I take seriously the importance of my participants’ data. Consequently, in my write-up of my findings, I experienced what Coffey and Atkinson (1996) termed going “beyond” (p. 153) the data. For me, going beyond meant that I had considered what my participants had told me thoroughly enough, through reading and rereading, listening and relistening, and thematic analysis. In these ways, I came to know my participants’ narratives and began to feel saturated with their knowledge and experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Those feelings provided the confidence necessary to write up these findings. However, my introspective state did not diminish the data’s importance or potency. Rather, my introspection fostered my deeper awareness, understanding and appreciation of what my participants had told me. In turn, through awareness, understanding and appreciation, this introspection generated my understanding and allowed me to write my findings chapter.

Consequently, I structure my findings chapter in a manner that emphasises the point that my participants’ voices convey the best information. In that way, my findings celebrate my participants’ aspiration in coming to Aotearoa New Zealand. Yet, in practical terms, my voice as researcher is evident in my findings. It offers an interpretive overlay and summation. Consequently, this chapter is best considered as a multi-voiced account of what my participants and I thought and experienced within our co-constructed exploration of Kiwi identity and kiwiana.

**Chinese Findings**

**Chinese Findings: Kiwiana**

My Chinese participants identified Christmas, the Waitangi Treaty Grounds, nature, coffee, and wine as key items of kiwiana. For them, kiwiana also incorporated feelings
and experiences. Those feelings and experiences helped them come to know and understand being Kiwi through the rituals associated with the material items that they had identified.

**Christmas**

For these participants Christmas was a standout Kiwi event. As Frank observed:

*In China, Christmas is not a very important festival but in the Western country, like New Zealand, it’s quite important.*

Additionally, because Christmas occurred in the New Zealand summer, it contrasted the wintertime Chinese experience. Christmas was also seen as a social occasion in New Zealand, providing new opportunities to meet people, as Winnie noted:

*Although we live together in China we do not talk to or contact as much as here, even at Christmas.*

Hunter proposed that:

*[New Zealand] is like a kind of international country; [people] come from anywhere, any country, so [it’s] like this kind of a festival. People can come together and talk to each other to share different cultures even at Christmas time.*

Additionally, Frank noted:

*I found the neighbourhood was quite friendly, especially when it’s a kind of festival time, like Christmas.*

Again, that contrasted Christmas in China for Frank:

*Usually we celebrate things with our family, here it’s opened up.*

Recognising that, Winnie proffered:

*In New Zealand, you normally come with your neighbours. Christmas is about community of people coming together.*

These participants noticed the commercial rather than religious nature of a New Zealand Christmas, as Alice related:

*In China, we know there’s a festival, but we do not spend much more time or money on it but when we came here we started to prepare gifts for our family and friends.*

Consequently, Christmas was an occasion for socialisation, a time to meet people and engage with a wider community. While New Zealand’s Christmas contrasted their
Chinese experiences of it, Christmas was a way in which Chinese participants came to know and understand more about being Kiwi.

**The Waitangi Treaty Grounds**

The Waitangi Treaty Grounds were an important kiwiana symbol. For Hunter, they represented the place where the Crown and tangata whenua came together, agreed and formed a nation:

*The Waitangi Treaty Grounds is New Zealand. We are here as [a] colonised country that various parties came to agreement that they form a nation together. I think that’s where all the spiritual aspects actually become to adapt into each other. I think that’s a fundamental thing with New Zealand.*

Kitty added:

*Everything that I can think about this country is literally based on that moment; when they signed, they wanted to work together.*

Frank suggested that the Treaty Grounds symbolised difference. He expressed that through a religious lens:

*When I look at the Māori history there is more a multi-God religion, probably like ancient Greece or something like Egypt where they have a god pretty much for everything. It can be kind of a conflict with the European religion, like the Christian, because they only worship one god.*

Winnie considered that the Treaty Grounds represented a milestone in the nation’s history, one that impacted the present:

*Without that moment [the signing of the Treaty of Waitangi] I don’t think the country can be as it is today.*

Danni added:

*I heard the Treaty is a living document. When I visited [the Treaty Grounds] I felt that it was a special place. I don’t just mean that the Treaty was signed here but that this place is special now and for the future too.*

In those ways, my Chinese participants not only recognised the bond created by the Treaty, but also came to recognise the ongoing significance of the document itself and its place of signing. In that sense, Chinese participants recognised a national turangawaewae and their place in it.
Nature

Natural environments and animals were important kiwiana. Hunter recalled his time in the Bay of Islands:

![Image of the Bay of Islands]

That was a really terrific moment. We had a trip up to the Bay of Islands where obviously we took a tour of the Kiwi Explorer or something like that; on a boat where you see lots of dolphins swimming there freely.

Kitty commented that most Kiwis cared for animals and nature:

I think that New Zealand has a great spirit about protecting animals and nature probably. Lots of news around people trying to do their best to save whales when maybe they’re stuck on the shore.

Kitty continued:

I make the joke with my friends that sometimes I think that animal’s rights are probably even better than the human rights here; somehow! You get into like really, really big trouble if you treat animals wrong.

In recognising that, participants gained a wider insight into being Kiwi inasmuch as being Kiwi held connections with nature and animals. As Hunter added:

![Image of Bay of Islands dolphins]

How amazing! Look at the water. Look at the animals! I was literally thinking that’s what New Zealand has been about; just protecting their own environment. Comparatively another shameful point of view to comment on is my own country because I came from a country where it is heavily polluted.

This prompted a brief discussion about intangible kiwiana. Hunter contributed:

I think to me that it’s like more of a spiritual thing. That’s how I understood kiwiana. It is not something you can easily touch; you can always feel it. You see these people doing great stuff, but somehow that impacts on your overall feeling. You feel, oh yes that’s the right thing to do, and that makes you think that New Zealanders are great because they are doing good things for not themselves but also for nature and for the earth.

Frank added a spiritual dimension:

To me it is a kind of very spiritual thing; the impact on your overall thinking, your value, your point of view.

Intangibility was a potent force for Hunter:
My blood feels like a pumping up and makes you feel like, ‘Oh yes’. That’s something that feels really, really great. I think it encourages you to basically say – or not just talking about it – but encourages you to do something good rather than evil. That’s how I look at it [kiwiana].

Kitty proposed that, for her, kiwiana promoted different feelings than she had experienced in China. In that way, Kitty bridged tangibility and intangibility. As she recounted:

If you came from a completely different kind of environment, like China, to New Zealand the natural environment here, like Hunter said, stands out. It’s just so different.

Clearly, nature was an important kiwiana theme for my Chinese participants. Over time, this group came to enjoy its beauty. For some, that beauty contrasted with China.

Coffee and Wine

Coffee, particularly the flat white, was seminal kiwiana. As Winnie related:

For me the flat white you can only find it in New Zealand. Also, a lot of countries they sell flat white now, but the people think it’s one type of coffee represent of New Zealand.

For Alice the flat white symbolised being Kiwi:

I think flat white is your top drink. That’s why I choose this the first one to be kiwiana, because it really can represent the drinking culture.

Figure 42: Kiwi flat white.

Frank believed that takeaway flat whites were part of Kiwi culture:

People don’t want to spend time in the café; they want to takeaway. It’s kind of culture with New Zealand people to takeaway cup.

The Kiwi flat white was compared to China’s coffee culture. Of special note, within this comparison, was coffee art.

Knowing about the flat white and learning latte art styles became a badge of pride for Winnie. She recounted:

[In] China a lot of coffee shops they don’t really focus on the latte art. But in this country, if the latte art is good you have a good moment to drink coffee. That’s why I started learning latte art.

For Winnie, latte art New Zealand’s coffee culture represented a way of becoming Kiwi:

I think I prefer a drink like cappuccino [...] But when I come here ‘When you’re in Rome, do as Romans do’. That’s why I changed my taste of coffee.
Consequently, knowing about coffee, particularly the flat white, helped these participants understand parts of Kiwi culture that in turn promoted feelings of belonging. In these ways, coffee helped to build participants’ culinary, social and cultural capitals.

Wine was another important kiwiana symbol. All participants had tasted wine from New Zealand. While cognisant of Old World wines, this group was aware that New Zealand was a New World wine country. As Frank explained:

*France is really known for wine and they have fantastic wine. However, so too does New Zealand. And Kiwi wine is usually much cheaper than French wine.*

Participants found that Kiwi wine was a vector of consumption facilitating feelings of belonging. In that way, Chinese participants learnt about Kiwi culture. Wine was a way to fit in, to be Kiwi. As Danni commented:

> When you go to Countdown the price it can start from less than $10.00; maybe the maximum depends on the high quality of the wine. So, that’s why it is kind of like all of the whole country, no matter like how rich you are or where you are from, I think everyone likes it. It’s kind of joining the culture to be part of; just like coffee.

Hunter agreed:

> I start to try different types of them and finally I found okay which kind of type I want [...] you start to learn the culture and to gradually accept it and then you’ll be the one to like, maybe you can recommend it to your friends.

Through wine a distinct terroir was identified. Winnie proposed that Central Otago’s pinot noirs were:

*Stand-out wines.*

She held a preference for Waiheke Island reds:

*The cabernets of Waiheke are great wines too, but they are expensive.*

In those ways, wine consumption and knowledge provided participants with their nascent culinary and social capital. Those capital enhanced feelings of belonging and promoted further explorations of wine.
Exemplifying those themes, Winnie stored her empty wine bottles in varietal categories. This activity not only created feelings of belonging for her, but also empowered her in dining and other social situations.

Consequently, coffee and wine were items of distinction. Participants found that having coffee and wine knowledge was socially empowering. Additionally, that knowledge added a sense of geography via terroir. Both coffee and wine served as portals that participants used to find out more about being Kiwi.

**Chinese Findings: Kiwi Identity**

The Chinese group and interview participants discussed Kiwi identity through themes of geology and geography, democracy, law and order, retirement and Tip Top ice cream. Participants continued to make comparisons with China. Those comparisons reflected their process of transition to becoming Kiwi. Within those transitions, it became obvious to me why these participants engaged in comparison. By comparing their experiences of New Zealand with those in China, participants avoided making value judgements. Their comparisons were based on familiarity and the influences from China that they observed in New Zealand. The only exception to that was the participants’ preference for Chinese forms of policing, and law and order. Another factor that was common to this group was their tendency to respond collectively. Responses tended to build upon what others had said. There were no dissenting voices. Consequently, these findings reflect collectivist thinking and agreement.

*Geology and Geography*

While the findings in this sub-section might well reflect the commentary of any tourist to New Zealand, they are nonetheless important to this research. These findings reveal, through participant perceptions of geology and geography, how feelings of belonging have been created by the geologic and geographic differences between China and Aotearoa New Zealand. That position is doubly important because it reinforces the potency of ‘what any tourist might say’.
Seeing a rainbow while driving to Rotorua, prompted Danni to exclaim:

*Kwis are lucky, the air is clean and yesterday I saw a rainbow.*

That contrasted with China. Frank added that there was:

*Too much pollution in China, bad. You never see rainbows.*

Frank’s comment met with group agreement. New Zealand’s comparative lack of pollution steered the group into further discussion. Participants found that New Zealand’s geographic isolation and geothermal activity were fascinating. Winnie noted:

*New Zealand is far away from everywhere else. That might be why the air is so clean and there are rainbows. I like that very much.*

Geography connoted geothermal activity. Danni commented that:

*I love Rotorua, but it smells there.*

All Chinese participants had been to Rotorua. The geothermal activity of fuming sulphur, boiling mud pools and geysers fascinated the group. Of interest was how geothermal activity enabled them to:

*See inside the earth.*

Kitty viewed that as special:

*A gift of the earth or something like that.*

As Danni added:

*Yes, it really smells [Rotorua], but look you can see under the ground, it’s the reality of the earth here.*

However, the group did not perceive the geothermal activity to be dangerous or a sign of the earth or people’s fragility. Rather, it was the smell, the colours and the changing views that enhanced their understanding that some of Aotearoa New Zealand’s landscapes were in formation.
The chance to see that happening, to “see inside the earth” was a fascination. Like their own changing status between tourists, migrant and citizen, Rotorua’s geothermal activity was a metaphor for change. In New Zealand, particularly Rotorua, the group realised that nature’s living earth was present and visible.

Encapsulating that, Frank remarked:

*I like to see the land, [it] looks like life, breathing and smelly, hot and fuming.*

**Democracy, Law and Order**

Two sub-themes reflected participant attitudes about democracy, law and order in New Zealand: the freedom that the country’s government gave its citizens and the opportunities to ‘be who you are’. All participants noted that the government consulted its people about important issues. The country’s flag referendum exemplified, for this group, democracy in action. Both Hunter and Kitty commented that it was interesting that the government gave the choice of flag to the people and simply did not autocratically make the decision. Frank believed that giving people choices like that was:

*A total waste of money.*

He asserted that the money would be better spent:

*Upgrading some of the country’s roads.*

Tertiary education was also an important Kiwi identity theme. Gaining qualifications and the diversity of students who attended university were important considerations of participants’ Kiwi identity. For Hunter, university also created a sense of belonging. As he reflected:

*The country I came from [is] probably not as diverse as New Zealand. I think that here is a lot better. It’s more open. I overheard there can be occasions in New Zealand history that probably didn’t quite work out but comparatively I think we are probably the best country in the world where the diversity works so good.*

Kitty commented on an image of a university graduation ceremony:

*You see a lot of different faces and different-coloured skins in that crowd, that’s for sure. That proves that this country is such an adaptable nation where everyone can find a place here pretty much. So, people are not really judged by their ways, their gender or everything. That’s what I admire about this country.*
The prestige of New Zealand’s universities provided added appeal. As Kitty related:

*I have learned that all [NZ universities] have been ranked in the top five hundred universities in the world, which is amazing. Talking about the size of our nation and our population and even our land; we are a tiny, tiny country in the world, but we manage to have all those – I think eight universities – across the whole country ranked in the five hundred. During the last few years, they have been ranked even higher, so it’s getting really close to the top.*

Themes of diversity and tertiary education prompted Winnie to show an image of the Big Gay Out. The Big Gay Out’s emphasis on gender and sexuality made for an uncomfortable conversation among participants. Typifying that was the following amalgam of participant conversation:

*Ah, them - what you say, like for lesbians and gays, actually ... It was on Ponsonby. Um, so, um, other countries probably have the same event as well; and, ah, I mean, New Zealand ... it’s quite free for those people ... Um, um, I mean, it’s um.*

Additionally, participants were surprised that the Big Gay Out was supported by government departments and tertiary institutions. As Danni observed:

*A lot of banks [and] public organisations support those kinds of things. You never see a university or charity support [this] kind of [gay] event [in China], um, so it’s, um, it’s quite impressive to me.*

Consequently, events like the Big Gay Out identified a liberalism within Kiwi identity that may not have been as comfortable for participants as it was for the Big Gay Out’s attendees. Gay freedom of expression challenged these participants.

Adding to that discomfort was an image of a policeman dressed as a kiwi bird at the Big Gay Out. However, the image captivated the group. Winnie asked:

*Is that really a policeman?*

Kitty commented:

---

30 A celebration of sexual and gender diversity.
In China you never see a police officer, well, like entertain people in public. I mean, kiwi bird is the national bird for New Zealand.

Frank added that the incongruity for him lay not only with the policeman’s supposed casual attitude in dressing as a kiwi bird, but also that in doing so, the policeman was making fun of a national icon. Reassured that it was a police officer, the group began a discussion that concentrated their opinions of policing in New Zealand. This conversation was the only time that Chinese participants actively voiced an opinion on a topic that they believed was better “in China”. Hunter revealed his perceptions of New Zealand’s laissez-faire policing:

*I’m not sure if the population of policeman are not enough here ... because in China, if you call a police for robbery, or, ah, burglary, they will come, but here they will ask you to stay [away] from the dangerous. Even [if] the thief is in your house, you just leave it [and go] away. You can’t [do] anything. You just [need to] keep you safe. And probably they [the police] will not, um, come to the scene straight away; they just come probably the day, one day after, or something like that.*

Others agreed. Danni related that when her handbag was stolen on Queen Street:

*Nothing happened [with the Police]. I was disappointed and now sometimes I do not feel safe.*

Feeling unsafe was common to the group, especially its female members. As Winnie related:

*Yes, I feel unsafe sometimes at night when we go out.*

Adding to that Alice suggested that:

*Queen Street can be scary.*

While not relating any similar stories, about feelings of insecurity, the male participants nonetheless agreed. Exemplifying that Frank remarked:

*I know what you mean, sometimes it’s scary, but nothing has happened to me.*

Themes of democracy, law and order and the freedom inherent to the Big Gay Out were areas of concern for these participants. Additionally, police practice in New Zealand was not what they expected, based on their Chinese experiences of policing. Similarly, the Big Gay Out challenged behavioural norms for the group. However, issues of policing and the Big Gay Out revealed that while participants were uncomfortable or believed
police service ineffective, these participants felt comfortable enough to express those opinions. While my participants had difficulty with those topics, they also recognised that police practice and events like the Big Gay Out were important reflectors of Kiwi identity for many people.

**Retirement**

Frank was impressed that retirement in New Zealand rewarded a lifetime of hard work. He noted that:

*Retirement villages have many entertainment facilities. So, I feel their [residents’] retired life is quite colourful.*

However, participants realised that retirement required planning. As Hunter reflected:

*It reminded me of the feeling of real life but you need to work hard first.*

Participants were keen to relate their retirement knowledge from China. There, retirement villages were a comparatively new concept. The group agreed with Kitty:

*We like to look after our parents ourselves.*

Danni added that, in New Zealand, her parents:

*Lived in her family home and they all ate together.*

Those living arrangements were normal for this group, although Hunter noted that because of smaller families and the demands of work, family care in China was changing. In China, parental care was moving from family responsibility to managed retirement village concepts. While recognising differences in traditional retirement care, participants acknowledged one thing in common between China and New Zealand: both cared about their older citizens. Consequently, the group’s exploration of retirement, while contrasting their Chinese experiences of it, provided new possibilities for them when reflected on how Kiwis perceived retirement and, how, through “hard work”, they might achieve a successful retirement themselves.

**Tip Top Ice Cream**

Ice cream was perceived as a refreshing summertime treat and as a metaphor for Kiwi identity. All participants attributed the Tip Top ice cream brand as Kiwi. Participants described Tip Top ice cream as “safe”, and “inexpensive and trustworthy”. Kitty
explained how, before coming to New Zealand, she was advised by friends to try Tip Top ice cream, because:

*It’s the most Kiwi thing to do.*

While most participants had tried other ice creams, Tip Top remained their favourite. Capturing that, Winnie remarked:

*Tip Top is a big brand. It’s Kiwi. All Kiwis eat it and so do I. Tip Top is being Kiwi.*

Consequently, through their discussion on Tip Top ice cream, these participants revealed three characteristics of being Kiwi: safety, economic prudence and trustworthiness. Thus, a brief discussion about ice cream yielded valuable insights into the characteristics of Kiwi identity.

**Latin American Findings**

**Latin American Findings: Kiwiana**

My Latin American participants identified five kiwiana themes: Māori, the black New Zealand passport cover, the black flag, the silver fern, and mānuka honey. Needing to talk about being Kiwi and kiwiana, they expressed their belief that New Zealand was a special place. Summing that up Ana suggested:

*While Pākehā dominate in New Zealand, what’s special in this country [and identified] often comes from Māori.*

The themes this group identified have certain aspects in common. They reflect the connection between Māori, the land, and nature. It became obvious that group members experienced significant personal identity change as they negotiated their own migration processes. Those changes, as Christian revealed, mirrored the ways in which they perceived:

*The materiality symbolising New Zealand and being Kiwi,*

compared to their own changing immigration status. These findings not only incorporated Kiwi identity and kiwiana, but also how individual perceptions of identity and materiality had changed over time.
Exemplifying identity change for Latinx participants was their transition from being a visitor to New Zealand to an aspirant migrant and then to becoming a New Zealand resident. Best encapsulating these transitions and their link to kiwiana was Luis. He recounted:

_I remember the first time I came to New Zealand as a tourist back in 2003. I returned back home and guess what? I had a black cap with a silver fern at the front; not a blue cap with the Southern Cross or the British logo. No, it was a black cap with a silver fern. I also had a tee-shirt that was again black with a silver fern. So, as a tourist you go back with souvenirs that represent the country that you just visited and contains the silver fern and not the New Zealand flag._

Luis’s observations not only recognised how the relevance of materiality had changed over time, but also how migrants perceived Kiwi identity and kiwiana through materiality. For some of my participants, changing materiality was confusing. As Erica shared:

_I’m confused with the flag. Is it the black flag and fern, the blue and red flag with the stars? And, which blue and red flag with the stars is Australian and which is Kiwi. Here [in New Zealand] the black flag with the silver fern is everywhere, especially at sports events. I’m confused._

While the flags caused some confusion, they also created entry points in getting to know Kiwis. Juarez recounted a time when he was back in Peru and saw the silver fern on a flag:

_**Oh, look the New Zealand team, let’s go and have a chat with them. And guess what? They had that flag, not the New Zealand flag. They did have a New Zealand flag sitting on the side but the one they were waving was this one; the black one with the silver fern and New Zealand. We are talking about Kiwis in a worldwide surfing competition in Peru and they were representing themselves with that flag.**_

As tourists and aspirant migrants, my Latinx participants purchased Kiwi souvenirs; however, as they became citizens, their purchasing habits changed. As Pepa observed:

_Now that I am a New Zealand citizen and I live among this society [...] I don’t wear these things. Now I didn’t really think much of it. But I am puzzled that souvenir shops here all sell Black shirts, caps, sweaters, mugs, with the silver fern on, and not so much the Southern Cross and the stars._
Māori: Pou Whenua and Tā Moko

My Latinx participants were unanimous: New Zealand’s Māori culture and worldview were vital considerations aiding their understandings of kiwiana. For them, Māori culture was, as Ana put it:

As splendid as that of ancient Italy, Greece, Aztec and Mayan worlds.

Latinx participants likened Māori sculptural art to the:

Naked sculptures of Greece.

Additional comparisons included:

In a book like the Kamasutra.

Within Māori art and culture, two signifiers dominated the Latinx focus group and interview data: tattoo and carving. Exemplifying that combination was an image taken by Pepa of a pou whenua in the Hamilton Public Gardens.

Her image created group debate. Pepa commented:

There’s a man who grabs his penis.

And that there:

Were a lot of male figures with erections. This is something I find very interesting, which is that they are extremely sexual: sexuality seems to be [a] symbol of power.

Adding to that power was the pou whenua’s carved tattoo. Pepa viewed the combination of nakedness, sexuality and tattoo as potent. Others in her group agreed, however they were not as forthright in their descriptions. Rather than explicitly commenting about sexuality, others complemented Pepa’s observations saying the pou whenua were:

Powerful and potent; fierce and aggressive.

Pepa’s photo of a pou whenua also generated a conversation that exposed worldview differences amongst the Latinx participants. She asked:

What if the pou whenua [and her descriptions of it] were Pākehā art: would they be considered obscene?
Half of the group thought yes, that the pou whenua would be considered obscene. The others suggested that, because Māori culture was like the classical cultures of Europe and the Americas, Māori art was not obscene. They also suggested that, because Māori were tangata whenua and used indigenous materials, particularly paua and timber, in their art, the totems could not be considered obscene.

Within those identifications, some participants noted that there is a lot of pride in New Zealand people. For Luis, that pride was reflected in the way in which he believed that Kiwis treasured their material and identity uniqueness:

*I see people who like to have their symbols, or their uniqueness protected.*

Julietta suggested that while Luis may be right, she believed that Pākehā culture did not have “many symbols of their own”. As a result, the Pākehā appropriation of Māori materiality “was a convenience”.

As Christian recounted:

*Whenever a New Zealander wants to get hold of a symbol, it’s going to be a Māori symbol.*

Jurez thought a lot of Pākehā were

*Going against [Māori] culture.*

For Ana, that situation highlighted the void between Māori and Pākehā cultures:

*Culture is important and Pākehā doesn’t have one. They came from all these different places. Kiwis are very independent as such and they like this feeling of independency but there’s lots of moments of like the culture comes along and then they [Pākehā] don’t know what to do; then they use the Māori.*

For Christian the cultural separation between Pākehā and Māori reflected residual issues of colonisation:

*Māoris [sic] are a lot proud [sic] of New Zealand. Māoris [sic] are a lot more wanting New Zealand to stand up for something particular; not being so Europeanised.*

Others perceived unity between Māori and Pākehā, but all participants were aware of political correctness. The group drew clear distinctions, within constructs of Māori and Pākehā, that enabled them to negotiate te ao Māori and other ways of being Kiwi. Their
articulation of that distinction rested on time: because Māori have inhabited Aotearoa New Zealand the longest, Latinx participants agreed that:

Māori culture has a longer history and therefore holds a special place in the country’s future.

Encapsulating that Jurez remarked:

New Zealanders are very proud of their environment and this is all of them – Pākehā and Māori.

**Paint it Black: Passports, Flag and Ferns**

An item that was commented upon and questioned frequently was the silver fern. Latinx participants were intrigued that the New Zealand passport has a black cover embossed with a silver fern. As Christian suggested:

I know no other country that has a black passport. I think, and I might be wrong, but I think New Zealand is the only country in the world with a black passport and a silver fern on top of it. Again, I think it’s a symbol of kiwiana that is now representative of the most important document of identification for this country.

Similarly, my Latinx participants were confused that the nation’s flag did not have a silver fern, yet the country’s passport did. As Luis commented:

The silver fern rather than the New Zealand flag, it’s a little bit abnormal if you compare it to the rest of the nations that will always have their flags as a representation of their identity. This country doesn’t: it uses a silver fern.

Additionally, they observed that the silver fern also represented New Zealand beyond the static symbolism on the travel document:

So, you find that political authorities, diplomatic authorities will have it as a pin on their suits when they are attending important ceremonies. In that way, the silver fern is interchangeable with the New Zealand flag. I feel the silver fern encompasses all New Zealanders.

For this group, the colour black was associated with pirates, free thinkers and those outside the mainstream. While linking the colour black to a “dark and evil colour” within the binary of “white symbolising purity”,

---

Figure 54: Kiwi passport.

Figure 55: Unfurling silver fern.
those concerns were set aside when they considered the colour association with New Zealand. As Erica contributed:

*The black flag suits Kiwis and New Zealand. New Zealand’s a long way from anywhere else [geographically] and things are different. I see why the flag is black and why we end up discussing pirates. The Kiwi black flag with the silver fern is a strong point of identity difference.*

Part of that difference was connected to sport, and in particular, the All Blacks. The national team was familiar to all Latinx participants. The silver fern on a black flag and the All Blacks’ use of those items were, according to Pepa:

*Really big symbols for the country and have a lot to do with kiwiana of New Zealand.*

That point prompted a discussion about mānuka honey and nature.

**Land of Honey and Nature**

Latin American participants were enthusiastic about their perceptions of how Kiwis considered nature and the environment. The proximity to the natural environment was a refreshing change for many in this group. Luis proposed:

*I think that New Zealanders are proud of their environment – and that is all of them, Pākehā and Māori. That is something I quite like about New Zealand.*

Jurez added that:

*Kiwis are connected to nature, it helps you live better. New Zealand is a country that is organic and that cares about products that are good for you.*

Exemplifying that, Pepa commented:

*Mānuka honey is something that is very special and quite expensive.*

That comment prompted Ana’s discussion about New Zealand’s reputation as:

*An organically focussed country [that] has a lot to do with the environment and with caring about something that helps you live better, especially if it’s connected to nature; you see [it is] healthy.*

Those perceptions of New Zealand reflected a wider conversation acknowledging that, as Erica claimed:
In living in New Zealand, you are never far from nature.

As Jurez remarked:

_Mānuka honey is something very representative of New Zealand to the eyes of tourists and foreigners._

Participant observations contrasted the city living many had experienced in their countries of origin. Back in Brazil, Ana observed that:

_Going to the supermarket or greengrocer was as close as I got to nature. Here, I can see beehives when I’m driving home from work. Nature is so close here._

Those factors combined to generate a shared awareness that products like mānuka honey not only reflected nature but also, with that connection, were perceived as beneficial to health. As Christian remarked:

_I think you see healthy, I think you see New Zealand as a country that is organic and that cares about products that are good for you._

**Latin American Findings: Kiwi Identity**

Cognisant that attitude is a key theme in Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) social identity theory, in that commonly shared attitudes foster group belonging, this section presents the six attitudinal themes that Latinx participants discerned to constitute Kiwi identity. In linking materiality to identity, these participants extended their thoughts on kiwiana by suggesting that Kiwi identity was reflected in Kiwi attitudes. Those attitudes and ways of being included: nature, going barefoot and embracing a casual dress sense, coffee (particularly the flat white), and the barbeque. Those domains also represented potential myths of being and becoming that this group encountered and negotiated as they became more familiar with their own ‘becoming Kiwi’.

**Going Barefoot and Dressing in Casual Ways**

Luis observed:

_You know, I don’t think Kiwis even understand what others see._

That simple observation sparked a passionate conversation among all Latinx participants. In their early exposure to Kiwi culture, they were shocked at the casual ways in which Kiwis dressed and that many Kiwis went barefoot.
While participant attitudes on these topics changed over time, they were summarised by Christian’s comment that inferred that Kiwis do things in mediocre ways:

*To us ‘she’ll be right’ translates to mediocrity because it’s not well done. It’s just enough to pass.*

That casualness was also reflected in Latinx perceptions of Kiwi dress sense. The apparently substandard Kiwi dress sense deeply concerned this group. Christian, who dealt with the public as part of his banking job, commented:

*I have been in the bank and Joe Bloggs comes with very dirty shorts, tee-shirt with a big massive hole that shows half his shoulder, barefoot and sits in front of me and when I give him a form to sign he puts his hand and when he takes it out there is a big brown smudge on the document, because he is a builder and he just came straight to the bank on his lunch break. When I was new in New Zealand or just got my job in the bank to me that used to be very shocking.*

The cultural shock of New Zealanders’ dress sense contrasted with his home country experiences:

*I can recall my dad going to the bank back home and when he said, “I have to go to the bank,” he will iron a shirt, put trousers, leather shoes polished and go to the bank because otherwise he wouldn’t be treated with the same respect as if he was to go with shorts and tee shirt. But here I experience the complete opposite.*

As Erica noted:

*[Kiwis] have no dress protocols.*

However, as time passed, participant attitudes also changed. Jurez noted:

*Now, New Zealand is home to me and I have adopted a lot of the culture, I embrace certain things and I have chilled myself. I don’t look at it anymore, but it is very noticeable for people that come from overseas. It’s a very strong Kiwi culture aspect.*

Cultural adaptation caused others in the group to reflect on dress codes back in their countries of origin. Retrospectively, many found their countries dress codes exacting. Ana explained:

*Back home it’s extreme; it was such a pain for me. The women had to dress for everything, I was really happy when I came to New Zealand and it was all so much more relaxed in general. But we get again to the extreme; I think somewhere in between is*
better. Because I have gone to a wedding and you see this person coming with a sweaty jumper and it’s like disrespecting.

Luis concurred:

Even going to a wedding, it’s informal dress. For me that shows disrespect, but that’s what [Kiwis] do.

While recognising casual dress as a cultural difference and something uniquely Kiwi, Pepa concluded, to group agreement, that dress awareness needed to reflect the occasion:

Like Hello! A little bit of respect is good. I am happy if you are a builder and you come in your lunch break; that I accept – it’s your lunch break and why not. But if you go to a wedding just make a little bit of effort in respect to the people. So, I think New Zealand is far too relaxed.

Two other factors influenced impressions of Kiwi dress sense: footwear and going barefoot. As Ana remarked:

People here wear jandals to go everywhere. It doesn’t matter if it’s snowing, or they go barefoot in the street.

While jandals were seen as common, going barefoot shocked all Latinx participants. Going barefoot contrasted their experiences of home. As Christian remarked:

Only homeless people or drunks in the parks are without shoes and it’s because they fall asleep while drunk and they [other drunks] steal their shoes; that’s the only reason why drunks don’t have shoes.

Pepa expressed her amazement at barefoot office workers by recalling that:

I see someone in an office walking with no shoes is really weird for us; it’s very strange to see.

However, these participants had become accustomed to seeing barefoot schoolchildren. Comments reflecting that included:

So for us that is like, Wow! Kids come to see us and forget the shoes all the time. It’s like such a Kiwi thing. I think Kiwi and just Kiwi. Someone said the other day, Oh that’s because of the climate. No it’s not because of the climate. I have grown up in front of the beach and we go to the street with shoes on. Maybe from the beach to the house you have got your jandals in your hand that day because you’ve got sun and you’re like, Wow I’m being brave. You don’t go to the street without shoes.
Pepa summed up the Latinx group’s perception of barefoot New Zealanders when she said:

*I think it’s a Kiwi thing. I think they’re chilled and it’s fine. They are tough, but I just can’t do it myself. Maybe Kiwis are in touch with the environment. I don’t know; it’s a Kiwi thing.*

Latinx participants found another Kiwi habit intriguing: removing shoes when entering a home. As Ana remarked:

*I have never seen this before in my life. I have travelled quite a lot and I relate this to Kiwi culture. You’re invited to a house and no one asks; they just take off their shoes. It’s something like cultural; they do it only here.*

Despite their initial amazement, Latinx participants began to embrace the practice. Reflecting their adaptation to the local environment Jurez added:

*I started thinking about [taking shoes off when entering someone’s home] and yeah this is really clean; it’s a nice thing to do. I think that it says that Kiwis are respectful; they respect your place. It’s a matter of education and respect and yeah, I won’t come in with my dirty shoes into your precious house. I think it is something like that; so, respect would be the word.*

Half of the Latinx participants suggested that they would adopt the practice if they went back to their countries of birth. Reflecting that, Jurez noted:

*Yes definitely, when I go back to Argentina I will take my shoes off and people will look at me like, what are you doing? Why would I take my shoes off?*

Luis was more pragmatic:

*I think it’s a matter of what I want; it’s my house so if you respect me, take your shoes off. I want to keep my house clean and nice. I don’t want to bring dirt from outside to my house. I think I will have to explain why I’m doing this.*

Ana noted how taking your shoes off might reveal more about a person and the culture they come from. She suggested that:

*Kiwis are more relaxed about other people’s opinions in that way really; maybe not in some others. You know, it’s alright, you’re my friend, why would I worry if you take your shoes off and then you have a hole in your sock? Argentinians, we’re very uptight in that sense. Kiwis are not. Perhaps we can learn this.*
Social Mixing, Coffee and Barbeques

My Latinx participants enjoyed socialising with Kiwis. Socialisation, eating, enjoying music and barbeques, provided opportunities for them to get to know Kiwi culture. What these participants found memorable was the diversity, especially getting to know a range of people of differing ages. Kiwi sociability was associated with an egalitarianism that is less present in their homelands, and which represented opportunities to mix with a wider age range of people. As Luis remarked while commenting on a photo taken at Piha beach:

So, again, surrounded by amazing nature, magnificent nature with the sea, with the mountains, and then this kind of music from around the world being enjoyed by mixed races, mixed ages. Again, not necessarily rich or poor or low-class people, it was for every single person that was there had the right, had the space to enjoy the things from around the world. And you can see that there’s lots of young people maybe growing, sharing, living that moment without perhaps understanding what is behind that moment, just listening to the music, chilling out like New Zealand style.

‘Chilled out style’ continued when Christian looked at Luis’s image:

It represents the people – the Kiwis – that enjoy being in outdoors, being free, feeling free; like mix of ages. These kinds of things you see often around the world but what I really like about, is that, you get people from different ages.

Adding to that, Erica commented:

This mass of people like celebrating something together; and I went to this event and there were like, there were kids from, like new-borns to people like elderly people; people in wheelchairs, it was every single culture.

The coming together of people from different walks of life contrasted Luis’s experiences in Argentina. He commented that:

It’s very sad for me to know that in Argentina [Buenos Aires], it separates too much; like the poor people to the medium people, to the really rich people. We have very few [...] people from other countries living there, [...] we only deal with Argentinians, which is the reality. I’m not saying it’s not good; but I think it’s a great opportunity for a human being to be surrounded by a mix of great cultures that are still surviving nowadays, and they have lots of values and things to teach.

Those comments prompted a discussion about the values inherent in being Kiwi and how socialisation impacted those values. Pepa’s comment encapsulated those connections:

Figure 62: Socialising at Piha.
I think everybody can be a Kiwi. Once you learn to be respectful, once you understand your history and your family [there] are plenty of good things about being Kiwi. That image [Piha] shows me that. It tells me being a Kiwi is about having an open mind and that Kiwis have lots of important people in their life that make them become what they are.

Extending this, in a veiled reference to the nation’s race relations, Ana remarked:

*There is history here – some of it good, some of it bad; lots of baggage of cultural difference. But in this image how would you know? This image is like representing a history perhaps; and the new guys that are maybe taking different footpaths.*

The country’s history prompted a discussion on its future. This group suggested that because of the social mix, Kiwis had a positive future. Luis posited:

*The tools are there to become a great people.*

One particular aspect that this group highlighted while discussing socialisation was the Kiwi obsession with coffee, particularly the flat white. The flat white was seen as something unique to New Zealand, and specifically to Auckland’s cafés. They enthusiastically commented how the flat white was, for them, an aide mémoire and ritual:

*If you live in Auckland, for sure, you’re a fanatic of flat white, and it’s a symbol of, day by day: like having a coffee with a friend, flat white will be present in your conversations.*

For these participants, the flat white represented more than a hot drink. It denoted consistency and competition. The flat white also mirrored the personalities of the people who sold it, creating a link between the coffee and being Kiwi. As Erica summed up, to group agreement:

*The uniqueness of a flat white is a bit like being Kiwi. You only get them here. And Kiwis make great coffee, especially the flat white. I can sort of see Kiwi personality in flat whites, they are the same, but they are different. Coffee culture is interesting, a way to get to know Kiwis. Every coffee have a very different personalities. For me, flat white culture or coffee culture in New Zealand, are very interesting. And I like that. I like that there is no change. They are the same but different.*

Attending Kiwi barbeques provided these participants with another avenue of socialisation. For these participants the barbeque reflected Kiwi down time. Collective comments included:

*One thing I love about this country is that it’s a country that has a very strong culture that links towards family time, down time, enjoying nature. They are not so concerned about career and making money to buy materialistic things as you find in American*
culture or even Australia across the ditch. So, the barbecue is a moment where they get together to eat some meat and again New Zealand is really good with meat and drink a bit of beer and crack a few jokes and just socialise.

Latinx participants considered Kiwi barbeques to be a key part of Kiwi identity and culture, and a social opportunity to experience Kiwi foods and rituals. Ana noted:

“I think the Kiwi barbecue is one of the most important aspects of how Kiwis socialise.”

The group found that part of their barbeque experience included drinking. As Erica observed:

“Socialisation with New Zealanders tends to revolve more around the pub or barbecues. The barbecue is a time where everybody is chilled, eating sausages, chicken, pork and all sorts of meats, salads and beer or bourbon or some sort of alcoholic beverage.”

Barbeques also provided opportunities to socialise with a diverse age range of people. This contrasted with many of their ‘back-home’ experiences. As Christian reflected:

“Here in New Zealand I found that you go to a barbecue and you could be having a beer with a 60-year-old person and you’re only 30 years old yourself. You’re both in your shorts and you’re both with a beer in your hand and you’re talking. In the beginning that was quite surprising and shocking. I didn’t know how to react to such a situation where I had people that were three decades older than me. So, I do think that the barbecue kind of unites all New Zealanders into a common social point of interaction.”

**Nature**

Another factor influencing participant perceptions of Kiwi identity was that being Kiwi was associated with nature. Having a coffee, sitting on a park bench near the seashore in Auckland city, watching seagulls, all promoted feelings of being close to nature for Luis. Pepa continued:

“I come from a big city. There you have to travel for a long while to reach the country. Here, I can go to the beach, eat and watch the seagulls. Even they are interesting. For me the beach is not far from my apartment, I can go there anytime. I often watch the seagulls squabble over the food, and I am amazed with the big trees that are red at Christmas, the clean water and beautiful sand. I seen some beaches with black sand too, and lot of flax. We go there, have coffee, take some food. It’s all just around the corner, it’s not like that back home.”
Pepa’s description prompted wider considerations of nature that included the silver fern. Participants established clear links between Kiwi identity and nature inasmuch as the country’s diverse population was synonymous with nature’s own diversity. Participants, particularly Ana and Erica, illustrated this connection using the silver fern as metaphor. For them, it represented the natural beauty of Aotearoa New Zealand. As Ana remarked:

*I never ever see a silver fern before in my life. 2009, the first time I came here, I saw the first time this beautiful leaf [...] it’s a natural icon of the country that is still very natural. It looks from the dinosaur age.*

Erica added:

*The fact that you can turn it up and you can see the silver colour with the sun. This is a very unique experience. And I will say to all the people in my country, that’s how New Zealand love to express with you. And you are exploring, my Kiwi friends always say, “Oh, did you know this plant is blah blah blah?” They try to explain to me and they are excited. I love that connection with nature.*

Complementing concepts of being Kiwi and nature were wider environmental issues. Luis observed:

*New Zealand’s still a very nice country with amazing nature objectives.*

Additionally, Ana recounted that:

*People here are respectful with the air and the values of the people of the land they love to be living in.*

For Latinx participants, those positions framed a holistic picture about being Kiwi. As Ana related:

*People here are proud [of] their country and respect and feel proud.*

Pepa added:

*Kiwis understand how to be a functional society and also be sustainable and make their people feel proud of being part of this.*

**Pacific Island Findings**

**Pacific Island Findings: Kiwiana**

Pacific Island participants identified four important kiwiana themes reflecting aspiration and change through innovation. Their kiwiana themes included education, food, housing
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and amenities. These participants promoted a sense of community within kiwiana facilitated through infrastructure, particularly bus services and suburban living.

**Education**

Education, particularly tertiary education, was an aspiration for my Pasifika participants. Education and university were key parts of kiwiana and, for them, going to university was important. New Zealand’s universities were all viewed as prestigious. The University of Auckland was perceived to be the most prestigious. Prestige was discerned within two domains: institutional standing and distance from their Pacific Island birthplace.

Embodying that, Snow observed that:

*The University of the Pacific is ok, Auckland University is just better. The University of the Pacific is just on another island, Fiji. My cousin goes there. I told his Mum, “Send him to Auckland”. She didn’t listen.*

Those comments reinforced the University of Auckland’s status for these participants. Part of that status was the university’s location. As Kisina remarked:

*It’s because New Zealand’s probably the closest overseas big country to the Islands.*

Continuing that theme, Aisake related:

*Kiwiana, first one for me, Sina, would be University of Auckland. It’s important for me to touch base with this one ’cause this would be what I would associate with New Zealand. New Zealand, in terms of education, it is one of the best.*

For David, the University of Auckland’s mana went beyond the Pacific region. He claimed:

*Anyone coming to live in New Zealand will know the University of Auckland. They will associate that university as New Zealand’s best.*

Snow looked for reasons why Pasifika peoples might revere the University of Auckland. She concluded:

*It’s a migrant mentality. You know, always trying to move forward and supposedly Auckland University’s the top one around the area and so we generally want to go there first. We come to a land where there are a lot of opportunities. At the same time, we don’t forget where we are from. You know, you almost kind of wear two hats and perhaps you live in two homes. Your physical body is here in New Zealand, but your*
heart is divided into two. Your identity is Tongan, you’re still Tongans, which means you still remember families who are in Tonga.

While coming to New Zealand was important because participants could access quality tertiary education, that benefit was not at the expense of others, especially those back home. In that way, Pasifika participants engaged a dual realm of existence. Part of that dual realm was founded in being in New Zealand. The other focused on the Islands and helping people there. In those ways, these participants were mindful of and promoted a collectivist sense of wellbeing, over and above individual benefit. Consequently, these findings reveal that, for Pasifika participants, kiwiana included collectivist behaviours.

Food

Kiwi food reflected kiwiana. Food’s importance included themes of their Island homes, adaption, belonging, and displays of economic capital, and so provided a potent insight into kiwiana. Through food, participants negotiated change as they established their new lives in New Zealand. Viliami recalled:

It’s like that advertisement on TV: the person coming into New Zealand and you’re being interviewed. The Customs Officer pulls out some logos: Tip Top and Anchor. If you can only identify one of them, then you must be a visitor. You’re not really a Kiwi. Identify both and you’re Kiwi.

Aisake declared:

My kiwiana is New Zealand food: cheese, butter and meat pies. And, I think it’s distinctly New Zealand and for food it’s nourishment. I love it, New Zealand butter.
Food was also a powerful reminder of home. Participants missed the rituals and routines around the preparation and consumption of food, which they found lacking in New Zealand. As Kisina recalled:

*Food’s completely different here. I mean in the Islands, I know it’s not that healthy to eat some Island foods, but I love the taste, in the Islands. It’s totally different over here.*

Participants missed foodstuffs specific to their homeland, such as taro leaves, raw fish and pork, as well as the rituals surrounding them like preparing the umu\(^{31}\). Innovation in food preparation and ingredients reflected their adaptation to New Zealand’s seasonality and limited ingredient range. Different food consumption, preparation and purchasing concepts revealed how Pasifika participants adapted to their new life in New Zealand and their identity as Kiwis.

Marinated raw fish was an Island dish especially important to all participants. They were unanimous: “We eat it every day in the Island but not here quite so much,” Tapu observed. Colder New Zealand seawaters provided different fish:
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*I make my raw fish with Pink Snapper\(^ {32} \). It’s almost the same as hoe, but it’s pricey. At home it’s free.*

In using pink snapper, Tapu was aware that her culinary adaptation revealed a greater change than the fish alone suggested. He recalled:

*I am just reinventing both. My raw fish is a bit of Kiwi and a bit of Island recipe; Island recipe with Kiwi product.*

For Tapu, her hybrid raw fish dish became a metaphor for his own reinvention as a Kiwi.

Food was also a way in which this group, and the Pacific Islanders they knew in New Zealand, displayed economic capital. This was manifested through food consumption, especially dining out. As Snow remarked:

*We Islanders, we always like it big [quantity of food] and yeah, it’s always over budget. We flash the cash.*

---

\(^{31}\) An earth oven.

\(^{32}\) Snapper fillets sell for $35-$40 per kilo in New Zealand. Snapper is regarded as a premium fish.
One favourite restaurant in Auckland city was SkyCity’s Fortuna Restaurant. As Snow suggested:

*I guess that is where all the PIs and Kiwis go to for a good feed, for special occasions and stuff. It is affordable for the family.*

While perceived as good value for money, eating out also displayed the economic benefits of living in New Zealand, compared to life in the Islands. As Kisina remarked:

*I think it’s a migrant thing and perhaps I talk from my Tongan-ness. It is an attribute – move from a country where you hardly have cash to a country where you [...] do something that will show your wealth, you know.*

Consequently, food acted as actant materiality, with some items reminding participants of home, while other food items spoke of change and adaption. Food provided a bridge of change for this group. Food’s commodification in New Zealand contrasted their previous life in the Islands where they “went and caught fish, free”. Consequently, food for this group represented an important marker within their understandings of kiwiana, personal change, identity and economic status.

**Housing and Amenities**

While some participants were land owners back in the Islands, home ownership in New Zealand was an aspiration. Factors influencing participant perceptions of owning real estate included price, suburban infrastructure (particularly public transport), and how those were realised in what Viliami termed:

*Nice, neat and tidy suburbs with no rubbish.*

He noticed that:

*Almost all neighbourhoods are tidy, just some are more tidy than others.*

That contrasted the Islands. As David suggested:

*Often, we have rubbish everywhere and it looks awful. I identify that with New Zealand. I like the way the streets are nice and neat and tidy; no rubbish.*

Participants perceived that Aucklanders enjoyed a comparatively high standard of living and that was an additional motivation to own property. Property ownership reflected status and independence. As materiality, home ownership in New Zealand reflected Kiwi identity and was therefore an important kiwiana aspiration. As Aisake related:
As a migrant, it’s something I aspire to. I’ve got my own land in Tonga. I wish one day I have my own here. So, it’s something we aspire to do. But I have to work hard towards it. And when you get it you can do whatever you want with your own backyards. Besides, most Kiwis own their house.

However, participants found Auckland’s property prices prohibitive. As Kisina observed:

It’s too much. Currently at the moment, I’m paying $550 per week for a three-bedroom in South Auckland. If I were to compare this to Australia and that, I would say the Land Down Under is a bit more affordable. The Kiwiland is a bit expensive.

Yet, despite high real estate prices, participants realised that demographic changes might positively impact their purchasing power. Viliami surmised:

Here there are big houses. It’s much more cleaner. Commuting is fast. The land’s expensive but there are some changes happening. While everyone’s moving to New Zealand, many Kiwis are moving out of Auckland and moving away to the country. That might impact house prices downward.

Adding to the attraction of home ownership was public transport. For Snow, public transport was an important aspect of kiwiana:

I use public transport a lot. To me that signifies kiwiana. I can catch the public bus instead of my own vehicle and it costs less for me to travel. And I like that you can get to wherever you want around Auckland and also the suburbs.

David added:

I rely on public transport for everything. It’s how I get around Auckland, go to work. It’s cheap and clean.

Tapu remarked:

I like the service. You can call up and find out the best bus to catch.

While the high cost of Auckland’s real estate was prohibitive, Pasifika participants aspired to own property. For them it represented status and independence. Owning property, for these participants, reflected being Kiwi. Additionally, infrastructure, including public transport and rubbish collection, enhanced participant feelings of wanting to belong here. Those feelings contrasted the lack of public transport and

---

33 In the New Zealand Herald, Orsman (2018) reported that public transport in Auckland represented the third most expensive commute in the world, behind that of London and Dublin.
sometimes-messy environments that had been experienced in the Islands. Consequently, property and infrastructure promoted participant narratives reflecting kiwiana.

**Pacific Island Findings: Kiwi Identity**

Pasifika participants identified Māori, the New Zealand flag, experiences of discrimination and stereotyping and the All Blacks as important items and themes reflecting Kiwi identity. In discussing those topics, participants were clear about the reasons why they wanted to come to New Zealand. As Sina commented, to group approval, “We come to New Zealand for a better life”. A better life was not gained at the expense of their birthplace nor was it problem-free (see the section on “Discrimination and Stereotype”, below). However, as Kisina commented:

*I feel like I’m getting the best of both worlds.*

Pasifika participants maintained strong ties to their Island and families back home in the islands.

**Māori**

For Pacific Island participants, being Māori, te ao Māori and Māori culture underpinned being Kiwi because, as David related:

*Māori language, culture and way of life tie into the Pacific.*

Snow added:

[Māori] are the indigenous people of New Zealand so when you say Māori, it means Kiwi. It represents New Zealand as just people.

For Kisina, Māori symbols were part of his aspirational journey in coming to New Zealand. Image potency made Māori his:

*Number one thing.*

Tapu continued:

*The tiki and the kiwi [bird] are important. They have history as representations of ancestors and it’s a symbol of culture.*

However, for Viliami, coming to know and understand Kiwi identity was an iterative process:
I’m naturalised now; I’m a citizen. But when moving from the Islands to New Zealand, migrating, I see the Māori as Kiwis and that is before I kind of understand the whole Kiwi would be... everyone would be Kiwis. But before that, I identified New Zealand as Māori.

Others in the group were equally aware of Kiwi identity’s temporality. David recalled:

_I have pictures of [a moko] and that represents Māoridom and, like Sina was saying, to me before I migrated over here, every time I looked at the Māori costumes or pictures of hongi, Māori was my number one Kiwi thought._

As these findings reveal, Pasifika participants held strong bonds with Māori. Those bonds and Māori/Kiwi identities were revealed and reviewed as the group negotiated their own changing identities brought about by their migration to New Zealand.

Complementing David’s earlier comment, Aisake succinctly observed:

_I am very comfortable in Māori culture. It can be easier than others. In Māori culture I feel I know what to do._

Pasifika participants were nonetheless aware of the politics surrounding Māori identity. In talking about an image of the Māori Queen (discussion below) David remarked:

_I feel part of Māori culture too, but I stay well clear of the politics that can go with it._

Kisina recognised the politics of identity and the link between Māori and Island cultures:

_Māori would be the language, cultures, way of life, how it ties to the Pacific. I don’t want to get into political stuff either._

Despite reservations reflecting the politics of identity, the Māori language was very important to this group as a signifier of Kiwi identity. As Snow suggested:

_Māori is the official language_. So, in terms of an equal perspective, obviously that is a Kiwi identifier.

Another image dominating group discussion was of a woman that participants referred to as the Māori Queen. Viliami sourced this image from the internet. He included it in his PhotoVoice collection because he was moved by the image’s beauty, composition and symbolic meaning. Two themes were considered important within this image: the sitter’s

---

34 Aotearoa New Zealand has three official languages: English, Māori and Sign Language.
demeanour and poise and, the blend of cultural symbols. These symbols incorporated Māori and Pākehā cultures. Viliami proposed:

*I love this image. It’s an old photo: a powerful image. It’s beautiful. I think it’s the Māori Queen. It is one of the strongest senses of Kiwi identity.*

Supporting this, Aisaki mentioned the sitter’s poise:

*Have a look at the way she is sitting, she has to be important. Yeah, regular people don’t sit like that.*

The image’s sepia tones added a romantic perspective for participants. Reflecting its classicality Viliami observed:

*It looks like out of a movie, Cleopatra.*

Discussion then moved to the image’s materiality. Snow noticed that:

*There’re heaps of Māori things and Pākehā stuff too.*

That comment created a short debate about which culture dominated the image. However, it was the sitter’s attributes, demeanour, costume and mere\(^{35}\) that decided its provenance.

David suggested:

*Ok the chair and the carpet and drapes look like Pākehā, but she looks Māori to me.*

Snow proposed:

*Yes, but the Pākehā influences add to the image.*

The mix of symbols represented the inclusive nature of being Kiwi for participants. Encapsulating that Kisina remarked:

*I would see that image as representative of European influences in terms of how you hold yourself and the fact that you might rank highest in Māori. For me, it integrates both, the best of both cultures.*

Consequently, this image was impactful because participants realised its materiality and the qualities of both Māori and Pākehā that were included in the image.

---

\(^{35}\)“A short, flat weapon of stone, often greenstone” (Māori Dictionary, 2018).
The New Zealand Flag

New Zealand’s flag represented two important themes for this group. The first defined the nation’s history. The second reflected the transitional experience of participants as they moved between aspiring to come to New Zealand and gaining residence.

Reflecting the former and the distinction flags generally conferred, Viliami proposed that:

The Kiwi flag isn’t the Union Jack. That wouldn’t be Kiwi at all. It would be English. We are mixed race here and the flag, I would say, this would be the symbolic of being Kiwi.

David added:

Yes, but this place [New Zealand] was settled by the English.

Those comments led to a discussion on the New Zealand flag’s symbols. Tapu noticed that:

There is the Union Jack in the corner, but the stars are unique to here, so it shows the history.

Countering that, David proposed in reference to the lack of Māori symbols on the ensign:

Yes but not all the history, just the English history.

Then, realizing the politics inherent in discussing the flag, Aisake said:

Let’s not get into that political stuff.

Consequently, the discussion moved onto exploring how the flag’s meaning had changed for participants. Those changes paralleled their own identity transitions from aspirant migrant to resident.

Despite its historical undercurrents, the Kiwi flag represented a holistic and temporal symbol of Kiwi identity for this group. Recognising that, and the identity transitions they had experienced, Kisina commented:

I think, with the flag, its value is emphasised when you become a Kiwi. Then, you kind of associate everything to it, once you’re being a citizen. So, the flag identifies you, identifies the culture – everything that ties to it, even the benefits, even the land; even the spoken language. Everything. So, the value would be, as a whole; so, for me, it’s quite important. I would see it as significant value to myself.
The flag was unifying. It fostered feelings of belonging as their own migrant identities unfolded. As Kisina observed:

First, I saw the flag as a hosting country: like, it doesn’t really sit right until you’re actually a Kiwi. Until then, you’re kind of a visitor.

Viliami agreed recounting that:

You’re kind of being tied to it, as I mentioned before; the naturalising is a big punch too; it means being a Kiwi; and then you kind of more value the flag, because it identifies you.

While the flag was a static symbol, its meaning for participants was dynamic. As their status changed, so too did the flag’s meaning and relevance.

**Discrimination and Stereotype**

In becoming Kiwi, Pasifika participants experienced discrimination and stereotyping. As Snow recalled:

It something you have to accept. It takes a while for Kiwis to include you in their circle or culture.

Aisake noted that:

In some areas of New Zealand where it’s predominantly white people, such as the private schools and other parts, when you’re a blackie, a coloured; they sort of, you know, there’s that invisible barrier. You feel it’s there.

David felt compelled to temper the implications of denouncing experiences of discrimination in New Zealand, saying:

Kiwis are multicultural. We are freer here ... well, for example, if you go to Australia, I think ... Kiwis are friendlier.

Tapu concurred, adding that it was:

Not really terrible but uncomfortable, yes uncomfortable.

David suggested that discomfort was felt as something that Pasifika immigrants:

Just have to accept.

Adding to the group’s feelings of discrimination was their concerns about Auckland city’s ghettoisation. Of particular note was how the media negatively portrayed the city’s southern suburbs by linking crime to their Pasifika and Māori populations. Reflecting that, Kisina remarked that:
There is a general knowledge that South Auckland, that’s where the criminals are and that’s where I and Māori are pretty much living. So, to me, that’s discriminatory against Pacific and Māori.

Viliami blamed the media:

I would blame the media for it; it paints a negative picture of South Auckland. They never display something positive about it and almost, you know, the only thing you hear about South Auckland is reporting criminal stuff, you know.

Media’s link of these suburbs to specific ethnicities and crime David suggested:

Becomes a cycle, right.

Viliami suggested that:

A lot of good things happen in [South Auckland suburbs]. They are just ignored by media.

While participants agreed that media played a key role in creating a negative Pasifika stereotype, Snow thought that government intervention also contributed toward South Auckland’s ghettoisation:

I don’t blame people who live there because it’s the government’s creation. If you think back to the seventies, a lot of us Pacific Islanders were living in Ponsonby36 and then later they pushed Pasifika people out.

According to Aisake, part of the movement of Pasifika peoples away from inner city suburb living was financial incentive:

You hear of government incentives of $5,000 for people to move out.

For David, that process created feelings of:

Oh, no, not again.

He suggested that Pasifika people had to stand up and do two things, break down the barriers and be brave about taking risks. He continued:

Nothing will change people’s ideas about South Auckland until they realise that it is a good place to live with good people living there.

While these participants came to New Zealand “for a better life”, these findings reveal that this has been a double-edged sword. While maximising their educational opportunities, my Pasifika participants have faced discrimination and objectification.

36 A gentrified inner-city suburb of Auckland.
Despite those negativities, a sense of self-empowerment and alignment with Māori dominated their discourse in positive and meaningful ways.

**The All Blacks**

Many Pacific Island nations and New Zealand share a love of rugby. The All Blacks were a key aspirational theme, as summarised by Aisake:

> Before moving to New Zealand, every kid in the Island wants to be an All Black.

Viliami added:

> Without the All Blacks no-one would know New Zealand and without the All Blacks, there wouldn’t be rugby.

Unsurprisingly then, Pasifika participants included the All Blacks in their considerations of Kiwi identity. What the All Blacks signified to this group, over and above their sporting prowess, was the embodiment of Kiwi characteristics. Noting that “the All Blacks are the best rugby team”, Pasifika participants identified teamwork as a reflection of being and becoming Kiwi. Kisina observed that:

> The All Blacks represent a country of people and how they perform on the field.

Performance was characterised by success, another characteristic they associated with being Kiwi. Kisina surmised:

> Kiwis love winning. They are passionate about what they like.

The All Blacks mediated feelings of belonging. Participants talked about players as if they knew them, and former All Blacks captain Richie McCaw was a favourite and typified that association. However, the All Blacks were also an important determinant of participant loyalty. That was particularly evident when the All Blacks played Samoa or Tonga. Participants really enjoyed telling these stories. As Snow advised:

> To me, I cheer for Tonga but at the same time within me, it’s like the All Blacks.

Aisake added:
I am so proud to be Tongan and to cheer for them but in terms of recognising where I live, I also acknowledge the All Blacks, you know. It’s something that I always do. But it’s a different story when the All Blacks play other teams.

Participant identification with the All Blacks was important because it revealed key characteristics they associated with being Kiwi. Their discussion also revealed that despite their New Zealand residency, Pasifika participants’ thoughts were never far from home. In that way, rugby also reflected the dual realm of existence my participants experienced.

In the following chapter I extend my findings by presenting a discussion and the conclusion to my thesis.
Chapter 7: Discussion and Conclusion

Introduction

My participants’ perceptions of kiwiana and Kiwi identity contrasted with existing literature. To be clear, my participants recognised that only four items of existing kiwiana were important to them in reflecting Kiwi identity. The four items were Tip Top ice cream, barbeques, the All Blacks and the silver fern. Consequently, as I will discuss in the following section, a new range of kiwiana has potentialised new ways of being Kiwi.

While I have clearly linked kiwiana to Kiwi identity in this thesis, I have been at pains to establish that kiwiana is not the sole domain of Pākehā. My research, through participant input, has clearly established the self-ascriptive nature of the identifier Kiwi for anyone choosing it. Similarly, this research aligns Māoriana as kiwiana. For participants and researcher, Māoriana and kiwiana are situated side by side. Again, that finding, as this discussion will show, contrasted with existing literature.

With these points in mind, this chapter is structured in the following way. To begin I discuss kiwiana and Kiwi identity. I compare and contrast participant realities of kiwiana and Kiwi identity with literature. Then, I discuss PhotoVoice and qualitative description. That discussion is important, since it was through the combination of PhotoVoice and qualitative description that my participants have realised Kiwi identity and kiwiana in new and exciting ways. As a result, I have identified new Kiwi myths. These myths were discerned by my participants and interpreted by me, cognisant of methodology and findings.

In light of my findings and the first stage of my discussion, I present my construct of Fourth Wave Migration and Identity Construction. As I have come to understand it, migration has created changes in Kiwi identity and kiwiana. My construct of Fourth Wave model expands my thoughts on this phenomenon. Finally, in a combination of topic and methodological discussion, I conclude my thesis. In doing so, I consider my research limitations, possibilities for future research, and the potential wider impact of my research. Then, I leave my readers with a final reflective commentary.
Kiwiana and Kiwi Identity

My Latinx, Pasifika and Chinese participants’ constructs of Kiwi identity and kiwiana stand in stark contrast with existing literature on both topics. To be clear, of the 44 items of kiwiana noted in my literature review, my research participants recognised that only four of those items were important to them. Those four were Tip Top ice-creams, barbeques, the All Blacks, and the silver fern. Consequently, a new range of kiwiana, as identifiers of being Kiwi, has been uncovered in this research.

Kiwiana

As my literature review noted, kiwiana includes simple household items within the retrospective narratives of accumulated symbols reflecting national identity and imbued with accumulated meaning (C. Bell, 2004, 2012a, 2015; Neill, 2013a; 2013b). Consequently, kiwiana’s ‘uniqueness to New Zealand’ has created insular narratives. Literature reveals that those narratives are linked to Pākehā culture (C. Bell, 1996). However, some vernacular authors (Wolfe & Barnett, 2001) have also linked kiwiana to Pākehā and Kiwi identities. Either way, kiwiana has reinforced, through materiality and actant narrative, New Zealanders’ unique place in the world. Notwithstanding, this uniqueness, expressed via kiwiana materiality (excluding indigenous flora and fauna), is questionable (Neill, 2013b). What existing constructs of kiwiana tell us is that Aotearoa New Zealand has been more of an ‘isolated special needs workshop’ than a contemporary social laboratory of the world or a considered player in a globalised world economy. Consequently, with those points in mind, the following sections extend my findings chapter and respond to my research question and aim.

My research asked: “In what ways do Kiwi identity and kiwiana hold relevance for non-Pākehā migrant communities?” My participants have suggested that new realisations of kiwiana reflect globalised themes. These themes are imbued with economic potential and bring about new behaviours. Many of those behaviours are linked to urban lifestyle. Consequently, my research reaffirms a wider pattern of being in New Zealand: the move toward city/urban living. In that way, ‘new’ kiwiana has moved the nation forward by embracing global rather than local perceptions. My participants have realised McKergow’s (2000) deeper understanding of the plurality involved in being Kiwi. Table 26, below, outlines my participants’ constructs of kiwiana. As with older kiwiana, if
indigenous Māori elements, flora and fauna are excluded, it can be seen that these new items of kiwiana are not unique to Aotearoa New Zealand. An asterisk in Table 26 identifies those items.

Table 25: New constructs of kiwiana.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chinese Constructs</th>
<th>Latin American Constructs</th>
<th>Pacific Island Constructs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treaty of Waitangi</td>
<td>Māori</td>
<td>Education*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature</td>
<td>Nature</td>
<td>Food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee*</td>
<td>Black Passport/Fern</td>
<td>Housing*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine*</td>
<td>Silver Fern</td>
<td>Infrastructure/Amenities*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mānuka Honey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. * indicates items not unique to Aotearoa New Zealand.

My participants offered an invigorated view of a dynamic Kiwi identity expressed through new kiwiana. Their attention to what constitutes Kiwi identity and kiwiana reverses the present notion of Māori subordination to Pākehā. That revision bypasses both Pākehā and Māori, because its genesis lies with my participant groups. In that way, participants have realised not only new ways of being, but also new ways of becoming. That becoming begins with Māori. It concurs with Awatere’s (1984) much earlier (and at the time, highly controversial) position.

In combining the positions of Awatere in 1984 and my participants in 2016-2018, it is evident that identity in Aotearoa New Zealand is contested but, within its “site of struggle” (Cohen, 2012, p. 141), positive change has occurred. If, as C. Bell (2012c) reminded us, material items are imbued with meaning, then new meanings within new materialities potentialise and recognise new ways of both being and becoming.

Leading the way within this nexus of being and becoming are Māori identity, culture and materiality. In that regard, it was unsurprising to me that my participants did not differentiate kiwiana from Māoriana. To them, Kiwi identity could be claimed by anyone. With that claim, participants realised that new materiality was an inclusive part of changing identities. Participants judged no materiality to be less or greater than any other. In that way, being Kiwi began with being Māori and then extended equitably outward from there.

In those ways, the new items of kiwiana could be categorised in two distinct but complementary ways:
transcendent or aspirational materiality, holding spiritual meaning (Māori, Treaty of Waitangi, education, nature, silver fern, and passports); and

commercial materialities validating identity (coffee, wine, mānuka honey, food, housing, and infrastructure/amenities).

These categories transcend C. Bell’s (1996) rhetoric that Kiwis have insecure identities. I suggest that new items of kiwiana empower Kiwis. That empowerment was expressed within a more complete identity toolkit of materiality. The toolkit’s strength lies in its combination of materiality and aspiration: the wairua of being Kiwi. In that way, belief transcends being. New kiwiana facilitates self-belief inasmuch as Kiwis are freed from the burden of having to constantly remind themselves who they are (C. Bell, 1996). Ways of being have fallen away into ways of becoming. New materiality has empowered Kiwi identity in ways not dissimilar to that of gay identity. Act-Up’s chant: “we’re queer, get used to it” (Metro, 2018, para.1) resonates with a new and emergent Kiwi identity. Both identities resonate without apology or an insecurity of being (C. Bell, 1996). The “site of struggle” (Cohen, 2012, p. 141) for emergent Kiwi identity in this research is moving toward a new confidence of being. That future is encapsulated in my Fourth Wave Migration and Identity construct (see the section on “Why is Kiwi Identity Changing”, below).

However, the signs of Kiwi identity transitioning to a more independent sense of self have been there for some time. Setting aside Awatere’s (1984) views on the lack of Pākehā culture, more recent immigration changes have influenced the nation’s demographics and therefore ways of being Kiwi. Yet Kiwis’ confidence in being Kiwi has an inconsistent history. That history and its inherent insecurity of being is linked with New Zealand’s relationship with England. Exemplifying that situation, I draw attention to the following historical events that have created an insecurity of identity for many Kiwis:

• New Zealand’s unquestioned loyalty to Britain. That loyalty was evidenced by an early settler desire to make New Zealand the England of the South Pacific (Beaglehole, 2012). Similarly, there was New Zealand’s unquestioned military loyalty to Britain: “Both with gratitude for the past and confidence in the future, we range ourselves without fear beside Britain. Where she goes, we go. Where she stands, we stand” (Ministry of Culture and Heritage 2017, para. 4).
The insecurity of identity inherent in the economic insecurity that arose when Britain joined the European Economic Community in 1973 (BBC, 2008).

The surge of an independent identity with New Zealand’s anti-nuclear stance (in 1984); (Carlyon & Morrow, 2013).

In contrast to that background, more recently, Professor Paul Spoonley, interviewed in the New Zealand Herald, discussed the nation’s independent spirit and ethnic identity diversity. As Spoonley observed, “In the scheme of things, we as New Zealanders have come an incredibly long way in terms of how we view diversity. ... Today a majority of Kiwis are just embracing and enjoying what ethnic diversity brings” (Tan, 2012, para. 17-18). For this research, that diversity brings new materiality and, with it, new ways of being Kiwi.

Similarly, the realisation of kiwiana as a mediator of consumer identity contrasts with previous literature. The savings made by adopting an innovative ‘she’ll be right’ mindset are being replaced by identity constructed through consumption – a Kiwi version of Veblen’s (1899) conspicuous consumption: ‘flashing the cash’. Knowing about Kiwi wines and mānuka honey, eating out, impressing others, and seeing flat whites and other coffees as expressions of identity indicate these are items that have come to express social (Giddens & Sutton, 2013), economic (Giddens & Sutton, 2013) and culinary capital. Those expressions were enacted indoors, as participants entertained at home, and in public arenas that included restaurants and cafés. The innovative ‘number 8 wire’ mindset is on the wane. New ways of being and becoming, reflected by my participants, display and embody new ways of being Kiwi. Those new ways contrast with previous ways of being Kiwi.

High on the list of kiwiana items were New Zealand wines, mānuka honey, eating out and flat whites. Participants shared their pride in knowing about those items. Knowing about where to eat, what wine varietals to drink, ice cream and coffees generated feelings of participant belonging. My participants enhanced those feelings through comparison. Those comparisons were healthy. Research participants came to know, via comparison, new products and, by association, the new knowledge and behaviours associated with them. Consequently, products such as coffee, wine, food, honey and ice cream are mediating agents used by my participants in negotiating their changing identities. Cumulatively, those changes reflect the transition between being (Chinese, Latinx, or
Pasifika) and becoming Kiwi. However, that becoming, for participants, was not total. Participants, especially my Pasifika group, maintained strong links to home and their Pacific Island identities.

However, identity through consumption highlights how people find meaning and security within materiality. As Perez, Castaño and Quintanilla (2010) noted, people buying (fake) luxury goods expressed their desire to “belong to a social class” (p. 220). That desire emanated from their efforts to “construct a positive self-image” (Perez et al., 2010, p. 220). In a similar way, purchasing items such as coffee, wine, food, honey and ice cream displayed characteristics that can be understood through social identity theory because participants displayed behaviours that were aimed toward pursuing an identity which they perceive to have a higher status: the Kiwi identity.

While the concept of identity via consumption raises some interesting questions, many of those questions lie outside the scope of this research. Nonetheless, they are noted here and highlighted as domains for further research. For example, it would be interesting to ask: ‘How have the marketing strategies for Aotearoa New Zealand, as a tourist destination, influenced my participants’ kiwiana and Kiwi identity realities’? Underpinning that question lies a darker dimension: Is New Zealand ‘selling itself’ in accurate and sustainable ways? Are towns embracing kiwiana, such as Otorohanga, relics of a passing age?

An interesting way to respond to that question is to consider how the blend of spiritual and commercial themes that my participants identified are portrayed in the media. A simple way to do that is to use the phrase ‘about New Zealand’ as a Google keyword search. Googling those words produces 801,000,000 results in 0.68 seconds. The primary site reached, after advertising material, is the Tourism New Zealand website at https://www.newzealand.com/int/facts/ (Tourism New Zealand, n.d.). Images on that site were revealing. I discovered that many of the images on that site were very similar to my

---

37 My contact with Tourism New Zealand revealed: “As a heads up, our consumer insights team conducts image research in offshore markets on what the target audience in that market most associations with New Zealand. With that information, we use the images that perform the best in the market in our advertising and on our website. So it’s likely that the image in question is an image that resonates with your research audience” (personal communication, July 25, 2018).
participants’ PhotoVoice images. Some of the images included on that site are shown in Figure 75, below.

Figure 75: Images from Tourism New Zealand (n.d.) website.

The website images included the black Kiwi passport, the country’s geology and geography, food, the hongi, and the symbols of the nation included the nation’s currency. These coincidences are alarming. I wonder, to what extent migrants are influenced by media ‘bites’ and images as vectors of knowledge in coming to know the country and being Kiwi? That consideration has led me to question my own research.

I wonder, have I overlooked something which subtly influences us all: the media. My thinking that my participants were ‘tabula rasa’ was naive. Should I have asked all my participants a simple question: ‘What internet sites did you first visit when you were considering migrating to Aotearoa New Zealand’? Now, I propose that the pernicious influence of media has influenced my participants and therefore my research. My recognition of it acknowledges the wider rhetoric of advertising and marketing executives. Those executives might well believe that ‘yes, we are going well; Kiwi identity is coming along nicely. Soon people will be buying what we want them to buy, visiting the places we select for them and eating the foods and enjoying the cultures we decide are important to them’.

My acknowledgement of media influence extends C. Bell’s (1996) observation in Inventing New Zealand: Everyday myths of Pākehā identity that middle-aged, middle-class, Pākehā, male media executives play decisive roles in deciding what topics are to be consumed by the general public. Consequently, I identify the need for more research exploring the media/migrant mind-set nexus. It is against that background that I wonder how and where my participants came to their notions about the Treaty of Waitangi, nature, education, housing and infrastructure. Is it possible that wider factors have influenced my participants’ thoughts, either directly or indirectly?
In coming to Aotearoa New Zealand, it would be natural for migrants to be interested in the nation’s history and first peoples. That interest was realised for participants within being Māori, Māori culture and the Waitangi Treaty grounds. While wine, mānuka honey, eating out and flat white coffees reflected commercially realised identity, Māori, Māori culture and the Waitangi Treaty grounds signified transcendent or aspirational materiality, holding spiritual meaning. In likening Māori culture to that of ancient Italy, and Aztec and Mayan cultures, my participants realised the depth and meaning inherent in Māori culture. I suggest that my participants’ view is not commonly held in New Zealand today. Exemplifying that is the sub-categorisation of Māoriana by C. Bell (2012c). Consequently, and in that way, my participants show a way forward. By their example, they indicate that being Māori, and Māori art and culture, need to be revered in the same ways that many Kiwis consider the cultures of Europe. Possibly because of the subjectified nature of Māoriana, as art and culture, it has become a taken-for-granted symbol of national identity (Billig, 1995). As participants noted, that taken-for-granted nature of Māori culture means it is appropriated by many Pākehā as and when their need arises.

I suggest that what can be learnt from my participants within constructs of kiwiana is that Māori culture is special and unique to this country. Māori culture holds similar mana to the ancient cultures of Europe, although it is expressed in different ways. Thus, being Māori, and Māori culture and art, meet the criteria of an older kiwiana inasmuch as Māori, Māori culture and art, as new kiwiana, are actually unique to Aotearoa New Zealand.

**Kiwi Identity**

Being Kiwi is an identity of self-ascription. Despite its use in the media as a catch-all identifier, ‘Kiwi’ is imbued with the whakapapa and mana incorporating the nation’s military history, pioneer spirit and ‘can-do’ attitude. In those ways, and in vernacular expression, being Kiwi has come to represent innovation and ‘punching above one’s weight’. As Sands and Beverland (2010) noted, Kiwi is a positive and vernacular identifier for people from Aotearoa New Zealand. Consequently, Kiwi identity is imbued with a lineage of history. Like history, that lineage and meaning are not easily changed and at the same time have multiple and complex interpretations. Nonetheless, this research has signalled change. Two overarching themes encapsulate that change: being Māori/Māori culture and nature. That emphasis and these combinations were borne out
by my participants’ commentary. They proposed that indigeneity is a primal force within Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Table 27, below, presents constructs of Kiwi identity identified by participant groups.

Table 27: New constructs of Kiwi identity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chinese Kiwi Identity Constructs</th>
<th>Latin American Kiwi Identity Constructs</th>
<th>Pacific Island Kiwi Identity Constructs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Geology/geography</td>
<td>• Coffee</td>
<td>• Māori</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Democracy/law and order</td>
<td>• Nature</td>
<td>• New Zealand’s flag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Retirement</td>
<td>• Going barefoot/Casual dress</td>
<td>• Discrimination/stereotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tip-Top Ice-cream</td>
<td>• Barbeque</td>
<td>• All Blacks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

My participants made it clear: the current bifurcated relationship between Māori and Pākehā is waning, and a new Kiwi order is about to replace it. Aligning with Awatere (1984), that new Kiwi order places Māori first. This realisation echoes the relationship between Māori and Pākehā advocated by Michael King (1999), inasmuch as Pākehā are a younger sibling to Māori. Supporting that position, participants aligned Māori culture to that of ancient Europe and the Americas. In this way, the historical face of Aotearoa New Zealand has also changed. As my participants revealed, the nation’s history can now be seen through a wider, more holistic lens. That lens incorporates pre-European settlement and Māori culture as points of distinction. That distinction, participants realised, was comparable to that of ancient Rome, Aztec or Mayan cultures.

This signals a radical change in mind-set. While the first European contacts made with Aotearoa New Zealand by Tasman and Cook are still likely to dominate the nation’s history, my participants offer a more pragmatic view. As migrants who may be unfamiliar with the nation’s European history, their view, in stressing the importance of Māori culture, invites us to consider a revised history. While the pre-European history of New Zealand is not currently ignored, inasmuch as New Zealand, unlike Australia, has not been presented as terra nullius, a wider view of that pre-European history emphasises the place and importance of Māori, thus placing Māori history at the forefront of consciousness. In doing so, the place of Cook and Tasman need revision. In these ways, the insights of my participants that included nature and Māori have the potential to effect change.
That change would challenge C. Bell’s (2012c) assertion that Māoriana is a subcategory of kiwiana. Likewise, A. Bell’s (2006) commentary suggesting the nation is bifurcated would also be challenged. While my participants did not emphasise a ‘one people one nation’ mind-set, they nonetheless promoted a new social order. In this way, my research revealed that, should more Kiwis, over time, subscribe to the positions of my participants, a new power dynamic may emerge within the nation. As perceived by my participants, that power dynamic would place Māori first.

Since my participants identified new forms of kiwiana, it comes as no surprise to me that their thoughts about Kiwi identity also challenged existing constructs. As I have come to understand it, my research participants identified several key characteristics that they believe constitute the ‘new Kiwi’ identity. With that in mind, the aim of this section of my discussion is to discuss and analyse and, at points, synthesise the new characteristics of Kiwi identity. To achieve that, I have come to understand that my participants’ views can be seen as metaphors. Sometimes my participants’ metaphors included active comparison between what participants have experienced in New Zealand and in their countries of birth. My view is that being Kiwi, for my participants, has an active association to the land. Participants expressed that connection in multiple ways; however, their emphasis was the same: being Kiwi and its materiality, kiwiana, were about belonging to the land and how that association generated feelings of belonging. In that way, new concepts of being Kiwi aligned to being Māori, particularly within the construct of turangawaewae. Similarly, my participants’ views aligned with King’s (1999) suggestion that people living in New Zealand by choice, who were committed to the land and its people, were equally as indigenous as Māori.

In reading, re-reading and considering my findings I have come to understand that while my participants discussed specific topics, such as the All Blacks, the silver fern or ice cream, those discussions held deeper meanings than the topics themselves. Without adding another theoretical dimension to my work, I can see that my participants have engaged the heteroglossic voice (Bakhtin, 1981). That voice articulated multiple meanings spoken from a range of different perspectives albeit contemporaneously. Therefore, I draw out the participant expressions discussed below as metaphors for something else: knowing and belonging as a Kiwi expressed within their multi-voicedness.
I suggest that references to New Zealand’s geothermal activity and the ability to see rainbows were, for participants, metaphors of change. Aotearoa New Zealand’s place within the ring of fire\textsuperscript{38}, I have come to realise, represents not only the nation’s geologic fragility but also its innate potential, through geothermal activity, to produce energy and create new land. That dynamic, when transferred to participant realities, reflects their own changes and emergence as ‘new’ Kiwis. In the same way that the geology reflects change and newness, rainbows signify new participant experiences. In that way, participant perspectives on democracy, law and order, and the Big Gay Out are, I suggest, additional metaphors and manifestations of new earth, perceived by participants within wider geological shifts and changes. For my participants, those shifts and changes were realised as they negotiated their own dynamic identity nexus and as they gained new knowledge influencing that change.

In this way, diversity and the acknowledgement of new ways of being were made possible. In my findings, as I have come to understand them, new ways of being were best exemplified by participant comments about the Big Gay Out and the role of police in New Zealand society. Extending that were participant realisations of the diversity within university education that, in turn, created a feeling of equity within New Zealand’s socio-culture. Reinforcing those realisations and the place of many minorities in New Zealand was participant recognition that many minorities in New Zealand have institutional support.

Consequently, living in New Zealand and becoming Kiwi realised new ways of being and becoming for my participants. Exemplifying that were participant realisations of casual dress, going barefoot and socialisation. For many participants those Kiwi habits were initially hard to accept. However, over time, participants adapted and embraced a more casual way of being. I suggest that, casual dress, going barefoot and socialising at barbeques has a connection to the land. It also connects with the first metaphor of geothermal activity representing ‘new land’. The barbeques, socialisation, and music events that participants discussed were held outdoors. They were connected to the land. Nature was never far away. My participants were aware of this. Over time many participants enjoyed direct contact with the earth by going barefoot. Casual dress, and

\textsuperscript{38} The volcanic and geologically unstable Pacific Rim region incorporating the west coast of the Americas and to the eastern coasts of China, Japan and down to New Zealand (National Geographic, 2015).
being in touch with nature and the land, enabled participants to come to know a wider diversity of people in New Zealand’s social situations, such as barbeques, than many of them had experienced in their home countries. Thus, nature and the land acted as metaphors of welcome and introduction, as each participant came to recognise and appreciate their own turangawaewae within wider constructs of being Kiwi. In this way, my participants realised a new stage in being Kiwi through a Māori lens. Just as Māori remove their shoes on entering the marae, and farmers removed their work boots before entering their homes, my participants now also go barefoot. They have come to know, through their own socialisation and their own observations, ways of being Kiwi that are quite different to the behaviours they engaged in their home countries. For my participants, going barefoot no longer represented an expression of poverty, but rather freedom in their direct contact with the earth. In these ways, and as my later section of writing explores, participants adopted a ‘when in Rome’ mind-set.

Similarly, Kiwi identifiers including wine and the flat white also acted as metaphors. My participants, particularly my Latinx participants, ‘used’ flat white buying opportunities to get to know the personalities of the café owners. In suggesting that each flat white had a personality, my participants exposed a wider reality. Consuming flat whites, like other forms of socialisation, especially barbeques, gave some participants the opportunity to get to know Kiwi culture through the personalities of café owners. In that way, flat whites mediated the discourses empowering my participants in commercial encounters. Consequently, participant experiences of flat whites were not dissimilar to participant experiences of Kiwi wine. Both wine and flat whites became tools of empowerment. Both wine and coffee enhanced my participants’ social, culinary and economic capital as they ‘flashed the cash’ with their conspicuous consumption (Veblen, 1899).

With those metaphors in mind it is unsurprising, to me, that Māori culture, art and ways of being were highlighted by participants as being vitally important in constructing Kiwi identity. Again, I realise that participant acknowledgement of Māori is open to a metaphorical interpretation. As tangata whenua, Māori have an established turangawaewae. It is toward that position my participants aspired. For this research, participants noted that the Māori contribution to Kiwi identity was seminal. Being Māori, Māori culture and the Māori worldview dominated participant constructs of being Kiwi. Māori offered being Kiwi a culture in deep and meaningful ways. That depth contrasted
the comparative shallowness participants observed in Pākehā identity. For participants, the depth and longevity of Māori culture were its standout attributes, its point of distinction. Thus, being Māori and Māori culture realised another metaphor: that being Māori or recognising Māori culture provide the attributes needed in being and becoming Kiwi. Primary among these attributes was participants’ relationship with the land, expressed through nature, geology and geothermal activity.

Extending that position was the participant discussion on the All Blacks. While the All Blacks were perceived as a great rugby team, participant discussion about the team revealed many characteristics that participants attributed to Kiwi identity. Those attributes included aspirations of achievement; sportsmanship; being successful; and passion and commitment. While those new characteristics are also found in the results of the Colmar Brunton Kiwi survey (as cited in Akoorie, 2014), I suggest that a new dimension is emerging in Kiwi identity: the aspiration to be successful. For me, that aspiration contrasts with an older Pākehā notion, ‘the tall poppy syndrome’.39

To conclude this section, I suggest that identity in Aotearoa New Zealand is undergoing change. That change reflects a movement away from Pākehā dominance toward a worldview emphasising Māori. Contributing to an understanding of that change is my construct of migration waves, discussed later in this chapter in the section “Why is Kiwi Identity Changing?”. The participants in this study, from Chinese, Latinx and Pasifika backgrounds, understand being a Kiwi as being someone who is in touch with nature, Māori culture art and ways of being, sociable, emotionally reserved, a team player, keen to win, determined, passionate and someone who preferred economic consumption over practicability.

**Underpinning Theory**

**Identity Theory**

In terms of Tajfel and Turner’s (1979) social identity theory and Stryker’s (1980) identity theory, my participants engaged salient and dynamic identities (Stryker & Burke, 2000). That range reflected how my participants identities changed from aspirant migrant, to migrant, and finally to resident. Within each identity and each transition, participants
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39 The tendency to be critical of high achieving or successful people (Urban Dictionary, 2018b)
negotiated their understandings of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Understandings were actualised as participants grasped the meanings they associated with the new kiwiana they had identified. In turn, those meanings and associations influenced their perceptions of being and becoming Kiwi. Consequently, my choice of identity theories reflected participant realities as well as the dynamism of identity, and identity transition was directly linked to participants’ construction and concepts of knowledge and their wider understandings of the world around them. In this context, participant ontologies and epistemologies were dynamic ‘works in progress’, adapted them to suit their situational needs. Discussions of Kiwi identity and kiwiana within focus group and interview settings revealed how participants negotiated the “world in which they live” (Woodward, 2004, p. 7) and, in that sense, identity was expressed through metaphors of change that emphasised new ways of being and becoming experienced within participant mobility. Accordingly, identity and actant materiality, as expressed in PhotoVoice imagery and focus group and interview discussion, were actualisations of participants’ constructs of their realities. My participants’ negotiation of identity through these constructions linked being and becoming to Berger and Luckmann’s (1966) social construction of reality. Experiencing and gaining knowledge about Kiwi identity and kiwiana were iterative processes for my participants, socio-temporally located and then captured in my research.

**PhotoVoice and Qualitative Description**

As I begin my discussion on PhotoVoice and qualitative description, I am reminded of Oscar Wilde. Wilde did not ‘do’ PhotoVoice or qualitative description. Nonetheless, he provided an insight into how media such as PhotoVoice distance participants from a topic yet can simultaneously bring them closer to it. In Wilde’s words: “Man is least himself when he talks in his own person. Give him a mask, and he will tell you the truth” (as cited in AZQuotes, n.d., p. 9). Forgiving Wilde’s masculine emphasis, as I have come to understand, through my own and my participants’ use, PhotoVoice is a ‘mask’ à la Wilde. For my research, PhotoVoice provided my participants with their ‘first’ voice. That voice was beneficial in two distinct ways. Participant images captured a moment in time. That time included, for participants, the image’s accompanying narrative and the rationale of its taking. Secondly, PhotoVoice provided participants with a shared equitable language through image. However, PhotoVoice may also have subtle deficits. In taking photos, participants created distance. That distance can be realised in two ways. In retrospect, I
wonder how the narratives participants told me may have differed from the narratives participants experienced when the images were first captured. Compounding that are two situational possibilities. The first is that participants amended their narratives in the time between taking them and their focus group or interview meetings. Additionally, I wonder if peer pressure during focus group image discussion encouraged participants to amend or edit their initial narratives. I call those possibilities ‘narrative lag’. Consequently, I draw attention to how a PhotoVoice image’s meaning might change for participants, or become diluted over time or by the influence of others.

On reflection, with these possibilities in mind, my choice of qualitative description was a wise one. I believe that my dalliance with hermeneutic phenomenology and the consideration of other methods given a ‘yes’ in Table 20 foreshadowed my concerns about narrative lag. Now, I can see further reasons why hermeneutic phenomenology was unsuitable. The beauty of qualitative description lies in its ability to provide a closer account of my participants’ experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana than any other methodology might do. Thus, I suggest, qualitative description allows for narrative lag. That allowance is manifest by the fact that qualitative description does not encourage deep interpretation of participant realities. Consequently, stories emerging from participants are as close as one can get to their reality. For me, then, qualitative description was aligned to the informality and conversational mix within interview and focus group data collection that make the use of talanoa and fonofale a cornerstone throughout my participant encounters. That, as Lambert and Lambert (2012) noted, achieved the “design of choice when a straightforward description of a phenomenon is desired” (p. 256).

Overall, therefore, my research counters the suggestion that qualitative description has “low impact [and] low status” (Sandelowski, 2000, p. 335) inasmuch as qualitative description conveyed my participants’ voices better than any other method could have done. That voice was, of course, amplified through PhotoVoice.

**Material Culture**

In identifying new items of kiwiana and constructs of Kiwi identity using PhotoVoice, my participants affirmed Seymour-Smith’s (1986) understandings of material culture inasmuch as they recognised the link between ‘things’ and people. As they told their stories about their images, they not only reinforced new conceptions of being Kiwi but also demonstrated the relationship between people and ‘things’. That relationship is the
essence of material culture research. Within that relationship, I realised that my research incorporated the first four of the research themes suggested by Crane and Bovone (2006)\textsuperscript{40}. That realisation highlighted to me the multiple dimensions required to understand the relationship between people and ‘things’.

In discussing their PhotoVoice images in focus group or interview situations, my participants related active narratives that brought to life the story of the item through their eyes, integrating the essence of material culture, people and ‘things’. In doing so, participants actively reaffirmed and negotiated their Kiwi-ness with others in their research group. Material culture theory, as presented within my theoretical framework, provided participants with a platform for facilitating not only narrative, but also mediums of understanding and tools to negotiate identity and materiality.

**Why is Kiwi Identity Changing? Driving Factors for Change**

In response to the question posed in the heading for this section, the short answer is that Kiwi identity is changing as a consequence of inward migration and increased ethnic diversity in New Zealand. However, that answer needs to be explored in detail. In Table 28, I present an overview of the characteristics of my four-wave construct of Kiwi identity and kiwiana.

\textsuperscript{40} Crane and Bovone (2006) recommended that material culture can be explored in the following five ways:

- By exploring material culture as text expressing ways of being within cultures.
- In considering how materiality and its meaning are conveyed through media.
- By asking how collective meaning is conveyed within cultures through material items.
- In considering how people negotiate their own meanings of materiality cognisant of “the symbolic values attributed to material culture by producers of material culture” (p. 319).
- By exploring, in different locations, “cross-national studies of symbolic values expressed in material goods and of the systems that produce them in order to reveal differences in the types of symbolic values” (p. 319).
Table 27: Characteristics of Kiwi identity/kiwiana waves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waves of Kiwi Identity and Kiwiana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>First Wave Kiwi Identity and Kiwiana</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The attributes of colonist settlers of hard work, mateship and innovation provide the starting point for emergent Kiwi identity (see Chapter 4: Literature Review).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1830–1930 (approx.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second Wave Kiwi Identity and Kiwiana</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The evolution of materiality connecting ‘being Kiwi’ to ‘things’. Items of kiwiana are noted in Chapter 4: Literature Review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930–1955 (approx.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Third Wave Kiwi Identity and Kiwiana</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The period of “Golden Weather” (Carlyon &amp; Morrow, 2013, p. 3). Consumer popularity of kiwiana materiality. A reassurance based in a retrospective look back to ‘the good old days’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955–1984 (approx.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fourth Wave Kiwi Identity and Kiwiana</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characterised by the impact of migrants on Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Their views contribute ‘fusions’ that shape future materiality and identity in the country. This also reflects the actant nature of “the rhizome” (Deleuze &amp; Guattari, 1987).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984–present (approx.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The four waves of Kiwi identity and kiwiana not only reflect their socio-temporality but also the influence of Deleuze and Guattari’s (1987) rhizome. For me, research participants were active rhizomes. Each brought with them an “infinity of traces” (Gramsci, 1995, p. 324). Those traces reflected their own culture, genealogy and worldview. In discussion (focus group or interview), participants shared those traces using communicative tools empathetic with Deleuze and Guattari’s (1987) rhizomatic structure. Cumulatively, those processes, through lines of flight, deterritorialisation and reterritorialisation (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987), promoted my understanding of participant experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. In that way, participant engagement within this research has generated “a new reality, by making numerous, often unexpected, connections” (Livesey, 2010, p. 19) about what now constitutes Kiwi identity and kiwiana. In that way, my research demonstrates the importance of rhizomatic structures within identity formation and materiality.
First Wave Identity and Materiality

I propose that first wave identity and materiality reflected the attributes of ‘belonging’ experienced by colonist settlers (C. Bell, 1996, 2004, 2012c; Phillips, 1987). European settlers had to be resourceful (C. Bell, 1996, 2004, 2012c). Many relied on the generosity of Māori (Bentley, 2007). Colonial identity was confined and conferred within the tyranny of distance (Blainey, 2001). Distance fostered innovation as colonist settlers improvised materiality ‘until the next ship arrived’ (C. Bell, 1996, 2004, 2012c; Phillips, 1987). Consequently, opportunities flourished for many settlers (Hunter, 2007). That contrasted with their class groupings and restrictions in England (Phillips, 1987). In New Zealand, that comparative freedom promoted the myth of egalitarianism (C. Bell, 1996, 2004, 2012c). In those ways, identity and materiality were characterised by practicality. It was the combination of comparatively unrestricted opportunity and practicality that promoted the cult of mateship, innovation, making do and ‘turning your hand to anything’ (Phillips, 1987). In that sense, it was not surprising that early settler culture was dominated by men (Phillips, 1987). Continuing male dominance in New Zealand’s early culture was war. World War One solidified Kiwi identity (Wolfe, 1991). The practicalities of the New Zealand soldier reinforced those of the colonist settler (Wolfe, 1991; New Stone, 2015). Therefore, I suggest that it was the time period from 1830 to around 1930 that established the characteristics of ‘being Kiwi’ and the practical nature of its materiality.

Second Wave Identity and Materiality

The depression of the 1930s brought hard times for New Zealanders (King, 2003). ‘Making do’, innovation and a ‘she’ll be right’ attitudes served many of the country’s population well (C. Bell, 1996, 2004). Having survived the depression, a system of trade tariffs ensured that Kiwi businesses dominated the home market (C. Bell, 1996, 2004, 2012b). That move fostered innovation. It also served to concretise Kiwi characteristics in the public psyche. Consequently, this period realised the manufacturing of ‘things Kiwi’, many of which later became kiwiana. Kiwiana and buying within the ‘home market place’ provided the necessary identity security that countered the insecurities of the Great Depression and World War Two (C. Bell, 1996, 2004, 2012b). Economic growth consequent to the war married the importance of being Kiwi to its materiality: kiwiana. That period began the ‘golden weather’ (Carlyon & Morrow, 2013). It also
promoted further migration to New Zealand from England (Phillips, 1987) foreshadowing New Zealand’s changing place in the world.

**Third Wave Identity and Materiality**

The ‘golden weather’ was characterised by full employment and a surety of being (Carlyon & Morrow, 2013) during which consumers purchased items of kiwiana (C. Bell, 1996, 2004, 2012b; 2012c). The ‘golden weather’ embodied the characteristics of the previous two periods. However, cracks were beginning to show that reflected globalised thinking, being and becoming. The emphasis on New Zealand being a Pacific Rim nation, and not a colony of England, created distance (King, 2003). The 1960s caused further change as young people had relatively more freedom and more disposable income than ever before (Carlyon & Morrow, 2013). Young people reflected that the wider world was changing. The ‘golden weather’ was not to last. It ended abruptly when England dumped New Zealand in favour of the European Economic Community (Humpage, 2017). The neoliberal reforms of the 1980s confirmed its death. For many New Zealanders, hard times returned (Rashbrooke, 2013). The neoliberal nation had to find its own way in a globalised economy. That transition promoted the embellishment of feelings about ‘the good old days’ (C. Bell, 1996): the days of ‘golden weather’. Many longed for their return. However, Kiwi identity also began to change. The emphasis on ‘maleness’ was still there, but the ‘metrosexual’, the gay rights movement and the residue of 1960s pop culture ensured the nation would never be the same again (Carlyon & Morrow, 2013). For many this heightened their longing for ‘the good old days’ when things were ‘simpler’.

**Fourth Wave Identity and Materiality**

Finally, the fourth wave influencing identity and materiality is found in my research and the voices of recent migrants. Immigration from multiple sources has changed the face of New Zealand (Statistics New Zealand, 2013). Migration to New Zealand from countries other than Britain has made the fourth wave distinctive. My participants exemplify that distinction. They have brought new views, and new ways of being, becoming and behaving that have sown the seeds that will reinterpret what it means to be Kiwi. That potential promotes social change. As migrants use lines of flight (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987), the nature of being Kiwi and its materiality are actant ‘works in progress’. Those changes reflect the deterritorialisation and reterritorialisation (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987)
of identity and materiality. In these ways, Kiwi identity and kiwiana have changed, through migrant input, from static retrospectives into dynamic ‘blue-sky’ possibilities.

Within the bounds of my research limitations (see below), this research revitalises existing constructs of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. The source of those changes is not the descendants of the nation’s 19th and 20th Century European settlers, the Pākehā. Rather, Kiwi identity and kiwiana’s revitalisation has occurred as a consequence of migration, as reflected by my participants’ contributions. This change challenges what many New Zealanders identify as givens: constructs of being Kiwi and kiwiana. My findings potentialise a new nationalism that places Māori, and Māori culture and art, at the pinnacle of what it means to be Kiwi. Those changes and that position challenge the existing status quo.

**Four New Myths of Being Kiwi**

My research has identified new views, as discerned by my participants, about Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Consequently, cognisant of D. Bell’s (2003) mythscape, particularly the socio-temporal nature of myth, in Table 29, below, I present four new myth possibilities.

Table 28: *Four new myths of kiwiana and being and becoming Kiwi.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I Came, I Purchased, Therefore I Am</th>
<th>Meaningful Māori</th>
<th>Nature Enter Me</th>
<th>The Machine is Me</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This myth regards kiwiana as pivotal in creating Kiwi identity through economic and libidinal consumption (imbibing).</td>
<td>This myth emphasises the Māori worldview, art and culture as the preferred Kiwi way of being.</td>
<td>This myth, building on ‘Meaningful Māori’ and contrasting with ‘I Came, I Purchased, Therefore I Am’, positions being Kiwi as being in touch with nature and valuing sustainability.</td>
<td>This myth proposes that, over time, Kiwis have become dupes. Unable to think for themselves, like the ‘good old days’, new Kiwis are willing victims of marketing and advertising executives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reflecting this within my thesis:
- Identity formation via conspicuous consumption

Reflecting this within my thesis:
- Māori worldview, art and culture were seminal constructs in

Reflecting this within my thesis:
- An emphasis on the outdoors and the primordial flora and

Reflecting this within my thesis:
- The extension of the rhizome in Deleuze and
(Veblen, 1899), evidenced by consumptive practices, including coffee, food, wine and ice cream.

- These food items and their consumption was underpinned by the formation and expression of cultural, social and economic capitals, facilitating feelings of belonging as a Kiwi.

- The formation of Kiwi identity. That identity was underpinned by the emphasis and importance participants gave to items of Māoriana.
- The importance of Māori worldview, art and culture compensated for the lack that participants perceived in Pākehā culture.
- Finally, participants did not differentiate kiwiana and Māoriana. Participants perceived material items that reflected being Kiwi.

- Fauna of the country.
- The realisation that the land is geologically a ‘work in progress’. This realisation was a metaphor for the participants own experiences and changing identities.
- The connection between being (having an identity) and the land placed participants on common ground with Māori and the construct of turangawaewae.

- The advertising ‘machine’, fed with data, marketing and advertising sound and vision bites surreptitiously manipulates the collective psyche, taking the rhizome to new levels of being.
- The precursor to the machine is exemplified by the current marketing strategies engaged in by Tourism New Zealand.

As I have come to understand myth in explaining its meanings and implications in Chapter 2: Theoretical and Conceptual Framework, the four myths I propose in Table 29 are relevant and need to be considered as future myth possibilities because they:

- Reflect Cassirer’s (1972) suggestion that myth constitutes knowledge synthesising ontology and epistemology.
- Reveal the inner workings and fundamental beliefs of a society (Levi-Strauss, 1981).
- Provide a connection between ancient stories “and the emotional concerns of modern life” (Young, 2005, para. 12).
- Link ways of being to capitalist culture (Barthes, 1973).
- Reflect contemporary living and ways of being (Campbell, 1988).
- Simplify, dramatise and selectively narrate “the story of a nation’s past and its place in the world […] subsum[ing] all of the various events, personalities and traditions,
artefacts and social practices that (self) define the nation and its relation to the past, present and future” (D. Bell, 2003, p. 75).

Research Limitations

As Hess (2004) observed: “All studies have limitations” (p. 1240). This research was not an exception. However, I view my research limitations as opportunities. Limitations open up research to further exploration. Table 29, below, identifies the research limitations I encountered and notes how these limitations were expressed in the research. Following Table 30, the research limitations are discussed.

Table 29: Research limitations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limitation</th>
<th>Research Expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of participants.</td>
<td>The average participant age was mid-late twenties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants.</td>
<td>There were six members of each research group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heteronormative gender bias.</td>
<td>Men and women were solicited for research. Gender diversity was not a research consideration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of residence in New Zealand.</td>
<td>Participants had to have less than three New Zealand residence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliance on snowball sampling.</td>
<td>Participant groups relied on snowball sampling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of mediator.</td>
<td>One focus group and all interviews did not have a moderator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconsistent focus group/interview use.</td>
<td>Mix of focus group and interview.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Māori input.</td>
<td>Migrant research focus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age, Number of Participants and Length of Residence

This research had a limited participant age range. Participant ages ranged from early twenties to mid-forties. Ideally, a wider age range may have facilitated enhanced data. However, deciding on six participants per group facilitated an almost even gender spread. Given research time constraints, this was a manageable number. Participant selection reflected their New Zealand residence of not more than three years. That period was important. As researcher, I wanted participants to be able to recall ‘life back home’, and the steps in their migration processes that culminated in their gaining New Zealand residency. I considered that choosing a group with longer New Zealand residence may
not have provided the depth of thought I believed participants experienced in their migration transitions and consequent identity changes.

**Heteronormativity**

The identifiers ‘male’ and ‘female’ are limiting. They reflect prescription and self-identification. Male and female identities may include lesbian and gay men. However, they do not include the other 58 gender categories Goldman (2014) noted. While I am aware of gender diversity, it simply was not possible to recruit participants not identifying as either male or female. However, making such additions to the participant groups would not guarantee that each participant group would offer equal representation or provide ‘better’ data than a group consisting of male/female participants only might do.

**Snowball Sampling and Mediator Use**

Snowball sampling facilitated participant contact. However, snowball sampling may have restricted group composition because some participants knew others and introduced them to the research. These introductions may have introduced ‘others like themselves’. This may have influenced the potential for diversity. Consequently, the use of snowball sampling may be perceived as a research limitation. Yet participants knowing each other and introducing others facilitated a friendly and warm research environment. That environment in turn gave depth to the research.

Additionally, participants who were interviewed did not have a focus group mediator. This inconsistency may also present a research limitation. However, in retrospect, I felt that this inconsistency was beneficial to this research because it allowed participants to voice their opinions within a ‘different space’.

**Focus Group versus Interviews**

Not all participants attended their focus group session. This necessitated follow-up, individual interviews. While this is noted as a research limitation, interviews added depth to participant commentaries. However, the goal of research design was to complete data collection within focus groups settings. In this regard, interviews can be perceived as a research limitation.
Māori Input

The research asked three migrant communities their views on Kiwi identity and kiwiana. However, the thematic analysis of the data, and the findings that emerged, revealed that Māori culture and Māoriana were key constructs within participants’ perceptions. While this was a research finding, this research had no direct Māori input. Consequently, this limitation and research finding leads to the identification of a domain of future research.

Future Research Recommendations

This research challenges existing constructs of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Consequently, a multitude of future research possibilities can be identified. While addressing the research limitations noted in the previous section provides an obvious starting point, other options exist.

The most urgent of the future research possibilities would be similar research incorporating Māori voices. This addition would expand upon this research and provide another avenue for the exploration of Kiwi identity and kiwiana. Such research would be timely. It would reflect the interest Māori have in the identifier Kiwi, and create a synergy with the shared spirituality and ways of being, and with the esteem which participants held for Māori. Similarly, research on this topic, but with rurally based migrant communities might expand upon this work in new and exciting ways.

While my research was designed to explore migrant experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana, my work may also provide informational benefit to others. This benefit might arise from the sharing of my work in wider migrant communities. The benefit might also accrue to the marketing and advertising of Aotearoa New Zealand by offering an emic perspective reflecting how migrants feel about being Kiwi and about kiwiana as they negotiate their adjustment to life in Aotearoa New Zealand. Within those two situations lies the opportunity for other research to build upon the knowledge that I have generated from the contributions of my 18 wonderful participants.

Closing Reflections

I began this thesis with the goal of answering my research question, which asked: In what ways do Kiwi identity and kiwiana hold relevance for non-Pākehā migrant communities?
After considering multiple methodological perspectives, I feel that my choice of qualitative description and PhotoVoice were best choices. These choices facilitated my findings that, in so many ways, contrasted with existing literature. Consequently, my work has made significant contributions to academic knowledge about Kiwi identity and kiwiana.

My research has enabled the voices of my minority migrant participants to be heard. In that way, my research has affirmed their experiences and validated their opinions. My research has also caused my participants to stop and think about their lives and experiences in terms of being Kiwi and kiwiana. The opportunity to stop and consider ‘what’s happening?’ can be a positive reflective experience. This research provided a time for active reflection. As my participants negotiated their own changing identity and aspiration to be Kiwi, they have come, through time, to a deeper understanding of that experience. In that way, my work is an encouragement for other migrants to pause and think about how structural factors influence their lives and how they come to negotiate those influences.

However, those contributions and the processes I engaged in to achieve them are, in my opinion, only a small part of what it took to produce a thesis of examination quality. Many events, thoughts and feelings coalesced in my mind that are not recorded here. Going to bed, thinking about my thesis. Dreaming about my thesis. Waking up with ideas to begin sentences, or round out my writing, indicate that my involvement with this research has been total. ‘Total’ included a vast range of emotions. Completing a PhD can be a bipolar experience: it holds great highs and devastating lows. In that regard, what is only partially written about is how completing this research has changed me as a person.

That change has been for the good. Earlier in my thesis, I discussed my interactions with my Pacific Island participants. While I have learnt much about my topic, and enjoyed the best supervision any student could wish for, it has been my Pasifika participants who have influenced me in the most profound ways. My Pasifika participants brought me full circle. They extracted my academic thinking and replaced it with something that I already knew about but had set aside: hospitality. Expressed within talanoa and fonofale as active expressions of hospitality, the unwelcome was made welcome, the unmentioned made mentionable, all in a friendly no-rush, no-pressure environment. In this way, I have completed a voyage. However, another trip intrigues me.
As I have come to know my topic, I have come to know myself. I have come to realise, particularly as I wrote sections of this final chapter, that this thesis is not the end of my topic. For me, this thesis has opened up my view, offering ongoing research opportunities. My thesis has raised more questions for me than it has answered. I have identified multiple themes that I would like to research and publish on. In a naive way I thought that completing my PhD thesis would be ‘it’. I really should have known better. I thought the same after my MA. Then, within two years of its completion I had published nine journal articles on its topic. I anticipate and look forward to the beginnings of my new journey, one that started here with a simple question: ‘What about kiwi identity and kiwiana?’ and will most likely end with a similar number of articles. In this way, my research shows that in terms of identity and materiality, Aotearoa New Zealand still has ‘work to do’.
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A Glossary of Māori Words

Note. Most of the definitions in this glossary are reproduced or abridged from the Māori Dictionary, available online at http://maoridictionary.co.nz/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aotearoa</td>
<td>North Island – now used as the Māori name for New Zealand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atua</td>
<td>Ancestor with continuing influence, god, demon, supernatural being, deity, ghost, object of superstitious regard, strange being – although often translated as ‘god’ and now also used for the Christian God, this is a misconception of the real meaning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haka</td>
<td>Performance of the haka, posture dance – vigorous dances with actions and rhythmically shouted words. A general term for several types of such dances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hapū</td>
<td>Kinship group, clan, tribe, subtribe – section of a large kinship group and the primary political unit in traditional Māori society. It consisted of a number of whānau sharing descent from a common ancestor, usually being named after the ancestor, but sometimes from an important event in the group’s history.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaiki</td>
<td>Ancient homeland – the places from which Māori migrated to Aotearoa/New Zealand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hei tiki</td>
<td>Carved figure, image, a neck ornament usually made of greenstone and carved in an abstract form of a human.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hongi</td>
<td>To press noses in greeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwi</td>
<td>Extended kinship group, tribe, nation, people, nationality, race – often refers to a large group of people descended from a common ancestor and associated with a distinct territory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahu kurī</td>
<td>Dogskin cloak – highly prized cloaks at the time of early contact with Europeans and were worn by high ranking chiefs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaitaka</td>
<td>A highly prized cloak made of flax fibre with a tāniko ornamental border.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaitiaki</td>
<td>Trustee, minder, guard, custodian, guardian, caregiver, keeper, steward.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kākahu</td>
<td>Garment, clothes, cloak, apparel, clothing, costume.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaupapa</td>
<td>Topic, policy, matter for discussion, plan, purpose, scheme, proposal, agenda, subject, programme, theme, issue, initiative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaupapa Māori</td>
<td>Māori approach, Māori topic, Māori customary practice, Māori institution, Māori agenda, Māori principles, Māori ideology – a philosophical doctrine, incorporating the knowledge, skills, attitudes and values of Māori society.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Koru  Fold, loop, coil, curled shoot; spiral motif (in carving etc.).

Mana  Prestige, authority, control, power, influence, status, spiritual power, charisma – mana is a supernatural force in a person, place or object. Mana goes hand in hand with tapu, one affecting the other. The more prestigious the event, person or object, the more it is surrounded by tapu and mana.

Māori  Normal, usual, common, ordinary; native, indigenous; Māori, indigenous New Zealander, indigenous person of Aotearoa/New Zealand – a new use of the word resulting from Pākehā contact in order to distinguish between people of Māori descent and the colonisers.

Marae  Courtyard – the open area in front of the wharenui, where formal greetings and discussions take place. Often also used to include the complex of buildings around the marae.

Mauri  Life principle, life force, vital essence, special nature, a material symbol of a life principle, source of emotions – the essential quality and vitality of a being or entity. Also used for a physical object, individual, ecosystem or social group in which this essence is located.

Mere  A short, flat weapon of stone, often of greenstone.

Muka  Prepared flax fibre.

Ngāi Tahu  tribal group of much of the South Island, sometimes called Kāi Tahu by the southern tribes.

Pākehā  New Zealander of European descent – probably originally applied to English-speaking Europeans living in Aotearoa/New Zealand.

Pakepakehā  Mythical beings – beings with fair skins resembling people.

Pāua  Abalone, sea ear, Haliotis spp. – edible univalve molluscs of rocky shores that have flattened, ear-shaped shells with a row of small holes for breathing. They have a strong grip on rocks but move about at night grazing on seaweed. Haliotis iris, the largest pāua, has peacock-like colours on the inside of the shell.

Pipi  Paphies australis – a common edible bivalve with a smooth shell found at low tide just below the surface of sandy harbour flats. Hinge is near the middle of the shell.

Pōhutukawa  New Zealand Christmas tree, Metrosideros excelsa, Metrosideros kermadecensis, Metrosideros bartlettii – trees found in coastal areas which bear large, red flowers about Christmas time and have leaves which are velvety-white underneath.

Pōkarekare Ana  Māori love song, probably communally composed around 1914.
Pou
Post, upright, support, pole, pillar.

Pou whenua
Post marker of ownership, boundary marker, land marker post, land symbol of support – post placed prominently in the ground to mark possession of an area or jurisdiction over it.

Rangatira
Chief (male or female), chieftain, chieftainess, master, mistress, boss, supervisor, employer, landlord, owner, proprietor.

Tā moko
Traditional tattooing – Māori tattooing designs on the face or body done under traditional protocols.

Taiaha
Long wooden weapon – of hard wood with one end carved and often decorated with dogs’ hair.

Tāne Mahuta
Atua of the forests and birds and one of the children of Rangi-nui and Papa-tū-ā-nuku.

-tanga
The suffix added to nouns to designate the quality derived from the base noun (eg, hapūtanga, iwitanga, kaitiakitanga, Māoritanga, rangatiratanga, whanaungatanga).

Tangata whenua
Local people, hosts, indigenous people – people born of the whenua, i.e. of the placenta and of the land where the people’s ancestors have lived and where their placenta are buried.

Tāniko
Finger woven, embroidered.

Taonga
Treasure, anything prized – applied to anything considered to be of value including socially or culturally valuable objects, resources, phenomenon, ideas and techniques.

Tapu
Sacred, prohibited, restricted, set apart, forbidden, under atua protection. Restriction, prohibition – a supernatural condition. A person, place or thing is dedicated to an atua and is thus removed from the sphere of the profane and put into the sphere of the sacred. It is untouchable, no longer to be put to common use.

Te ao hurihuri
Contemporary influences.

Te ao Māori
The Māori world/worldview.

Te Reo Māori
The Māori language.

Teina
Younger brother (of a male), younger sister (of a female), cousin (of the same gender) of a junior line, junior relative.

Tī kōuka
Cabbage tree, Cordyline australis.

Tikanga
Correct procedure, custom, habit, lore, method, manner, rule, way, code, meaning, plan, practice, convention, protocol – the customary system of values and practices that have developed over time and are deeply embedded in the social context.

Tiki
Carved figure, image, a neck ornament usually made of greenstone and carved in an abstract form of a human.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Tipua/tupua</strong></th>
<th>Goblin, foreigner, demon, object of fear, strange being, superhero.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuakana</strong></td>
<td>Elder brother (of a male), elder sister (of a female), cousin (of the same gender from a more senior branch of the family), prefect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tūrangawaewae</strong></td>
<td>Domicile, standing, place where one has the right to stand – place where one has rights of residence and belonging through kinship and whakapapa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wairua</strong></td>
<td>Spirit, soul – spirit of a person which exists beyond death. It is the non-physical spirit, distinct from the body and the mauri. To some, the wairua resides in the heart or mind of someone while others believe it is part of the whole person and is not located at any particular part of the body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whakapapa</strong></td>
<td>Genealogy, genealogical table, lineage, descent – reciting whakapapa was, and is, an important skill and reflected the importance of genealogies in Māori society in terms of leadership, land and fishing rights, kinship and status. It is central to all Māori institutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whānau</strong></td>
<td>Extended family, family group, a familiar term of address to a number of people – the primary economic unit of traditional Māori society. In the modern context the term is sometimes used to include friends who may not have any kinship ties to other members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whenua</strong></td>
<td>Country, land, nation, state.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A Methodologies Considered and Rejected

Before deciding on qualitative description, I considered and rejected several other methodologies. They were: ethnography/participant observation; grounded theory; action research; narrative inquiry/oral history; ethnomethodology; analytic induction; case study; qualitative content analysis, and discourse analysis/critical discourse analysis and hermeneutic phenomenology. Table 20 details those domains and my rationale for their exclusion.

Ethnography/Participant Observation

I concluded that ethnography/participant observation was unsuitable for my research because the extended time needed to engage and immerse myself in the participants’ worlds was not a practical undertaking, owing to the large number of participants in my groups.

Grounded Theory

While grounded theory is useful in the development of new theory (Bryman, 2008), that was not a goal of my research work and my research was too far advanced to begin using this approach.

Action Research

Action research seeks to improve situations (Munford & Sanders, 2003), reflecting the shared goals of the participants and the researcher. Action research and participatory action research were excluded as potential methodologies because my research does not seek to address change or offer a direct benefit to participants. Rather, my research addresses the perceptions, knowledge and experiences of my participants relating to Kiwi identity and kiwiana.

Narrative Inquiry/Oral History

Although narrative inquiry is considered important to oral history (Yow, 2005), it was excluded from my research because my aim was to solicit participant group experiences in focus groups, not in individual narratives.
Ethnomethodology

Ethnomethodology exposes the underlying disordered nature of society. Bryman (2008) described ethnomethodology as a “sociological perspective concerned with the way in which social order is accomplished through talk and interaction” (p. 693). While ethnomethodology offers a unique perspective, it was not suited to my research because it sits in opposition to the constructs of verstehen and symbolic interactionism (Mead, 1934; Van Krieken et al., 2014) and, by association, social constructionism (Berger & Luckmann, 1966), both of which are central tenets in my research.

Analytic Induction

Analytic induction promotes a researcher’s formation of a working hypothesis that is then modified over time to reflect the divergence of participant inputs (Bryman, 2008). In analytic induction, the researcher develops the hypothesis based on those inputs until a degree of certainty, reflecting the dynamic nature of the hypothesis and participant inputs, are achieved. I discounted analytic induction because my research capitalised on unique differences and anticipated that participants may not have had common experiences. Consequently, my research captured the plurality of thought, feeling, perception and experience, rather than commonality.

Case Study

Van Krieken et al. (2014) suggested that case studies reflected “the detailed examination of single examples ... a single institution, community/social group, an individual person, a particular historical event or a single social action” (p. 474). Bryman (2008) proposed that case studies may be used for “comparative purposes” (p. 691), and while my research engaged the detailed examination of single examples, case study was not adopted because I was keen to distance my research from active comparison between individuals or groups. This made case study an unsuitable research format.

Qualitative Content Analysis

Qualitative content analysis involves the examination of documents from which meaning is constructed (Schreier, 2012). Downe-Wamboldt (1992) and Schreier (2012) suggested that qualitative content analysis served to objectively and systematically describe and quantify phenomena. However, I did not consider this methodology to be suitable because
my research focussed on my participants’ direct experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana, not the exploration of their experiences via documentation.

**Discourse Analysis/Critical Discourse Analysis**

Critical discourse is synonymous with Michel Foucault (1963), exemplified through his construct of gaze. Foucault (1963) explored the dynamics of power relations inherent to the gaze within the doctor/patient relationship. Although fascinating, discourse analysis/critical discourse analysis were not suited to my research because their focus is on power relationships between participants and not their deeper meaning and lived experiences which my research concentrates upon.

**Hermeneutic Phenomenology**

Hermeneutic phenomenology fosters the appreciation and understanding of a participant’s lifeworld and experiences. Van Manen (2014) captured this within the construct of the “lived experience” (p. 26). While I was initially attracted to hermeneutic phenomenology, I found that it encouraged my over-interpretation of my participants’ data. Over-interpretation also encouraged me to textually embellish what my participants actually told me.

**Symbolic Interactionism**

As a methodology, Patton (2002) proposed that symbolic interactionism asked: “What common set of symbols and understandings has emerged to give meaning to people’s interactions?” (p. 112). Consequently, symbolic interactionism is best suited to qualitative inquiry because, as methodology, it maximises interpretive processes. It is those processes that help people make sense of their world. In this way, symbolic interactionism recognises the importance of language and its meaning as a key factor in the ways in which people engage and interact.

Table 30: *Qualitative research methodologies: Suitability and rationale.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Type</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Suitability</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethnography/Participant Observation</td>
<td>Extended engagement/immersion in participants’ social life by researcher</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Time limits prevent extensive immersion in the participants’ world. Also, the topic does not lend itself to this method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grounded Theory</td>
<td>Seeks to generate theory from the research data</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>A theoretical conclusion is not the aim of my research, rather it focuses on the thoughts, feelings and experiences of participants in relation to the topic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Research</td>
<td>A synthesis of participant and researcher seeking change in developing solutions to specific issues via research</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>No perceived problem within ‘structure’ is noted in my research question. My research does not seek to ‘change’ anything except knowledge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermeneutic Phenomenology</td>
<td>Generates an understanding of the lived experience of participants</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Participant narrative was sufficient to describe their experiences without unnecessary interpretation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrative Inquiry</td>
<td>Presents the ‘story’ of participants over time</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>This method places the participant in their story over time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral History</td>
<td>Includes life history, self-report, personal narrative, life story, oral biography, memoir, testament</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>This method is suitable, but it is time-intensive and, given the number of participants, this may be a problem. Also, it may be difficult to determine ‘results’ for migrant groups as input maybe too diverse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discourse Analysis</td>
<td>An analysis of talk/discourse emphasising how versions of reality are achieved via language</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>This method assumes a shared language and my migrant participants may not share (the same) language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnomethodology</td>
<td>Examines how social order is achieved through talk and interaction – part of conversational analysis</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>While ‘new’ migrants in my research may well ‘conform to social order’, the aim of the research is neither to uphold nor examine constructs of social order; rather it seeks to examine thought, feeling, and experience promoting plurality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Group</td>
<td>Group discussion fuelled by facilitator/researcher – emphasises group interaction and joint construction of meaning</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Migrant focus groups could work in my research. However, it would be important that the process of achieving a joint constructed meaning was included in the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analytic Induction | Seeks universal explanations until saturation is reached with no contradictory participants | NO | My research focuses on the uniqueness of experience of migrant thought, feeling, perception and experience. It is anticipated that participants will not share common experiences in my research.

Case Study | A detailed and intensive analysis of a single case | YES | This may work in conjunction with narrative, presenting each migrant group as a ‘case’.

Qualitative Content Analysis | Investigator analysis of document content | NO | My research is not about documents and their analysis.

Critical Discourse Analysis | Foucauldian analysis emphasising the role of language as a power resource | NO | This method suits participants with shared and somewhat equal language skills, which may not be the case for my research. However, parts of Foucauldian theory (knowledge/power nexus) may well be useful.

Symbolic Interactionism | With its base in social psychology, symbolic interactionism explores which symbols and understandings formulate interactions between people. | YES | While suitable inasmuch as symbolic interactionism explores meaningful symbols, as a methodology it is incomplete because my research explores more than the symbols themselves. However, symbolic interactionism is important to my research because it shows the link with and meaningfulness of symbols in human interaction.

Qualitative Description | In-depth methodology focusing on the participant’s experiences and researcher exploration of the topic. | YES | This is the best method to convey my participants’ experiences of Kiwi identity and kiwiana because qualitative description allows the participant voice to dominate and not be lost through the researcher’s over-interpretation.

*Note.* Adapted from Bryman (2008), Patton (2002) and Yow (2005).
Appendix B: PhotoVoice Safety Protocol

The researcher is aware of participant and self-safety within the research process. While no immediate safety issues are noted for this research, the researcher is aware of and will implement the following safety measures:

1. Ensure participants have a travel budget to attend research meetings.
2. Ensure meetings are held in safe/well-lit, easily accessible spaces. For example, using AUT facilities at North, City and South Campus will not only provide convenient locations but also parking and transport options. Many community centres offer similar benefits.
3. Generate a warm and user-friendly environment for interviews.
4. Advise work colleagues/partner of interview activities/locations and approximate time durations.
5. Contact one of the people noted in #4, when meetings have ended.
6. Carry a mobile phone for emergency use.
7. Encourage participants to buddy-up by traveling to interview venues together.
8. Participants will be advised not to take photo-images of people without their permission (also refer #9).
9. Should participants take photo-images of objects that, through circumstance, also include people, the researcher will pixilate faces, preserving the privacy of any individual who has not consented (refer #8) to having their image taken.
PHOTOVOICE PROTOCOL (for Participants)

This protocol is in four parts:

1. Things for participants to do
2. Things participants MUST NOT do
3. Ownership of images
4. Other information

Part ONE: Things for participants to do

1. Be familiar with the photovoice protocol and camera/phone operation
2. Take 10 images reflecting kiwi identity
3. Take 10 images reflecting kiwiana
4. Be aware of public and private space when taking photo’s
5. Upload all images to the site pre-loaded on their mobile phone
6. Make notes about their images as reminders for meeting 2

Part TWO: Things participants MUST NOT do

1. Take photos of people without their permission
2. Take photo’s of any illegal activity
3. Take photo’s in dangerous or unsafe situations
4. Research kiwi identity or kiwiana before taking images

Part THREE: Ownership of Images

- The photographs will be used for academic purposes only and will not be published in any form outside of this project without the researcher asking my permission.
- Any copyright material created by the photographic sessions is deemed to be owned by the researcher and that I do not own copyright of any of the photographs

Part FOUR: Other information

1. After you have completed Part ONE you can keep the mobile phone. It’s yours!
Appendix C: Participant Information Sheet

and Consent Form

Participant Information Sheet

Date Information Sheet Produced:
18th May 2015

Project Title
In what ways do kiwi identity and kiwiana hold relevance for non-Pakeha migrant communities?

An Invitation
Hello, my name is Lindsay Neill and I am studying to complete a PhD qualification at AUT University in Auckland.

I would like to invite you to participate in my research which explores migrant perceptions of kiwi identity and kiwiana. There is no obligation for you to participate, and your contribution to my research is voluntary. You will be able to withdraw from my research at any time before the research is completed. There is no penalty for you should you decide to withdraw.

What is the purpose of this research?
Your participation and the research itself will help me to successfully complete my PhD qualification at AUT University. As well as this qualification, my research will also be used within conference presentations and academic journal publishing. Within all research publishing and presentations you will not be identifiable.

How was I identified and why am I being invited to participate in this research?
You have responded to an advertisement that I placed in your community centre. Consequently, you have been invited to participate in this research because you self-identify as Chinese, Latin American, or as Pacific Islander migrant who is over 20 years of age, and have been in New Zealand for less than three years. My research does not involve any other migrant groups.

My research is about your impressions of kiwi identity and kiwiana, and as a recent migrant to New Zealand you are ideally placed to participate in my research.

What will happen in this research?
My research explores your thoughts, feelings, perceptions and experiences of kiwi identity and kiwiana.
Before your agreement to participate I will answer any and all questions you may have, run through a Participant information sheet, then after one week, confirm your participation by asking you to sign a Consent Form.

After this I will arrange a meeting with all participants in your migrant group. At this meeting I will run through a process that I would like you to use to capture images of kiwiana and kiwi identity. The process is called photovoice.

So you can use photovoice I will supply you a mobile phone that has a camera. I will ask you to take 10 images of things you believe reflect a kiwi identity and 10 images you feel reflect items of kiwiana. You will be trained how to use the mobile phone and camera. Also, at this meeting you will be advised of the safe parameters within which you can use photovoice. For example, it may not be a good idea to take photos of other people without their permission. However, if you were to take an image of an item that also included people, I would pixelate their face so they would not be recognisable in the research. We will cover the ‘do’s’ and don’ts of using photovoice.

It is important that you understand that the images you are taking are on behalf of the researcher, who will own their copyright.

I will load your mobile phone with enough money so you can send your images to me. I will explain and show you how to do this. After your part in the research has been completed, the mobile phone is yours to keep.

After I have received all images from your group (you will be given three weeks to collect and then send your images) I will arrange a convenient time for a meeting with your group. This meeting will be digitally recorded, but your name or other details will not be part of this meeting. You will not be identifiable.

A facilitator will manage this meeting. I will be there to take some notes and take the digital audio recording. At the meeting you will have ample opportunity to explain the meaning of the images you have taken within the constructs of kiwi identity and kiwiana. Before the meeting closes, your group will decide the best 10 images that they feel reflect kiwi identity and kiwiana.

My research will use the stories, and final selected images, your group relates during the meeting.

What are the discomforts and risks?

There are no discomforts and risks for you in participating in my research. Your participation is voluntary and you may withdraw at any time before the end of the data collection.

How will these discomforts and risks be alleviated?

Should you experience any discomfort you can ask the researcher directly, or through your community leader, to delete comments or images causing your discomfort.

What are the benefits?

This research will benefit you because it provides an opportunity for you to give me your honest thoughts, feelings and experiences about kiwi identity and kiwiana in a safe environment. The research also provides an opportunity for you to think about two ideas within New Zealand culture (kiwi identity and kiwiana) that you may otherwise not have time to consider. Participation will increase your knowledge about New Zealand through your input and the opinions of others in your group.

For me the research is beneficial because it will aid my goal to get a PhD qualification at AUT University.

How will my privacy be protected?

Your privacy will be respected. For my research, I invite you to select a pseudonym that you feel comfortable with and will use consistently throughout this project. While your chosen pseudonym your ethnicity, age and gender will be integrated into the research, you will only be identifiable, within those domains.

What are the costs of participating in this research?

Apart from time, there is no other cost to you in participation.
However, when the research is complete you are able to keep the mobile phone in recognition of your participation.

**What opportunity do I have to consider this invitation?**

You will have one week to consider participating in this research.

**How do I agree to participate in this research?**

After one week I will supply directly, or through your community leaders, a Consent Form. Your agreement to participate is confirmed by your signing this form. However, even if you sign the Consent Form you are still able to withdraw from the research at any time.

**Will I receive feedback on the results of this research?**

Yes. I will give you access to a url where my thesis will be uploaded. You will be able to access all parts of this document.

**What do I do if I have concerns about this research?**

Any concerns regarding the nature of this project should be notified in the first instance to the Project Supervisor, Prof. Marilyn Waring, AUT University. mwaring@aut.ac.nz 09-921-9999

Concerns regarding the conduct of the research should be notified to the Executive Secretary of AUTEC, Kate O’Connor, ethics@aut.ac.nz, 921 9999 ext 6038.

**Whom do I contact for further information about this research?**

**Researcher Contact Details:**

Lindsay Neill  
AUT University  
Wellesley Street Campus Auckland City  
lindsay.neill@aut.ac.nz  
09-921-9999 extn 8442.

**Project Supervisor Contact Details:**

Prof. Marilyn Waring, AUT University. mwaring@aut.ac.nz 09-921-9999

Approved by the Auckland University of Technology Ethics Committee on type the date final ethics approval was granted, AUTEC Reference number type the reference number.
Consent and Release Form
For use when photographs, videos or other image recording is being used

Project title: In what ways do kiwi identity and kiwiana hold relevance for non-Pakeha migrant communities

Project Supervisor: Prof. Marilyn Waring
Researcher: Lindsay Neill

☐ I have read and understood the information provided about this research project in the Information Sheet dated 18th May 2015.

☐ I have had an opportunity to ask questions and to have them answered.

☐ I understand that I may withdraw myself, my image, or any other information that I have provided for this project at any time prior to completion of data collection, without being disadvantaged in any way.

☐ If I withdraw, I understand that all relevant information will be destroyed.

☐ I permit the researcher to use the photographs that are part of this project and/or any drawings from them and any other reproductions or adaptations from them, either complete or in part, alone or in conjunction with any wording and/or drawings solely and exclusively for (a) the researcher’s portfolio; and (b) educational exhibition and examination purposes and related design works.

☐ I understand that the photographs will be used for academic purposes only and will not be published in any form outside of this project without my written permission.

☐ I understand that any copyright material created by the photographic sessions is deemed to be owned by the researcher and that I do not own copyright of any of the photographs.

☐ I agree to take part in this research.

Participant’s signature: ........................................................................................................................................
Participant’s name: ........................................................................................................................................
Participant’s Contact Details (if appropriate): ........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
Date:

Approved by the Auckland University of Technology Ethics Committee on type the date on which the final approval was granted AUTC Reference number type the AUTEC reference number

Note: The Participant should retain a copy of this form.
Appendix D: Facilitator Briefing Sheet

Here is an overview for this Saturday. Thank you for helping...I REALLY APPRECIATE IT!

- Have a read...If you have questions lets meet or correspond by email. By Saturday you need to be very comfortable in ‘knowing what to do’.

There will be a max of 6 people including you and me for the Saturday session. Read the email below (end of page)...that all the participants were sent today. (big picture stuff).

Here is what I would like you to do: (the doing, smaller but really important stuff)

1. I will (after the lunch) when we return to AUT...Introduce you and then give an overview of my research...and thank the participants. Then I’ll sit down and make notes.

2. EVERYTHING WILL BE RECORDED...I will transcribe this. I'll take care of recording.

3. You and our participants are welcome to speak Spanish. If you notice people are having trouble with English...just tell them ‘tell me in Spanish’...a translation fee for you later! We need to allow people to tell their stories the best way...that easiest for them...BUT a preference for English (or I’ll not know what the hell is happening). Pay particular attention to any words that don't translate well...ie if someone is speaking Spanish...some worlds may not be the ‘same in English’. This could be important because it may infer importance...and I'll miss it because I don't speak Spanish. You may need to make some notes of these things as they happen.

4. Before the focus group, each participant took 10 photos of kiwi identity and 10 photos of kiwiana.

   THEIR FIRST TASK (Saturday) IS TO LIMIT THESE IMAGES>>>BY REDUCING THEM TO 5 of each. So five are discarded. I will supply their images. They have sent them to me ages ago.

- You want them to talk about their best 5 of each (kiwi id and kiwiana). Preferably one image at a time, but some may combine images and talk about 2 at once.

- If this happens get them to say the number on the back of the image ...so when I hear the recordings I know exactly which image they are talking about

SHOWed is part of my methodology. Here is an outline. It forms the base for the questions you will ask participants.

- What do you See?
- What is really Happening here?
- How does this relate to Our lives
- Why does this concern, situation, strength exist?
- How can we become Empowered through our new understanding
- What can we Do?

SHOWed was designed to give minorities a voice in dominating cultures. It's used by recovering drug addicts to tell their story in research...for example. Consequently I can't use all of SHOWed...It might not work...SO we could think about SHOWed in an amended way...I recommend that you think about using this model...

- What do you See?...here you ask them ‘tell me about this image. This response may run into the next theme too... (responses won't be as simple as the acronym...don't worry its my task to sort that out!)
- What is really Happening here?...why does this image represent kiwi identity or kiwiana...in other words tell me more about what you see...dig down and tell me more about this images importance to you
● How does this relate to Our lives ...as a Latin American why/how does this image hold importance to your life here in New Zealand
● Why does this concern, situation, strength exist? When you selected this image from all the images you took, can you tell me why you chose it over and above any other image. Here get people to possibly clump themes...so they might say ...I chose this picture of a green paddock to reflect NZ identity because I was amazed at how many farms I saw when I came here. Then when I took my photo’s I realised that I had taken several images that were all sort of the same ...farms. This is my best one.
● How can we become Empowered through our new understanding? How does this image reflect any changes you have experiences as a migrant to New Zealand
● What can we Do? Can you tell me about how images reflecting kiwi identity and kiwiana have changed since your early days in Aotearoa New Zealand until now.

General tips
THROUGHOUT ALL SHOWeD...encourage them to tell stories and even act out parts of their photo. This is important because I want to analyse their speaking position. If they all just describe stuff it will be boring…

Think about how sometime you me and [colleague’s name] are a bit silly when we are together, telling jokes and or making fun of one of us. I’d like them to be like that too. Animated…

● Make sure all participants talk about all their images
● You have 80 images...so we need to move at pace
● If we started at 2-30 (after lunch...we need to be finished by 4-30 ...5pm latest)

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Below is an email I have sent to our participants...FYI.
Hello everyone. I am very excited about this Saturday... It is a big step in my research and I’m looking forward to hearing about your kiwiana and kiwi identity images.

Just to remind you ...we will
1. Meet at 1pm outside the School of Hospitality and Tourism AUT University, City campus. The building is on the corner of Mayoral Drive and Wellesley Street, opposite the back of the City Library and also opposite the City Art Gallery (the old one). The building is also known as WH Block. BUT look for restaurant signs Piko and Four Seasons. Meet at ground floor level entrance.
2. After meeting we will go to a nearby cafe/restaurant for lunch and refreshments (bring your appetite). This is my treat. You will also receive a small koha.
3. After lunch we will all return to AUT for the focus group.
4. The focus group will be facilitated by [facilitator’s name]. I will brief [facilitator’s name] well before we meet, but...
5. In essence [facilitator's name] will guide you through the Photovoice acronym SHOWeD. The acronym will help you to discuss your images.
6. I recommend that you do not Google SHOWeD because your spontaneous responses are more valuable than a 'more considered' response might be. Rest assured there is nothing to 'worry' about. [facilitator’s name] and I will take great care of you.

If in the meantime you have questions...please email.

My mobile is [private phone number]...on Saturday if you are lost or late ...just call me.

See you Saturday @ 1pm.

Best wishes
Lindsay
Appendix E: Researcher’s PhotoVoice Experience

Kiwi Identity

This is a picture of the Hokianga. I took it at the height of summer. It’s very New Zealand. Blue skies and sea, lots of sun, and best of all not many people ... just a seagull and a kid on a bike. This evokes rest, relaxation, summer heat and the beach for me. It’s the opposite of what I normally ‘see’ in the city. It reminds me of holidays when I was young at Kaka Point near Balclutha. Fun times by the sea that anyone can access, all it takes is time.

Summer at the beach

Kiwi Identity

Native bush reflects Kiwi identity. It’s where kiwi live. However, much of the land has been cleared. This took considerable effort. Clearing the land created and reinforced a Kiwi identity. Kiwi identity has been hallmarked by hard work, determination and the independence of colonist/settlers. What a shock this jungle must have been to settlers from the United Kingdom. It says something about the plentiful nature of nature in New Zealand.
Kiwi Identity (kiwi farmers)

This is an image by Marti Friedlander. I didn’t take it, but wish I had. Growing up in a farming area, I saw people like this all the time. Friedlander took this photo in Balclutha. Balclutha is about 20 miles from where I grew up. Being Kiwi is often about ‘being a man’; being male. I put that in ‘ ’ because I was never sure what ‘being a man’ meant. I’m still unsure what it means. However, these people (farmers) are quite common and these two typify the genre. The cute younger one and the older one smoking away look like they are enjoying their break. Farming is hard work. The image evokes heteronormativity. In that sense I find it limiting, but it was the reality I experienced growing up. In some ways I could not wait to leave these people behind. Makes me sound like a proper prat.

Interestingly, years later having ‘left these people behind’ I found it refreshing to reconnect with them. Something had happened: I had changed. In that change I was no longer uncomfortable with their heteronormativity, their sense of ‘being a man’.
**Kiwi identity (volcanic bomb)**

I’m influenced by what I have read. Compiling this list two years ago would mean a completely different list. The next image represents the influence of reading on my way to a PhD. Carlyon and Morrow’s recent *History of New Zealand from 1945* has an interesting art section. It inspired me to look at New Zealand art. The influence lay in the change from romantic landscape à la Anglaise to a more rugged New Zealand style. I own a romantic oil of the Wanganui River. It was bought at auction in the 1980s. It may have been painted 100 years before that. It’s nice enough, the river is laconic, tree ferns bend to meet it. In the style of John Gully a lone person rows their way along it. Its imagery is serene, tranquil. However, I contrast it to another more recent work to which I’m drawn. Art and Object’s auction of Len Castle lot 423 *Volcanic Bomb*.

**Volcanic bomb**

*Volcanic Bomb* reflects Kiwi identity in fragile ways. How close we are to the earth. How close the earth is to its own molten core. Auckland, Rotorua, Hanmer and loads of other places sit on the earth’s molten core. In a way, and like the land, we think we have it ‘under control’. But we don’t. At any moment the volcanic bomb could go bang.

**Kiwi identity (the Kiwi)**

I’ve not seen one, been aware of hearing one at night, but I ‘hear’ kiwi all the time. It's what we call ourselves. Self ascribed, and ascribed by others. A badge of furry honour. Kiwis do reflect our identity. They are soft, cuddly and somewhat awkward. They are also fiercely aggressive. As people we hold the same characteristics often tempered within veneers of fluffiness. I don’t think naming New Zealanders kiwis is about boot polish or the military. Rather it reflects a feisty
bird/person, who marks their territory and, in an “I can smell the uranium on your breath” kind of way, isn’t to be messed with.

**Kiwiana**

Ah, the material culture reflecting our identity. That’s what I tell people who inevitably ask me “what’s the difference between Kiwi identity and kiwiana”? Like Kiwi identity my reading and learning have impacted my realisation of what kiwiana ‘is’. I resist the bifurcation of Māori and Pākehā. I believe materiality represents ‘us’. While materiality represents ‘us’ it does so in different ways. Neither way is right or better than another, simply materiality ‘just is’. However, one of the most obvious differences, for me, is that Māori tend to embrace a reflective spirituality more than Pākehā might. This is reflected in language. To my ear, Māori have taonga (treasures). Pākehā hold similar treasures but they are often commodified. Commodification detracts from their spirituality. Reflectively, that is an odd sentence, but I differentiate spirituality and religion. This difference is best left for another time and place. My work is not about religion, but it is about spirituality. For me spirituality incorporates the meanings people give things; the mana and meanings of things. Consequently, I note my five items of kiwiana.

**Kiwiana (greenstone/pounamu)**

This is not the best image of greenstone. I present it as metaphor. I usually think of greenstone as a big block of rock, a slab of stone. This is an image of pieces. The pieces are small, individual, somewhat unique, easily portable, look good together or individually. These descriptions could be applied to us, ‘Kiwis’ . Others could be added. They could include rugged, unpolished, hard and long-lasting. For Māori greenstone is a taonga, a treasure; as are each of us. Greenstone comes from the land. Māori, Pākehā and Kiwis treasure the land, often in different ways. Consequently, pounamu reflects our uniqueness, our strengths, weaknesses and, as a rock, our durability.
Kiwiana (the buzzy bee)

I never owned a buzzy bee but I do remember them. My nieces and nephews had them. My nephew had one that clicked as he dragged it around. After a while the clicking was really annoying. But my nieces and nephews loved them. They especially enjoyed ‘eating them’. The wings and antenna were chewable favourites. Possibly a consequence of teething, my sister was forever poking a buzzy bee at one of her offspring. Buzzy bees just were. I cannot remember someone telling me ‘these are special’. It was not until I started my research that I began to explore the social significance of the buzzy bee for many Kiwis. I recognise its ‘literary significance’. Many people, including myself, have written on it and other ‘recognised’ items of kiwiana. However, my experience of the buzzy bee is watching my nieces and nephews playing with one, then spontaneously chewing on its extremities. Apart from social meaning, a buzzy bee has a practical use, helping young teeth break through resistant gums.

Kiwiana (bare feet)

I am influenced by many things including reading, learning and other people. My research participants (the Latins and the Chinese) brought things to my attention. Some of these things I had never considered before. Bare feet was one of these ‘things’. I’m a barefoot person. I go bare foot inside and outside. I don’t ‘go up the street’ in bare feet. Many people do. Unlike John Banks I’ve never warmed my bare feet in freshly deposited cow poop. I do appreciate its practicality however. I saw John Banks once. He was wearing fantastic Italian shoes. I was impressed. However, I thought that those feet have also been encased in something much less ‘rated’. Bare feet are very Kiwi. A sign
of no restrictions; the antithesis of the Chinese bound foot. Feet on the swing epitomise being Kiwi.

Interestingly, bare feet transcend materiality and identity. They reflect the fluidity of being we enjoy as Kiwis, young Kiwis and old Kiwis.

**Kiwiana (sheep...and wool)**

This image links to the two farmers in Balclutha; the Friedlander image noted in Kiwi identity. New Zealand is a nation of sheep. At school I knew exactly how many million sheep resided on the land. I think the ratio then was 30 sheep to every person.

Contemporarily, cows have dented sheep numbers. Sheep provide two material items that I think reflect kiwiana: roast meat and wool. Roasts, on Sundays, were staple fare when I grew up. Roasts were followed by cold meat and salads until the meat was gone. The meat was always well done, rare and medium rare sheep and lamb joints had to wait a few more years. Roast lamb, potatoes and gravy, superb Kiwi food, best cooked by Mum.

Sheep also provide wool. My Mum was a big knitter. I had a jersey made of multi coloured bits of wool. It was striped. At the time I loved it. In retrospect it was a forerunner to the David Bain classic! Nonetheless, wool and meat were important components of materiality: kiwiana.

**Kiwiana (milk)**

This is not how I remember milk. It came in bottles and was delivered to your door. Payment was made by tokens or ‘on account’. At school every student had a half pint every day. It was full cream. In winter the half pints were combined and heated with milo or cocoa to provide a hot lunchtime drink. As primary school student we took turns preparing the brew. Care was always taken not to ‘burn the milk’. Then, no one was lactose intolerant. Lactose,
outside a food chemistry lesson, was not a commonly used word. Everyone drank milk. Not liking it (read allergic to milk) was not a valid excuse. Consumption was compulsory. Now milk is bespoke. Men my age are encouraged to drink soy milk. It’s good for prostate. Growing up I was unaware of soy milk, full fat, skim, low fat, vitamin enhanced or, flavoured milks. Milk is a reminder of the commodification of everything. Manufacturers will get you to drink milk ‘one way or the other’.

**Conclusion and Reflection**

For me, choosing items of Kiwi identity and Kiwi material culture was easy. I have been exposed to these ‘things’ for most of my life. Choosing them reflects my biases. Choice reflects the socio-temporal space in which I write, live and have lived. The ‘now’ is a reflection of the past, grounded in the hope of a future. Milk was possibly the best example of this transition. Consequently my list of ‘items’ reflect a backdrop to change and growth. My chosen items are ways that express my life through things and their meanings for me. Many other people, possibly around my age, may respond to my writing by recalling similar themes and stories. Others, possibly younger, may have never known home-delivery milk, or milk in a bottle. This is the beauty of materiality and identity. Each person writes their own living narrative. All of them are interesting ‘reads’.
Appendix F: Publications

The publications listed below were completed during the period of my PhD. Their relevance to my thesis is that they explore identity, Kiwi identity and or kiwiana.


